

**MINUTES OF MEETING  
WENTWORTH ESTATES  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The Regular Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Wentworth Estates Community Development District was held on Thursday, June 13, 2024, at 8:30 a.m., at the Treviso Bay Clubhouse, 9800 Treviso Bay Boulevard, Naples, Florida 34113.

**Present and constituting a quorum:**

Joe Newcomb	Chairperson
Robert Cody	Vice Chairperson
Steve Barger	Assistant Secretary
Suzanne Sadowski	Assistant Secretary
Andrew Gasworth	Assistant Secretary

**Also present were:**

James P. Ward	District Manager
Greg Urbancic	District counsel
Richard Freeman	Assets Manager
Jimmy Messick	District Engineer

**Audience:**

Bruce Bernard  
Tony Pompeo  
Leo Salvatori  
Scott Bertrand  
Bob Adams  
Joe \_\_\_\_\_

All residents' names were not included with the minutes. If a resident did not identify themselves or the audio file did not pick up the name, the name was not recorded in these minutes.

**PORTIONS OF THIS MEETING WERE TRANSCRIBED VERBATIM. ALL VERBATIM PORTIONS WERE  
TRANSCRIBED IN *ITALICS*.**

**FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Call to Order/Roll Call**

District Manager James P. Ward called the meeting to order at approximately 8:30 a.m. He conducted roll call; all Members of the Board were present, constituting a quorum.

**SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Notice of Advertisement**

**Notice of Advertisement of Public Hearings**

**THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Consideration of Minutes**

**April 11, 2024 - Regular Meeting Minutes**

Mr. Ward asked if there were any corrections or deletions to the Regular Meeting Minutes.

One blank was filled in.

**On MOTION made by Andrew Gasworth, seconded by Robert Cody, and with all in favor, the April 11, 2024 Regular Meeting Minutes were approved as amended.**

**FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Public Hearing**

**PUBLIC HEARINGS – FY 2025 BUDGET AND SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS**

Mr. Ward explained the Public Hearing process noting there were two public hearings, the first related to the Budget itself.

**a) FISCAL YEAR 2025 BUDGET**

**I. Public Comment and Testimony**

Mr. Ward called for a motion to open the Public Hearing.

**On MOTION made by Steve Barger, seconded by Andrew Gasworth, and with all in favor, the Public Hearing was opened.**

Mr. Ward asked if there were any members of the public with any comments or questions with respect to the Fiscal Year 2025 Budget.

Mr. Bruce Bernard asked if anyone had calculated how much it would cost for the maintenance and electricity for the fountains and aerators which were going in.

Mr. Ward responded in the negative.

Mr. Bernard stated he understood these aerators needed to go in, but right now the District was paying \$3,000 dollars a year for preventative maintenance for the existing fountains and aerators. He noted these new fountains and aerators could increase preventive maintenance costs up to \$10,000 dollars. He stated the capital budget had 8 to 10 new fountains and aerators being installed over the next four to five years. He noted the two being installed this year would cost \$1,500 dollars per year; times ten this would be \$15,000 dollars. *So, between that and the maintenance, five years down the road, that's going to be \$20,000 to \$25,000 dollars that has to be in the budget every year just to keep them running. Putting them in is nice, but you're going to have to remember*

*what it's going to cost to keep them working down the road, and that's going to be a budget line item that has to stay there. And I don't know if that's been factored in this budget.*

*Mr. Ward: No, it's not in the budget, so we will (indecipherable).*

*Mr. Gasworth: Will the cost go up each year as we add new ones? Year 1 it's not going to be \$10,000 or \$15,000, but if we put two in it's going to go up a little, but over time it sounds like the numbers will increase.*

*Mr. Bernard: The second item I'm looking at is the landscaping on 41. I've lived here for over four years now and I haven't heard the residents complaining about how the landscaping looks out front, just how nice it looks and how well it's been kept up. I agree we need to put some money in for landscaping replacement, but \$185,000 dollars, \$550,000 dollars over five years, that's in this budget, looks a little heavy. In my opinion \$50,000 to \$60,000 dollars a year, we only had \$40,000 in there before, and now we're asking for \$185,000 dollars this year just to do some improvements, and I don't even know if we've seen what those improvements look like. It seems to be a lot of money to improve the looks that I haven't heard any complaints about. Lighting is the same. In the last 18 months, we've spent \$120,000 dollars for 170 new lights up front, and it calls for \$50,000 dollars again this year. All we've heard is good comments from people, so I don't know where that \$50,000 dollars in extra lights is going to go. He noted the maintenance and electricity would cost money too. He stated the new vendor for the landscaping up front was working 10 days per month as opposed to the previous vendor who worked 4.5 days per month which he felt was excessive. He noted it did look better, but the vendor did not need 10 days per month; 7 or 8 days per month should be enough, and that would save money. He indicated he spoke with Richard Freeman and Jim Ward about this. He noted the annuals up front increased from \$9,500 dollars to \$30,000 dollars per year to change out the annuals. He stated annuals were nice, but perhaps different plantings could be used to save money.*

Mr. Barger asked if this was because the District added more annual plantings.

Mr. Bernard responded in the affirmative; the plantings have more than doubled.

Mr. Barger asked how often the annuals were changed.

Mr. Ward responded quarterly.

Mr. Bernard indicated the budget had gone up over 100% in the last five years, at more than 20% per year. He stated it was important to look at maintaining the budget as opposed to increasing the budget every year. He noted there were residents who moved out because the fees were too high. He said he did not mind paying for what was needed, but this needed to be a controlled increase rather than 20% per year.

Mr. Barger asked when the aerators would be installed on lake 7.

Mr. Ward stated the aerators would be installed in about 4 to 6 weeks.

Mr. Barger asked if these would be bubblers or (indecipherable).

Mr. Ward responded (indecipherable). He asked if there were any other public comments; hearing none, he called for a motion to close the Public Hearing.

**On MOTION made by Steve Barger, seconded by Andrew Gasworth, and with all in favor, the Public Hearing was closed.**

## II. Board Comment and Consideration

Mr. Ward asked if there were any questions or comments from the Board.

Mr. Barger stated he shared Mr. Bernard's concern. He said the 2023 budget was up 11% from 2022; the 2024 budget was up 19% from 2023; and this budget was up about 20%. He stated he understood there were many areas where costs have risen. *A lot of the District Manager costs are up fairly substantially, and I don't recall the last time they did go up. Do you recall?*

*Mr. Ward: It's been more than 10 years. For a long time, I kept them pretty stagnant. I don't remember what we did in 2023. I know from 2024 to 2025 I raised it from \$52,500 to \$57,000 dollars just because my costs are going up.*

*Mr. Barger: So, we got the manager contract up 8.5% and the accounting is 11%. That's just one area that I noticed. And again, the budget is up 20% and it was up roughly 20% last year. I think, and again, I am not in favor of spending \$182,000 dollars or \$50,000 dollars on lighting without seeing the plan. I think as Board Members, we can approve this budget, but before we spend the money, I think we need to see the plan and approve it because that's a lot of money to spend on landscaping at a time when costs are going up so much. I think we want to have a little more control about what we are planning to do.*

*Mr. Ward: I think that's a good idea. We addressed the costs (indecipherable) but let's remember that post COVID or around the COVID time (indecipherable), material costs are going through the roof. So, you can't really say we've increased the budget 20%, it's just budget increases. The majority of the reasons for the increase are, lets say over the past 4 years have been material costs. You have an overall value close to \$1 billion dollars in real estate and you've not really had any significant capital improvements at this point. The main focus of this community is to not have a lot of changes over the years. I'm not saying we need to change it, I'm just saying we need to ensure that it keeps up with the times, not necessarily based on whether we spend \$20,000 dollars on annuals or \$18,000 dollars. The important thing is to make sure that the entrance looks like a first tier community in the Naples area. I think after this amount of time, whether the number is \$180,000 or \$100,000, we have to start to put some capital dollars into the front entranceway on a regular basis. That's what this budget does and that's what we recommend. I think it is a good idea that we have a plan, that we make sure that we all take a look at that and buy into that and see what needs to happen. I can tell you across the board, in all the communities I have, residents are demanding better services for their community, whether it's fountains going into lakes, aerators going into lakes, dealing with mosquitos or cane toads, or midge flies, or landscaping, the communities in southwest Florida and other communities I manage I see residents wanting better*

*services. So, I understand the increases, but in the scheme of life, you are at \$1,200 dollars or \$100 dollars per month. In southwest Florida, the average cost for the year for doing this is in the \$1,500 to \$1,600 dollars range, so you are still below what I see for the top tier communities. It's not like our cost per unit per resident for a community of this size is out of whack with respect to what I see in southwest Florida. You are clearly under the mark, but you are in the tier of those communities which are more higher end. So, this budget last year and this year is empty (indecipherable) to keep the costs in line with ensuring we provide the kind of services communities want on an ongoing basis.*

*Mr. Bob Cody: As a homeowner, we don't realize we are 10 to 15 years old and things are starting to have to be replaced. We've got to keep up with the times. I saw on Facebook yesterday, someone was changing the tile in their house and made a comment that it was 11 years old, so it's time to change. Well, when somebody comes into our place they got to be wowed before they get to the gate, and as a homeowner I want them to be wowed. I want them to come in and say this is a tremendous community and I haven't even gotten inside the gates yet. It holds my property value up. I think we talked about having to replace the pavers on the bridge. We should be proactive and do these things before they become an eyesore. I'm selfish, but it holds the property value up.*

*Mr. Ward: (Indecipherable).*

*Mr. Barger: I'm not suggesting that's inappropriate. Those are just areas I saw that went up. I just think, particularly for the capital stuff, this Board in the past has not been a big part of how we spend that capital. Not so much in terms of what we are spending it on, but the specifics. I think we need to do that. I want to see the plans and if they seem appropriate then we can move ahead with it, but if not, then I think we can recommend and make changes to that and be fully informed about how the money is being spent. That's my big thing. Our capital budget is substantial over the next three years, and I think that we want to play a bigger role in how that's spent. I don't disagree with Bob, but things like replacing pavers, in the bridge paver replacement, you can see where that's starting to wear, and we've put a lot of money into that bridge. I think the HOA replaced a lot of those pavers before it was turned over to the CDD. So, we are spending some money repairing that and there are still some places where the sand is starting to come up. That bridge is super important to this community, not only from an aesthetic perspective –*

*Mr. Gasworth: What are you getting at Steve.*

*Mr. Barger: For example, to replace the roadway pavers, you've got \$375,000 dollars over the next three years. I'm not seeing that right now. That roadway looks great to me. That's a lot of money, and I want to be relatively proactive, but I don't want to replace it before it's necessary. So, I would want to get a lot more information on that. I've done some investigating into the bridge and asked some questions, and that's \$130,000 and what I'm hearing is that's probably needed, but the roadway, I'm concerned about that one. Maybe we could kick that a few years down the road. Landscaping, that's a huge amount of money. This year we've got \$182,000 dollars in the capital budget, and there's another \$30,000 dollars for planting replacements. That's over \$200,000 dollars. I want to see the plan. I want to know exactly what we're going to do with that. Some of this other stuff, perimeter wall painting, I get that. You don't need a plan to say hey we got to paint that wall, but to say we're going to add a certain amount of landscaping and a certain amount of lighting I want more details.*

*Mr. Gasworth: I was thinking the same thing when we came into the budget meeting. I don't really understand what's beneath all this. I agree with what you said that we as a board (indecipherable).*

*Mr. Cody: I think we need to plan ahead. I don't know the answer to the brick pavers, but at least we are saying that they need to be replaced, so we are looking forward to potential costs. We're not saying we are going to spend that money.*

*Mr. Barger: We kind of are; that's why I'm saying we need to take more control over that.*

*Mr. Cody: What you're saying is here's a plan, we may not get to it, but at least we won't get caught with our trousers down.*

*Mr. Barger: But we haven't done a good job of that in the past, of saying okay, let's see the plan, and maybe this is a little early. That's what I'm suggesting.*

*Mr. Ward: With respect to the pavers and the road, if you look at the capital budget, those are not happening in 2025, they are happening in 2027 or 2029. So, you've got time to deal with some of these larger capital expenditures. Clearly, this year, if you look at the capital plan, what we tried to do is schedule that out over a five year period so you would start to see what kind of capital infrastructure would need improvement on a going forward basis over a couple of years. These questions are all good that you're asking, but the purpose of the capital program is to identify what's needed, put some generalized costs to it, and then handle what needs to be done when it needs to be done.*

*Mr. Barger: I just want to have more of a say by this Board on when we pull the trigger. I get preparing for the future and the people that are using those roads and bridges today ought to be the ones who pay for the repairs and the improvements. I don't have a problem with accumulating the funds as these are big expenses, and we will need to do that. We don't want to come in and have a special assessment over and above what we typically do. We want to gather as we go, and I don't have a problem with that. I just want to be more informed about specifics and have a say in when we pull the trigger to do it. Are you with me? Extraordinary capital/operations? Page 4. We have \$200,000 dollars in the budget this year. Is this a reserve fund?*

*Mr. Ward: In the governmental world everything drops down to what we call cash at the end of the year. Our (indecipherable) about \$850,000 total cash at year end. Of that total cash we need about \$270,000 dollars to operate in the first three months of the year. The balance of it falls into a capital account or reserve account, whatever you want to call it. It's just cash that's there that you can use to repair damage from hurricanes, accumulate the funds in the capital program, or anything else you want to do. You should be in the \$1.5 million dollar plus range excluding any capital that we're accumulating on a yearly basis to do things like brick pavers. So, a part of this is also trying to build those reserves up and get to a number over time that's sufficient to deal with hurricane damage or any kind of a capital expenditure that's not really anticipated.*

*Mr. Barger: So is this an actual reserve fund or is it just what's leftover at the end of the year?*

*Mr. Ward: It's what's leftover at the end of the year because in government, we don't do like HOAs do and have specific reserves for a specific asset or an overall working capital reserve. In government we don't have that because in HOA world (indecipherable). In government it is in a general fund which is your operating fund, they are not segregated by law for a specific use. They flow down into a total cash position. I keep track of them in this format and on the balance sheet to show what we need for reserves or emergencies or how much we need for those three months of operations.*

*Mr. Barger: So, that number is on the balance sheet? Can you show me where?*

Mr. Ward showed where the total cash balance, or fund balance, was located on the balance sheet. He discussed how the total cash balance was adjusted. He noted it cost approximately \$270,000 dollars to operate for the first three months of the fiscal year; there would be roughly \$500,000 dollars left for "reserves." He stated for a District this size \$500,000 dollars was not adequate funding to deal with hurricane damages or emergencies.

*Mr. Barger: So, whatever money we didn't spend, for instance, capital money, would role into that amount.*

*Mr. Ward: Yes. Whatever we don't use rolls into what we call the fund balance.* He asked if there were any more questions; there were none.

**III. Consideration of Resolution 2024-4, a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopting the Annual Appropriation and Budget for Fiscal Year 2025**

Mr. Ward called for a motion to approve the budget beginning October 1, 2024 and ending on September 30, 2025.

**On MOTION made by Andrew Gasworth, seconded by Robert Cody, and with all in favor, Resolution 2024-4 was adopted, and the Chair was authorized to sign.**

**b) FISCAL YEAR 2025 IMPOSING SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS; ADOPTING THE ASSESSMENT ROLL AND APPROVING THE GENERAL FUND SPECIAL ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY AND SETTING AN OPERATIONSAND MAINTENANCE CAP FOR NOTICE PURPOSES**

Mr. Ward indicated this public hearing was related to the imposition of the special assessments for the general fund.

**I. Public Comment and Testimony**

Mr. Ward called for a motion to open the Public Hearing.

**On MOTION made by Steve Barger, seconded by Andrew Gasworth, and with all in favor, the Public Hearing was opened.**

Mr. Ward asked if there were any members of the public with any comments or questions; there were none. He called for a motion to close the Public Hearing.

**On MOTION made by Steve Barger, seconded by Andrew Gasworth, and with all in favor, the Public Hearing was closed.**

**II. Board Comment and Consideration**

Mr. Ward asked if there were any questions or comments from the Board.

Mr. Barger asked what the assessment rate was.

Mr. Ward responded \$1,148 dollars per unit.

**III. Consideration of Resolution 2024-5, a resolution of the Board of Supervisors imposing special assessments, adopting an assessment roll, and approving the General Fund Special Assessment Methodology**

Mr. Ward called for a motion.

**On MOTION made by Andrew Gasworth, seconded by Robert Cody, and with all in favor, Resolution 2024-5 was adopted, and the Chair was authorized to sign.**

**FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Consideration of Resolution 2024-6**

**Consideration of Resolution 2024-6, a Resolution of the Board of Supervisors designating the dates, time, and location for regular meetings of the Board of Supervisors of the District**

Mr. Ward explained Resolution 2024-6 set the dates, time, and location of the Board’s meetings for Fiscal Year 2024 for the second Thursday of each month at 8:30 a.m. at the Treviso Bay Clubhouse, 9800 Treviso Bay Boulevard, Naples, Florida 34113. He noted the Resolution did not bind the Board to the meetings. He asked if there were any questions; hearing none, he called for a motion.

**On MOTION made by Andrew Gasworth, seconded by Steve Barger, and with all in favor, Resolution 2024-6 was adopted, and the Chair was authorized to sign.**

**SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Consideration of Resolution 2024-7**

**Consideration of Resolution 2024-7, a Resolution of the Board of Supervisors, Ratifying, Confirming and Approving the acceptance of certain conveyances from Treviso Bay Property Owners Master Association, Inc., relating to Property within the District; providing for severability, providing for conflicts; and providing for an effective date**



*Mr. Ward: As you recall the Master HOA asked the District to be responsible for the water use permit for your irrigation system. We started that process some months ago to begin that transition, and during that process we realized the District does not have sufficient easement rights to the land where the irrigation system is, so we were put on hold with South Florida Water Management District until we were able to get those use rights. Greg Urbancic prepared the easement agreements which provided additional easements from the Master Association to the CDD to allow us to have access through certain roadway, particularly the main arterial road which we only had access rights to, but not maintenance rights over the irrigation system in the roadway. They also found during the review that the location of the irrigation pump station, although we owned the building, the underlying fee title of the land is in the Master HOAs name. (Indecipherable.) We have an easement now over the land where the irrigation pump station is, which is a good thing. The HOA was kind enough to do that and it allows us to move forward with the South Florida Water Management District water use permit. That permit is currently in the name of Lennar Homes, and we are going to transfer that permit to the CDD. This will allow us to do that, and this Resolution simply ratifies that action we have taken. He asked if there were any questions; hearing none, he called for a motion.*

**On MOTION made by Robert Cody, seconded by Andrew Gasworth, and with all in favor, Resolution 2024-7 was adopted, and the Chair was authorized to sign.**

## SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

## Overview of Commercial Development

### Overview of Commercial Parcel Development Plans and Timeline for Development

*Mr. Ward: I have been contacted recently by Prima Development which is developing your (indecipherable) entranceway. I asked them to provide some of their plans for the development of the parcel and asked them to present to you what they proposed to do. I see a number of them are on video today. I want to go through with you what the District's rights are with respect to the development. The District doesn't have any rights with respect to what they develop on the commercial parcel. Those rights are with Collier County pursuant to the development order and the land use (indecipherable). Our rights are with respect to how the drainage system will be connected to the existing drainage system. We are not dealing with that issue today. Greg and I will work with the Prima Developers over the next period of six months, and Jimmy Messick, to review their drainage plans and approve those drainage plans that they will send to the County for construction of their facilities. He asked Prima to present.*

Mr. Tony Pompeo introduced Marco Pompeo, Jenna Woodward with Peninsula Engineering, and legal counsel Leo Salvatori. *Prima Partners is a three party entity, my father, Tony, my uncle Marco, and Ken Hacket. They are all residents within Treviso Bay, dating back to as early as 2014. We purchased the 9 acre parcel out front in late 2021. Since then, we've been working on plans and actually a comparable use determination that we were granted with Collier County to develop half of the 9 acre parcel into car condominiums. The plan is to develop 33 car condominiums on 4.5 acres of the site as phase 1, and then phase 2 at a later date will be some sort of commercial retail office use. Right now, where we stand, our architect is about 95% complete with his drawings for the car condominiums. Peninsula Engineering has their plans in to South Florida Water Management District DEP and how we got to this point is, there were two approvals we needed from the Treviso Bay homeowner's association, one being for our dewatering authorization during construction. We have proposed to take the water into the pond in*

*between our parcel and Via Veneto, which is that first residence on the right once you get through the gates of Treviso. And the second approval is utility easement for us to cross a small portion of property owned by Treviso Bay HOA to tie into the Collier County owned lift station. Jenna our Engineer is on the call as well to answer questions.*

*Mr. Barger: You have a number of big royal palms on the property. What is your plan with those?*

*Mr. Pompeo: We do have a landscape plan as required for Collier County. We have asked the landscape designer if we can relocate these, and they said it would be on a tree by tree basis. But it is our intention, or we would at least like to relocate them.*

*Mr. Barger: If there are some that you don't use, would you make those available to the HOA or the golf course, to be moved there?*

*Mr. Pompeo: We would certainly be open to that. Absolutely.*

*Mr. Barger: What's your timeline?*

*Mr. Pompeo: Our hopes are to break ground in fall of this year on the car condominiums. We have opened up sales of two of the four buildings and we are about 80% reserved there. So, the plan would be to start on two of the four buildings and also get all of our site preparation including the roadway, utilities, for both phases 1 and 2 all in. The hopes are to have the first two buildings complete by midsummer 2025.*

*Mr. Barger: Will the construction road go away?*

*Mr. Pompeo: That has to go away. We actually learned that early on. Once construction of Treviso was completed that roadway is to be eliminated.*

*Mr. Barger: I'm just wondering, because it looks like it's gone, and then you will have access to Treviso Bay on the road that goes by the pumphouse?*

*Mr. Pompeo: That is correct. Our intention is to use the existing curb cut onto 41 as our main entrance. There is one fountain there. We are going to get that fountain up and running. We actually plan on putting a mirror image of that fountain on the other side of our entrance, but the road would end at the exact same curb on Treviso Bay Blvd. He noted there would be no gate so Treviso Bay traffic could use the road, as well as heavy truck traffic, to avoid the bridge.*

*Mr. Ward: The District's team will work with you on your Engineering Plans for your drainage system and we will keep in touch.*

*Mr. Pompeo: Thank you. I appreciate you letting us do a preliminary presentation. It was a pleasure.*

The main audio cut out for four minutes until Mr. Ward was able to reconnect to the Wi-Fi.

**I. District Attorney**

*Mr. Greg Urbancic: House Bill 7013 was ultimately signed by the governor and became law, so we are subject to the new requirements starting this fiscal year of creating goals, objectives and performance measures, and then doing an annual report based on how we did. That may be something that we do coinciding with the public hearing on the budget. Jim and I will talk offline about that, but it's some red tape that all of our Districts will have to go through.*

**II. District Engineer**

No report.

**III. District Asset Manager****a) Operations Reports June 1, 2024**

Mr. Ward noted Mr. Richard Freeman left the meeting, but he would try to answer any questions.

*Mr. Barger: Where are we on getting the No Fishing signs for the bridge.*

*Mr. Ward: I saw a draft of what the sign will look like so probably in the next couple of weeks.*

*Mr. Barger: From a safety standpoint that's –*

*Mr. Ward: A couple of weeks ago on a weekend we had some kids fishing on the bridge out front. There's not much we can do about it because it is a public bridge, but if we post the bridge with No Fishing signs with the District's name on it, that will allow me to call the Sheriff's office and if the kids are fishing out there, they will make sure the kids are removed.*

*Mr. Cody: I called about those kids. My wife and I went out one afternoon at 5:00 o'clock, and little kids, not teenagers, had climbed over railing, so they were on the outside of the railing. We told them we were going to call the police and waited for them to leave. We came back about an hour and a half later and there were teenagers doing the same thing. I stopped at the gatehouse and the response was "We're not responsible for anything on the outside of the gates." I had a conversation with Dan, the President of the homeowner's association, and Dan said at least they'll make the call now instead of ignoring. They'll call the Sheriff.*

*Mr. Ward: They won't use the 911 number but will use the nonemergency number and the Sheriff will send someone out. You can do that also. If you do that, please call me, and tell me you're doing that because I can authorize the trespass violation on the bridge for those kinds of events.*

*Mr. Cody: It doesn't matter whose property it is, it's a safety issue, and we don't want to turn our eyes away from it.*

*Mr. Ward: Usually, the nonemergency police officers that come down, they are really good about making the problem go away.*

*Mr. Barger: This time of year, we do get a lot of people sneaking in to fish. A couple of weeks ago I had three kids in my backyard. He discussed how he made the kids leave his property and discussed the safety issues involved. He asked about the Sonar treatments for Lake 23.*

Mr. Ward indicated he was unsure.

Mr. Bernard discussed where Lake 23 was located and explained the Sonar treatment was for algae. He explained Sonar was the name of the company who sprayed the algae in the lakes.

Ms. Suzanne Sadowski asked about mosquito fish.

*Mr. Ward: It's pretty pricey. Usually, you put them in since the mosquitos were coming from the lakes. This is not something that has worked particularly well in this District's system just because of the acres of preserves you also have here. If you want to do that you could, but it's not realistic. I think it's 10,000 fish per acre. It's a lot of fish that you'd be putting in the lake system. (Indecipherable.) They work but if you think you're going to get rid of all of the mosquitoes, that's not going to happen, especially in a community like this where you have more acres of preserves than you have acres of lakes.*

#### **IV. District Manager**

- a) Supervisor of Elections Qualified Elector Report dated April 15, 2024**
- c) Financial Statements for period ending April 30, 2023 (unaudited)**
- d) Financial Statements for period ending May 31, 2023 (unaudited)**

Mr. Ward indicated the Supervisor of Elections reported the number of qualified electors in the District was up since 2022 to (indecipherable). He noted there was no action required; this was just for informational purposes.

#### **NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

#### **Supervisor's Requests and Audience Comments**

Mr. Ward asked if there were any Supervisor's requests or questions from the Board.

Mr. Barger noted Andy Gasworth did the ethics training. He asked if anyone else did the ethics training. No one responded.

Mr. Ward reminded the Board to file the Form 1 by July 1, 2024; the ethics training requirement was for next year's Form 1.

The Board indicated everyone on the Board had already filed the Form 1.

Ms. Sadowski noted she had to file a second Form 1.

Mr. Ward concurred.

Discussion ensued regarding Form 1, ethics training, and qualifying for the election; the necessary parties were qualified for the election.

Mr. Ward indicated he would resend the links for the ethics training; he encouraged the Board to complete the ethics training sooner rather than later noting it was due by the end of this calendar year. He asked if there were any questions or comments from the audience.

*A male member of the audience asked: I have a question about the body of water on the north side of the bridge. If that road which was once Access Road 41 is no longer available, if there is any work to be done, how would you access the body of water? You don't see that as a problem?*

*Mr. Barger: I wouldn't, no, because what would be the south end of it is accessible from our road.*

*Mr. Bernard: There is enough room on the south end to get all the way around it.*

*Mr. Barger: Does our landscape company regularly walk those lakes out front where we get a lot of trash flowing in from the road to pick that up?*

*Mr. Ward: Yes.*

*Mr. Barger: But they do not do the (indecipherable) area between 41 and the sidewalk do they?*

*Mr. Ward: No. That's Collier County Road right-of-way and that's a very dangerous road.*

*Mr. Barger: We get a lot of trash there. We put all this beautiful landscaping in and then we get a bunch of trash between you and the landscaping. That doesn't make sense. And the County doesn't do it.*

*Mr. Ward: I just have to find the right people to do a better job of picking up the trash.*

*Mr. Barger: Do you know if Richard contacted the County about getting those orange barrels and cones out of there?*

*Mr. Ward: Yes. The County's position, what they do is, the permit on that road job is not closed out, and they won't remove the cones or the big sign out there.*

*Mr. Barger: They took the big sign, and now there are only the four barrels that were protecting it.*

*Mr. Ward: They won't remove them until the close out the permit.*

Mr. Bernard explained the County repoured the sidewalk in the area which was why the cones were in place.

Mr. Ward asked if there were any other public comments or Board comments; there were none.

## **TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

## **Adjournment**

Mr. Ward adjourned the meeting at approximately 9:38 a.m.

**On MOTION made by Steve Barger, seconded by Robert Cody, and with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.**

Wentworth Estates Community Development District

  
James P. Ward, Secretary

  
Joe Newcomb, Chairman