Miromar Lakes Community Development District

Regular Meeting Agenda

October 11, 2018



Visit our Web Site at: www.miromarlakescdd.org

Prepared by:

JPWARD AND ASSOCIATES LLC

2900 Northeast 12th Terrace, Suite 1 Oakland Park, Florida 33334 E-Mail: JimWard@JPWardAssociates.com PHONE: (954) 658-4900

MIROMAR LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

October 4, 2018

Board of Supervisors
Miromar Lakes Community Development District

Dear Board Members:

The Regular Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Miromar Lakes Community Development District will be held on Thursday, October 11, 2018, at 2:00 P.M. at the Beach Clubhouse, 18061 Miromar Lakes Parkway, Miromar Lakes, Florida 33913.

- 1. Call to Order & Roll Call
- 2. Consideration of Minutes:
 - I. September 13, 2018 Regular Meeting
- 3. Staff Reports
 - a) Attorney
 - b) Engineer
 - c) Asset manager
 - I. September, 2018 Report
 - d) Manager
 - I. Financial Statements for the period ending August 31, 2018 (Unaudited)
- 4. Supervisor's Requests and Audience Comments
- 5. Adjournment

The second order of business is consideration of the minutes of the September 13, 2018 regular meeting.

E-MAIL ward9490@comcast.net

Miromar Lakes Community Development District

The balance of the Agenda is standard in nature and I look forward to seeing you at the meeting, and if you have any questions and/or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at (954) 658-4900.

Yours sincerely,

Miromar Lakes

Community Development District

James P. Ward

District Manager

Enclosures

MINUTES OF MEETING MIROMAR LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The Regular Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Miromar Lakes Community Development District was held on Thursday, September 13, 2018, at 2:00 p.m. at the Beach Clubhouse, located at 18061 Miromar Lakes Parkway, Miromar Lakes, Florida 33913.

Present and constituting a quorum:

Mr. Doug Ballinger Vice Chairman
Mr. Alan Refkin Assistant Secretary
Mr. Michael Weber Assistant Secretary
Mr. Burnett Donoho Assistant Secretary

Absent:

Dr. David Herring Chairman

Also present were:

James P. Ward District Manager
Greg Urbancic District Counsel
Charlie Krebs District Engineer

Paul Cusmano Calvin Giordano & Associates

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Call to Order/Roll Call

Mr. Ward called the meeting to order at 2:00 p.m. and all members of the Board were present at roll call with the exception of Supervisor Herring.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Minutes

Mr. Ward called for any additions, corrections or deletions to the July 12, 2018 Minutes. Changes indicated were an incorrect meeting date and on Page 5 "a wave of lawsuits" should be changed. He called for a motion to accept as amended.

On MOTION made by Mr. Refkin, seconded by Mr. Donoho, and with all in favor, the Minutes of the July 12, 2018 as amended were approved.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Hearings

a) Fiscal Year 2019 Budget

Mr. Ward asked the Board to open the Public Hearing.

On MOTION made by Mr. Refkin, seconded by Mr. Donoho, and with all in favor, the Public Hearing was opened.

Mr. Ward asked if any of the public had questions or comments related to the Fiscal Year 2019 Budget.

Mr. Michael Resa (ph) asked if the Board had heard anything from the Master Association in terms of the impact on the Master Association fees from the landscape budget transfer.

Mr. Ward asked Mr. Byal to answer the question.

Mr. Byal responded the Master Association was not at a point where they understood the directive. He said conceptually, they were comfortable that the actual maintenance obligation, which would be transferred, would be at or below the proposed budget amount of \$617, primarily because the State contractor was a lower bidder than the contractor proposed.

Mr. Byal continued that the capital portion that was \$150,000 would no longer exist because the HOA maintained sufficient reserves in order to accomplish that within its working capital funds. It would not be an operating cost assessment for the owners. He explained that the reason the discussion evolved was the CDD needed to have a large million dollar assessment for future hurricanes. When this need went away, then the HOA was responsible and had insurance coverage and substantial reserves.

Mr. Ballinger stated unfortunately the District was under what had been budgeted for Hurricane Irma.

Mr. Byal said there were differences in the denominator, and there were more units in the CDD than there were in the HOA; but he said he did not see at the end of the day, the direct change between the landscape with the CDD and the landscape with the HOA being a significant impact.

Mr. Resa stated he didn't think the Board had ever approved the concept of the capital reserve.

Mr. Ward asked for clarification.

Mr. Resa said Mr. Byal was referring to the fact that with the transfer of the budget over to the Master HOA, the CDD no longer had to put up a million dollar capital reserve, but that was never approved by the Board anyway.

Mr. Ballinger and Mr. Refkin said that was correct.

It was commented that in the bigger scope of understanding, the benefit of the redistribution of the landscape responsibility back to the HOA was part of that equation.

The point was made that on the CDD budget side, the off roll lots of developers, still being paid for, subsidized a portion of that landscape budget, and by handing it over to the Master HOA, the developer no longer had that responsibility.

Mr. Ballinger said the Fiscal Year started October 1 with this budget, but the Master HOA did not take over until January 1.

A question was asked when residents would see this transition reflected. Would it be in 2019?

Mr. Ward responded that in the current budget, there was a reduction for January 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020. He said in their HOA fee, when Miromar Development sent out new bills, whatever change there was would be seen for January 1. He said the District was maintaining in the budget through December 31, 2018, and the HOA would begin on January 1, 2019.

Mr. Mervis (ph) asked if it would be the same approach for the storm sewer cleaning.

Mr. Ward responded the storm sewer cleaning would remain with the CDD. He said there was a rule coming up at another Public Hearing later that day which had nothing to do with the Homeowners' Association.

An audience member asked who would have responsibility for cleaning the storm sewers after January 1, 2019.

Mr. Ward responded they would talk about that later in the agenda.

Mr. Burlis (ph) asked about reimbursement for rebuilding the shorelines and who was responsible for that.

Mr. Ward said the CDD's position was that they would not be reimbursing anyone for storm related damage.

Mr. Burlis asked what if the residents had not made the repairs.

Mr. Ward replied the CDD would have repaired that which was owned by the CDD.

Mr. Burlis asked why this wasn't communicated.

Mr. Ward responded he was not sure the District was aware homeowners had made repairs. He said they were aware of some.

Mr. Burlis asked how that allowed the District to not reimburse the homeowners.

Mr. Ward said he did not think it was the District's responsibility to make this clear to the homeowners.

Mr. Burlis said his homeowners' group reached out to the CDD, and no one responded to their messages.

Mr. Ward said he was not aware of any messages, but the bottom line was the District Board had indicated it was not going to reimburse any HOAs for storm related damages that were not taken on.

Mr. Burlis asked would the District not reimburse even if the Board had been approached about the damage and did not respond.

Mr. Ward said again he was not aware that the CDD did not respond to anything.

Mr. Burlis said let's just say it was hypothetical, and they were contacted.

Mr. Ward responded it was not a hypothetical question. The answer to his question was the CDD Board had indicated that it was not going to reimburse any Association for storm related damage that it picked up if that facility was owned by the CDD. He said it shouldn't have been done to begin with. He stated he was not aware and had not been advised. He added he did not know that the Board was aware of it either.

Mr. Refkin stated in the CDD minutes and during discussions, it was made really clear by Mr. Ward and the Board that the CDD would go in and fix the things that had not been fixed at that time.

Mr. Burlis asked what time period this was.

Mr. Refkin said a recent time period, and at past minutes show that topic had been a discussion of the CDD for some time.

Mr. Burlis asked what the time was.

Mr. Refkin suggested he go back in the minutes and look as he did not know the exact dates.

Mr. Ward stated he had just been advised that they did not even own the Castelli Water Management System at the time of the storm last year. He said that was homeowner owned. He said after it was repaired, the District assumed responsibility for its operation and maintenance. He said the position of the Board has been that if it was a private facility at the time, they would not do any repairs.

Mr. Krebs said it was his understanding that Castelli and Ana Capri and the shore line was still owned by the residents during the storm event and was transferred to the CDD afterwards.

Mr. Burlis asked what was meant by owned by the homeowners.

Mr. Krebs responded the Homeowner Association or the homeowners owned that shoreline up to the water's edge, so any improvements would have been the homeowners' responsibility to maintain and operate.

Mr. Burlis asked if it was something that he owned that had been transferred.

Mr. Krebs responded negatively and that from the water level down had been transferred. The developer still owned from control out in the main lake which was 18 down, so they transferred from that control line down to the CDD. He said what is from control up is owned and maintained by the HOA or the homeowner, however it was set up. The lake maintenance easement that was recorded as part of a plat that went along the shoreline, which allowed the owner of the lake at the time, the developer, to have access to maintain the lake shorelines from control up, was owned and maintained by the homeowner or the association, unless it had been transferred to the CDD. So only from 18 down had been transferred to the CDD that he was aware of.

Mr. Ward called for further questions, and hearing none, called for a motion to close the Public Hearing.

The point was made by Mr. Weber that if the CDD was going to continue to service the landscaping costs for the upcoming year, it would have cost about \$768,000 which included improvements of \$150,000. He said the Master HOA would only be paying \$617,000 because the capital improvement were being paid for by the reserve, so it was not an expense. He said then if the appropriate number of units for the HOA and the District was divided, the variance was \$88.04 in additional cost per unit. However, it was valuable to point out that a reserve would not have to be established for the CDD in the upcoming year and would not accrue the cost of the capital improvements and would benefit from the economies of the Master Association that the CDD had not been able to obtain because of the magnitude of the Master Association. He said that was a number that could not be defined yet until all the contracts were renegotiated. He said there were other less numeric facts like the difficulty for the CDD to address things quickly because it needed to adhere to a budget. He said the CDD was a government agency and had to be careful how the money was spent whereas the Master Association had more flexibility. He said as a resident he was interested in that number, and it was a significantly important fact to the residents in the community.

Another resident disagreed with the analysis of \$150,000 capital because that was going to come out of the capital reserves of the Master Association. He said when that capital came out of the reserves, the \$150,000 would not be there for the

residents. So although it did not get accounted for as an expense, it was definitely part of the residents' fund that was spent, so the analysis was not correct.

Mr. Weber responded it depended how that figure was looked at. It was not something that residents would be charged for in the upcoming year in the tax bill or Master Association dues.

The resident responded at some point in time when \$150,000 was needed, it would not be in the reserve account, and the residents would be asked for the money. So he pointed out if they did not pay for it now, they would pay for it later.

Mr. Weber said if the resident's analysis was used it was a difference of \$172.14.

The resident asked why that would not be material.

Mr. Weber said he was not saying that it wasn't material, but was saying it was important everyone knew what the numbers were. He pointed out there were also a number of factors that a number could not be put on. He said while all these are "concrete" numbers that could be identified, other benefits could not be identified, like the economies a scale, and the size of that number was not known yet.

The resident responded that the Board was making decisions now that were going to impact residents later. He said that was the discussion point. He said the Board, which was made up of residents, was making decisions to transfer budget money over to the Master Association. He said there had to be some balancing between those two bodies because both of them were coming to residents for the money. He said as decisions were being made by the Board, they needed to be cognizant of the impact on the other side.

Mr. Weber responded that was why he had brought up the discussion.

Another resident said he was not sure he understood the analysis and was it that the \$172 was not material or just that it is \$172.

Mr. Weber responded that he was trying to be transparent which was very important and this information had been asked of him and others. He said he was not saying if it was good or bad, but personally, he did not have a problem with it because he felt the number was going to be less at the end of the day.

Mr. Ballinger said if you take into consideration the necessity if the District was still doing the landscaping and that reserve, it was upsetting to everybody. He said Tim Byal came up with the proposal to do the change, and it answered some problems and in itself lessened the impact of the fees that were being paid.

It was pointed out that the Board was not bound to the arrangement in perpetuity; so if in a year or two, it was not working and not yielding the benefits expected, then it could be changed.

Another resident stated that residents were delaying or using the reserve to counteract the \$172 and lowering it to \$88. So the real number was \$172, and they were using some "stashed cash" to pay it now, and then build the reserve back up again.

It was stated that when the transition occurred, the reserves became the ownership of the residents. The current balance in the reserves was \$5 million. However, if the \$150,000 was ever needed, the impact down the road would be more than adequate.

Mr. Ward called for further questions, and hearing none, called for a motion to close the Public Hearing.

On MOTION made by Mr. Ballinger, seconded by Mr. Donoho, and with all in favor, the Public Hearing was closed.

Mr. Ward asked if there were any questions from the Board on the budget. Hearing none, he called for a motion to adopt Resolution 2018-9 which adopted the Budget for Fiscal Year 2019 beginning on October 1, 2018 and ending on September 30, 2019.

On MOTION made by Mr. Refkin, seconded by Mr. Ballinger, and with all in favor, Resolution 2018-9 was adopted.

b) Fiscal Year 2019 Imposing Special Assessments; Adopting an Assessment Roll; and Approving the General Fund Special Assessment Methodology

Mr. Ward said this Public Hearing imposed the Special Assessments which was the amount of the General Fund Assessment, approved an Assessment Roll and permitted the Board to certify that to the property appraiser in Lee County, and it adopted the General Fund Special Assessment Methodology. He called for a motion to open the second Public Hearing.

On MOTION made by Mr. Ballinger, seconded by Mr. Refkin, and with all in favor, the Public Hearing was opened.

Mr. Ward called for questions from the public, and hearing none, called for a motion to close the Public Hearing.

On MOTION made by Mr. Donoho, seconded by Mr. Refkin, and with all in favor, the Public Hearing was closed.

Mr. Ward said Resolution 2018-10 was in order and recommended for the Board's consideration.

Mr. Ballinger said there were several misspellings and questions about the Storm Water Management rules and policies.

Mr. Ward said they would get to that next, and called for a motion to adopt.

Mr. Weber pointed out that this item was the assessment for the upcoming year of \$526.69, which was what would be on the tax roll, and last year it had been over \$700.

On MOTION made by Mr. Refkin, seconded by Mr. Weber, and with all in favor. Resolution 2018-10 was adopted.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Hearing

Mr. Ward stated this Public Hearing was related to the adoption of Rule 1 regarding the operations and maintenance of the District's Storm Water Management System.

Mr. Cusmano explained Rule 1 was broken into sections. The first was the lake maintenance responsibility and controlling the elevation down. He pointed out a map which showed the drainage basins and the control levels for various lakes, which showed the owner maintenance responsibility control up and District control down. He said that applied to lakes owned or operated by the District. He explained the District would also be responsible for matorral plants in certain matorral zones in accordance with the permits. He said if an owner failed to fulfill his responsibility under these rules, essentially the Board had the right to acquire corrective action. He said if corrective action had to be taken, the Board would have the right to charge the owners for costs. The second portion of the Rule was drainage directly into the lakes, which was drainage into the recreational lake. The Rule stated no drainage directly into the recreational lake. He said water would drain into the streets and then out, and this would also control gutters and downspouts into the lake. He said the District would first ascertain if any South Florida Water Management District permitting was necessary. If not, he said the District would give some controls to make sure the work was done right and not create unnecessary erosion. He said there were riprap installation and maintenance guidelines.

Mr. Cusmano continued that maintenance of inlets and storm drains, some of which had not yet been transferred to the District, were addressed. He said there were procedures in the Rule for that to happen.

Mr. Krebs said there were two permits that governed, the Army Corps of Engineers permit, which covered the Wetlands and the recreational lake, and the South Florida Water Management District Permit, which covered the whole Water Management System. He said these were the two main governing bodies which tell the District how to maintain and keep things in order. He said the other process concerned new constructions or repairs, and residents still would have to go through the County to do any type of site permitting. He said the County would issue dock and shore permits.

Mr. Krebs explained the recreational lakes could go up to 65 percent, all the internal water management lakes along the golf course and inside communities were still held at the 20 percent of shoreline that could be riprapped by County ordinance. The procedure for installing riprap was contained in the Rule. He said much of the Water Management System was transferred with bond money and certain communities were not yet transferred. He said there was a list of communities and roadways that the Board felt needed to be transferred and guidelines on how to do so.

A comment was made that Section 6A read "As of the date of these rules, now storm drains and inlets in every neighborhood or condominium within the District have been turned over to the District." He asked if a list was being maintained of which ones have or have not been transferred. He said it would also be good to know the status of the different drains around the community.

It was pointed out that the requisitions had been gone through when the District went through the bond transfers and identified the communities to which the CDD had given money for improvements. He said that list had been prepared, and he would email it to Mr. Ward to distribute.

Mr. Ward said he did have a list that identified the ones that had not been transferred. Secondly, he said the plan was that if the rule was adopted by the Board this way, then staff would begin to notify those associations that had those particular issues, and inform them of what they would need to do to get that turned over to the District.

An audience member stated that a month ago scuba divers were in the neighborhood going into the storm drains and cleaning them, and asked if this would be done on an annual basis.

Mr. Ward said in the future it would be done, but there would still be some storm drains owned by the Master Association, so it would be a coordinated effort between the Master Association and the District.

On MOTION made by Mr. Ballinger, seconded by Mr. Refkin, and with all in favor, the Public Hearing was opened.

Mr. Ward asked for questions.

An audience member said basically next year all the sewers would be done.

Mr. Ward responded all of the sewers had been done this year in association with the Master Association.

Mr. Ward was asked how the residents would know if the storm drains had been turned over to the District. He responded there was a list being kept and residents will be notified if they were on the list of people not having been turned over.

It was said that this actually related back to the landscaping. The Surface Water Management System was really the primary obligation and responsibility of the District. He said there were disparate pieces which made it difficult to manage properly; and at the same time, there was attention on landscape issues and things that were a distraction. However, the big picture and concept was for the District to put its arms around all the elements of the Surface Water Management System and get all the pieces that had not been turned over and properly manage the system. He said now with this focus, a good job can be done for the community. He applauded the efforts to put controls around this system.

An audience member asked how a non HOA resident could access the list online. Mr. Ward responded he would put it online.

An audience member asked if it would be fair to assume with storm drain cleaning on a regular basis that there would not be any street overflow. The response was when everything is in place, it should function on an equal basis.

An audience member stated if your property was on the end, the backups would be higher on your end. He asked if this was a design flaw.

Mr. Krebs responded that the roads themselves are part of water management system, so anything over a 5 year storm, roads are supposed to have water in them. He explained it depended how much rain there was over what time period. He said a five year storm is $5 \frac{1}{2}$ inches in 24 hours. If you get $5 \frac{1}{2}$ inches in three hours, that water is going to stay just that much farther. He said hopefully the cleaning of the drains would help this issue. A discussion ensued on this point.

It was pointed out that it was discovered that the maintenance needed to be done holistically. The CDD was doing its job, but all the other drains that were not being attended to were the problem. He said all the drain pipes were tested, and the impacted pipes were the ones not being maintained by the CDD. The conclusion was if the whole system was not maintained, it would fail.

An audience member responded that it sounded like the problem had been resolved, but he did not hear that from the engineer.

Mr. Krebs responded he would never say the roads would not back up. He said there would be a storm event which would occur that would leave enough water on the roads to back it up.

It was asked if this was a design flaw.

Mr. Krebs said this was not a design flaw as the whole community had been designed according to South Florida Water Management System requirements. He said so many factors play into road flooding that you cannot say it will never happen again. He said each time a community was added, the lakes were sized for the additional volume for that area. He said control structures were modified to accommodate that additional area.

The comment was made that the result of the flooding problem which occurred was that the unmaintained connection pipes were discovered, and the problem was solved.

Mr. Krebs continued that the water must be discharged at a certain rate, and because of that the water has to stay on the golf course side, for instance, for a certain amount of time so it could be treated. Then, after it is treated, it flows into the recreational lake which then goes into the slough by the weir. He said there were many factors and requirements which must be met to make sure the water meets South Florida Water Management quality standards.

The question was asked if it was 18 feet where the weir was.

Mr. Krebs responded that everything that was in Basin 6 was 18 ngbd. He said recently agencies have been using nabd, which was roughly 1.2 feet lower. He said 18 ngbd was the control level. He said it was different on the other side of the community.

It was pointed out that the gradual 4 to 1 slope up from the lake belonged to the homeowner, and the reality was the water would be about 3 to 6 feet up the slope. When that water receded, it would cause a great deal of erosion.

Mr. Krebs stated the control elevation was based on the mean high water, the average high water elevation. He said property lines ended at control. He said the CDD would own from the control line down, and in addition, there was a 20 foot maintenance easement which went around which allowed access.

The question was asked why the number was 18 and not 20, or why not where the water got higher.

Mr. Krebs responded because 18 was the property line.

Mr. Ballinger commented that following Hurricanes Harvey and Irma, he did not know of any houses in the community that flooded, so something was working, even though it took a little while for the water to recede. He said it was going to work better because of the maintenance which had been done.

A question was asked about Navonna, and if it was 65% or 20% and could install riprap.

Mr. Krebs responded it was 65%. He said the 20% was restricted to the standalone lakes which had no connection to the recreational lake.

It was asked if the percentage included the common areas, and Mr. Krebs responded it did. He said the rule was not specific to a community, it was the entire lakeshore. It was 65% from Alico down to Verona Lago.

The question was asked if property around where riprap had been installed would experience accelerated erosion. Mr. Krebs responded he did not necessarily agree with that.

Mr. Krebs said the County was trying to control hardened shore line.

The question was asked if a homeowner wanted to install riprap, would he petition the Board to do so, and would it be allowed as long as the property fit the percentage rule. He asked if the other homeowners would have a say.

Mr. Krebs responded it would be up to the Master Association how that would work.

The comment was made that the CDD was the first step in the process, and it was decided that the process should be reviewed. Also, it was mentioned that the area being riprapped belonged to the CDD.

Mr. Ballinger asked who would keep track of the 65%. Mr. Krebs said right now Mr. Krebs was, and he kept a spreadsheet of the information.

Mr. Ward said another issue was the CDD did not know where all the homes were with riprap. He said many times the homeowner did not get the proper permits, and the Board was not equipped to police the entire community. He said the homeowner associations would have to take the lead on this. A discussion of this issue ensued.

Mr. Ballinger stated the reason the Board was trying to get the rules on paper was to answer questions people have. He said the Board will have the authority to assess and correct any problems that occur. He pointed out the homeowner, homeowner associations, and the CDD had joint responsibility.

Mr. Ward called for further questions, and hearing none, called for a motion to close the Public Hearing.

On MOTION made by Mr. Donoho, seconded by Mr. Ballinger, and with all in favor, the Public Hearing was closed.

He said the final action was to approve Resolution 2018-12, which would adopt the Storm Water Rule. He asked for further discussion.

Mr. Ballinger said there were several items which needed correction. He said on Page 4, the word following should be corrected; Page 5, 65% of those portion, add an s; Page 5, the word following; Section 6 shall be responsible for "the or their or its" operation and maintenance. He said Page 6 was well written. Mr. Krebs said he would recommend the resolution be accepted with those changes.

On MOTION made by Mr. Donoho, seconded by Mr. Ballinger, and with all in favor, Resolution 2018-12 with corrections was adopted.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resolution 2018-11

Mr. Ward stated this Resolution set the dates, time and location for regular meetings in Fiscal Year 2019. They would be the same as this year which was the second Thursday of every month at 2:00 p.m. at the Beach Clubhouse. He said this would set in place a procedure to allow advertising once at the beginning of the year. He said they could still add or take away meetings. He called for a motion.

On MOTION made by Mr. Ballinger, seconded by Mr. Donoho, and with all in favor, Resolution 2018-11 was adopted.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

- a) District Attorney
 Mr. Cusmano reported on landscaping that would be done by next week.
- b) District Engineer No report.
- c) District Manager No report.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisors' Requests and Audience Comments

There were no supervisors' requests and no audience comments.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

Mr. Ward adjourned the meeting at 3:22 p.m.

On MOTION made by Mr. Ballinger, seconded by Mr. Refkin, and with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.

Miromar Lakes Community Development District	September 13, 2018
Miromar Lakes Community Development District	

Dr. David Herring, Chairman

James P. Ward, Secretary



Memorandum

Date: Oct. 1, 2018

To: James P. Ward- District Manager

From: Bruce Bernard - Field Manager

Paul Cusmano – Asset Manager

Subject: Miromar Lakes CDD - September 2018

CGA Project # 13-5692

Civil Engineering/Roadway & Highway Design

Coastal Engineering

Code Enforcement
Construction Engineering

& Inspection (CEI)

Construction Services

Contract Government Services

Data Technologies & Development

Electrical Engineering
Emergency Management

Engineering

Environmental Services Facilities Management

Geographic Information

Systems (GIS)

Indoor Air Quality

Land Development

Landscape Architecture

Municipal Engineering

Planning

Redevelopment

Surveying & Mapping

Traffic Engineering

Transportation Planning

Urban Design

Water/Wastewater

Treatment Facilities

Website Development/ Computer Graphics

GSA Contract Holder

1800 Eller Drive Suite 600 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316 954.921.7781 phone 954.921.8807 fax

www.cgasolutions.com

Landscape Maintenance

BrightView Landscaping has completed the hard cut trimming of the Viburnum hedges located along the golf course on Ben Hill Griffin Parkway. The contractor will continue with the hard cutting of the Viburnum hedges adjacent to the golf course along the I-75 berm with completion anticipated by the end of this month. The contractor is also currently working on cutting back the Arboricola hedge on the berm adjacent to the FGCU north entrance road.

Lake Maintenance

CDD staff has scheduled meetings with the lake maintenance contractor to begin the proposal process for this year's upcoming capital improvements project(s), erosion restoration of lake banks. Staff will be meeting with the individual HOA representatives as per this year's scheduled / approved capital improvement program to obtain signed access consent forms prior to these restoration efforts. Staff will also obtain a signed final approval form from the HOA representative after the completion of each lake bank restoration project for each individual subdivision.

FORT LAUDERDALE WEST PALM BEACH PORT ST. LUCIE HOMESTEAD TAMPA / CLEARWATER JACKSONVILLE



Civil Engineering/Roadway & Highway Design Coastal Engineering Code Enforcement Construction Engineering & Inspection (CEI) Construction Services Contract Government Services Data Technologies & Development Electrical Engineering **Emergency Management** Engineering **Environmental Services** Facilities Management Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Indoor Air Quality Land Development Landscape Architecture Municipal Engineering Planning Redevelopment Surveying & Mapping Traffic Engineering Transportation Planning

GSA Contract Holder

Urban Design

Water/Wastewater

Treatment Facilities
Website Development/

Computer Graphics

1800 Eller Drive Suite 600 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316 954.921.7781 phone 954.921.8807 fax

www.cgasolutions.com

Stormwater Maintenance

CDD staff and ML had its contractor, M.R.I., on-site cleaning catch basins and piping identified as requiring corrective action based on the inspection report for Miromar Lakes. The contractor employed a dive team to clear the lake interconnects, and a vacuum truck to clean the catch basins and overflow structures identified in the inspection report to be cleaned this year. CDD staff has inspected and probed the structures to ensure that the organic material had been satisfactorily removed. A minor punch list was generated for certain structures that require additional attention by the contractor, which is expected to be completed by the end of this month. The CDD will be undertaking the responsibility, starting in the Oct. 2018/19 budget, for the ongoing maintenance of the entire storm water system and outfalls within Miromar Lakes.

Permit Compliance

SFWMD Notice of Inspection letter dated September 18, 2015 - remaining open items / updates are as follows:

- 1. Application Miromar Lakes Phase 1
 - a. Lake bank erosion Erosion to the lake shoreline has occurred in some areas of Lakes 6G, 6I, and 6J. Lake 6I has a drop of four (4) feet between lots. Also, erosion has occurred near control structure CS#1. Restore the lake shorelines to substantial compliance with permit.

Shoreline erosion mitigation efforts have been incorporated into the CCD Capital Improvements budget(s) from 2016-2020. The CDD itself has taken efforts to implement the maintenance repairs with prior approval from affected Homeowners Associations (HOA's) (shoreline erosion mitigation has begun and has been completed in six of the fourteen subdivisions to be repaired)

2. Application 030128-2 Mediterranean Village Phase 4 Vivaldi

FORT LAUDERDALE WEST PALM BEACH PORT ST. LUCIE HOMESTEAD TAMPA / CLEARWATER JACKSONVILLE



 Lake bank erosion - Erosion to lake shoreline has occurred in some areas on Lake 6H. Restore the lake shoreline to comply with permit.

Shoreline erosion mitigation efforts for this permit will be addressed within the Capital Improvements budget year 2018-2019 within the Monte Bella subdivision.

Civil Engineering/Roadway & Highway Design

Coastal Engineering

Code Enforcement

Construction Engineering & Inspection (CEI)

Construction Services

Contract Government Services

Data Technologies & Development

Electrical Engineering

Emergency Management

Engineering

Environmental Services

Facilities Management

Geographic Information Systems (GIS)

Indoor Air Quality

Land Development

Landscape Architecture

Municipal Engineering

Planning

Redevelopment

Surveying & Mapping

Traffic Engineering

Transportation Planning

Urban Design

Water/Wastewater

Treatment Facilities

Website Development/ Computer Graphics

GSA Contract Holder

1800 Eller Drive Suite 600 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316 954.921.7781 phone 954.921.8807 fax

www.cgasolutions.com

FORT LAUDERDALE WEST PALM BEACH PORT ST. LUCIE HOMESTEAD TAMPA / CLEARWATER JACKSONVILLE

Miromar Lakes Community Development District

Financial Statements

August 31, 2018



Visit our web site: www.miromarlakescdd.org

Prepared by:

JPWARD AND ASSOCIATES, LLC

2900 Northeast 12th Terrace
Suite 1
OAKLAND PARK, FLORIDA 33334
E-MAIL: JIMWARD@JPWARDASSOCIATES.COM
PHONE: (954) 658-4900

Miromar Lakes Community Development District

Table of Contents

	Page
Balance Sheet—All Funds	1-2
Statement of Revenue, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	
General Fund	3-5
Debt Service Fund	
Series 2012 Bonds	6
Series 2015 Bonds	7

JPWard & Associates, LLC 2900 Northeast 12th Terrace Suite 1 Oakland Park, Florida 33334 (954) 658-4900

Miromar Lakes Community Development District Balance Sheet for the Period Ending August 31, 2018

				rnmental Fun								
			Debt	Service Fund	ls			Account	Group	S		Totals
	Gei	neral Fund	Series 2012		Series 2015		General Long Term Debt		General Fixed Assets		(Memorandum Only)	
Assets												
Cash and Investments												
General Fund - Invested Cash	\$	323,693	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	323,693
Debt Service Fund												
Interest Account		-		-		-		-		-		-
Sinking Account		-		-		-		-		-		-
Reserve Account		-		397,373		697,943		-		-		1,095,316
Revenue		-		251,232		575,994		-		-		827,226
Prepayment Account		-		-		1,432		-		-		1,432
Due from Other Funds												
General Fund		-		-		-		-		-		-
Debt Service Fund(s)				-		-		-		-		-
Market Valuation Adjustments		-						-		-		-
Accrued Interest Receivable		-		-		-		-		-		-
Assessments Receivable		-		-		-		-		-		-
Accounts Receivable		-		-		-		-		-		-
Amount Available in Debt Service Funds		-		-		-		648,604		-		648,604
Amount to be Provided by Debt Service Funds		-		-		-		25,931,396		-		25,931,396
Investment in General Fixed Assets (net of									_			06 = 4 4 04 =
depreciation)		-	_	-	_	-		-		36,514,917	_	36,514,917
Total Assets	<u>\$</u>	323,693	\$	648,604	\$	1,275,369	\$	26,580,000	\$ 3	86,514,917	\$	65,342,583

Miromar Lakes Community Development District Balance Sheet for the Period Ending August 31, 2018

				rnmental Fun							
	Gen	eral Fund		t Service Fund eries 2012		eries 2015		Account eneral Long Ferm Debt		aps eneral Fixed Assets	Totals (Memorandum Only)
Liabilities											
	د		¢		۲.		۲.		۲.		ć
Accounts Payable & Payroll Liabilities	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Due to Other Funds											-
General Fund		-		-		-		-		-	-
Debt Service Fund(s)		-		-		-		-		-	-
Other Governments				-							-
Bonds Payable		-									-
Current Portion		-		-		-		\$1,070,000.00		-	1,070,000
Long Term		-		-		-		25,510,000.00			25,510,000
Total Liabilities	\$		\$		\$		\$	26,580,000	\$	-	\$ 26,580,000
Fund Equity and Other Credits											
Investment in General Fixed Assets		-						-		36,514,917	36,514,917
Fund Balance											
Restricted											
Beginning: October 1, 2017 (Audited)		-		794,981		2,982,849		-		-	3,777,830
Results from Current Operations		-		(146,377)		(1,707,480)		-		-	(1,853,857
Unassigned											
Beginning: October 1, 2017 (Audited)		423,111						-		-	423,111
Results from Current Operations		(99,417)						-		-	(99,417
Total Fund Equity and Other Credits	\$	323,693	\$	648,604	\$	1,275,369	\$	-	\$	36,514,917	\$ 38,762,583
Total Liabilities, Fund Equity and Other Credits	\$	323,693	\$	648,604	\$	1,275,369	\$	26,580,000	\$	36,514,917	\$ 65,342,583

Miromar Lakes Community Development District General Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

Through August 31, 2018

													Total Annual	% of
Description	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	Year to Date	Budget	Budget
Revenue and Other Sources														
Carryforward	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	\$ -	-	\$ -	N/A
Interest														
Interest - General Checking	21	16	38	37	33	32	30	26	17	16	13	278	300	93%
Special Assessment Revenue														
Special Assessments - On-Roll	-	242,214	614,917	38,638	29,436	17,318	13,633	(38,906)	12,770	-	-	930,020	911,287	102%
Special Assessments - Off-Roll	113,648	-	-	113,648	-	-	113,648	-	-	74,189	-	415,131	454,590	91%
Miscellaneous Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	N/A
Intragovernmental Transfer In		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	N/A
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$ 113,668	\$ 242,230	\$ 614,955	\$ 152,322	\$ 29,468	\$ 17,350	\$ 127,311	\$ (38,880)	\$ 12,788 \$	74,205	\$ 13	1,345,429	\$ 1,366,177	98%
Expenditures and Other Uses														
Legislative														
Board of Supervisor's - Fees	-	2,000	-	1,000	-	1,000	-	1,000	2,000	1,000	-	8,000	12,000	67%
Board of Supervisor's - Taxes	-	153	-	77	-	77	-	77	153	77	-	612	918	67%
Executive														
Professional Management	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	36,667	40,000	92%
Financial and Administrative														
Audit Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,200	-	-	-	5,200	5,200	100%
Accounting Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Assessment Roll Services	-	-	18,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18,000	18,000	100%
Arbitrage Rebate Services	-	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,000	1,000	100%
Other Contractual Services														
Legal Advertising	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	43	42	-	727	861	1,200	72%
Trustee Services	-	-	-	3,091	-	5,859	-	-	-	-	-	8,949	7,900	113%
Property Appraiser/Tax Collector Fees	-	1,191	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,191	2,400	50%
Bank Services	32	48	36	33	49	33	34	48	35	33	48	429	550	78%
Travel and Per Diem	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Communications & Freight Services														
Postage, Freight & Messenger	52	52	-	-	77	-	66	55	64	-	390	755	400	189%
Insurance	5,778	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,778	5,800	100%
Printing & Binding	-	432	42	74	221	-	36	141	-	162	-	1,109	1,200	92%
Website Maintenance	-	-	-	-	-	1,050	50	50	50	-	750	1,950	1,000	195%
Office Supplies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Subscription & Memberships	175	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	175	175	100%
Legal Services														
Legal - General Counsel	-	7,975	6,526	-	1,733	-	7,714	5,518	-	5,571	-	35,037	30,000	117%
Legal - Litigation	-	-	1,125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,125	-	N/A
Legal - Center Place - Special Counsel	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,000	0%

3

Miromar Lakes Community Development District General Fund

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through August 31, 2018

Description		October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	Year to Date	Total Annual Budget	% of Budget
Legal - Center Place		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Land Exchange - Salerno		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	N/A
Other General Government Service	es.														,
Engineering Services - General Fu	nd	-	3,245	_	3,097	6,491	-	4,705	1,610	2,741	-	2,130	24,020	15,000	160%
NPDES		_	-	-	-	560	-		-	· -	-	· -	560	250	224%
Asset Administration Services		833	833	833	833	833	-	833	1,667	833	_	1,667	9,167	10,000	92%
Center Place		_	_	-	-	_	-	_	· -	_	_	, -	-	, -	N/A
	Sub-Total:	10,254	20,263	29,896	11,537	13,298	11,351	16,772	18,741	9,250	10,177	9,045	160,585	182,993	88%
Hurricane Relief Services															
Engineering Services															
General Engineering		-	6,820	13,211	11,008	10,313	-	4,218	3,390	1,825	-	-	50,784	-	N/A
Water Mgt - Debris Removal															
Lake Bank Erosion		-	-	-	-	147,765	960	11,907	147,620	-	-	-	308,252	-	N/A
Landscaping - Debris Removal															
Landscaping Removal	_	-	-	70,356	-	-	-	27,025	105,420	-	-	-	202,802	-	N/A
	Sub-Total:	-	6,820	83,568	11,008	158,078	960	43,150	256,430	1,825	-	-	561,838	-	
Stormwater Management Services															
Professional Services															
Asset Management		2,317	3,517	2,317	2,317	2,317	-	2,317	4,633	5,012	-	5,133	29,878	27,800	107%
Mitigation Monitoring		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	755	755	500	151%
Utility Services															
Electric - Aeration Systems		339	410	37	421	475	37	722	331	498	339	289	3,899	4,400	89%
Lake System															
Aquatic Weed Control		5,464	5,464	5,464	-	-	-	-	18,202	4,246	-	4,246	43,086	65,568	66%
Lake Bank Maintenance		-	-	-	-	11,150	-	325	-	-	-	8,620	20,095	3,000	670%
Water Quality Testing		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,840	0%
Water Control Structures		-	-	-	3,460	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,460	11,000	31%
Grass Carp Installation		-	-	-	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,500	-	N/A
Litoral Shelf Barrier/Replanting		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Aeration System		-	-	-	-	-	-	4,500	-	-	-	-	4,500	2,000	225%
Wetland System															
Routine Maintenance		3,133	3,133	3,133	-	-	-	-	13,033	3,300	-	3,300	29,033	42,100	69%
Other Current Charges		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Capital Outlay															
Aeration Systems		-	-	-	2,204	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,204	10,800	20%
Littortal Shelf Replanting/Barrio	er	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,000	0%
Lake Bank Restoration		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Turbidity Screens		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,800	0%
Erosion Restoration		-	-	-	_	850	-	_	-	1,493	_	-	2,343	264,253	1%

Miromar Lakes Community Development District General Fund

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through August 31, 2018

Description	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	Year to Date	Total Annual Budget	% of Budget
Contingencies		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25,103	25,103	3,000	837%
Sub-To	tal: 11,253	12,524	10,951	10,901	14,792	37	7,864	36,200	14,548	339	47,446	166,856	468,061	36%
Landscaping Services														
Professional Management														
Asset Management	3,117	3,117	3,117	3,117	3,117	-	3,117	6,233	3,117	-	6,233	34,283	37,400	92%
Utility Services														
Electric	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Irrigation Water	-	-	-	2,702	-	-	2,703	-	-	-	2,703	8,108	5,000	162%
Repairs & Maintenance														
Public Area Landscaping	-	25,549	37,592	47,409	24,549	24,549	25,549	113,072	45,404	-	56,302	399,976	452,000	88%
Irrigation System	2,507	-	-	-	-	-	-	276	-	-	1,179	3,961	8,000	50%
Well System	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,000	0%
Plant Replacement	-	4,857	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,000	34,857	30,000	116%
Other Current Charges														
Lee County Assessments	-	50,852	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,852	51,000	100%
Charlotte County Assessments	-	-	-	-	-	-	649	-	-	-	-	649	375	173%
Hendry County - Panther Habitat Taxe	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Operating Supplies														
Mulch	14,567	-	-	988	-	-	7,325	-	-	-	-	22,880	19,000	120%
Capital Outlay		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	111,348	0%
Sub-To	tal: 20,191	84,375	40,709	54,215	27,666	24,549	39,343	119,582	48,520	-	96,417	555,567	715,123	78%
Total Expenditures and Other Uses	: <u>\$ 41,699</u>	\$ 123,982	\$ 165,123	\$ 87,662	\$ 213,833	\$ 36,898	\$ 107,129	\$ 430,953	\$ 74,144	\$ 10,516	\$ 152,908	\$ 1,444,846	\$ 1,366,177	106%
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance	71,969	118,248	449,832	64,660	(184,365)	(19,548)	20,181	(469,833)	(61,356)	63,689	(152,895)	(99,417)	-	
Fund Balance - Beginning	423,111	495,080	613,328	1,063,160	1,127,820	943,455	923,907	944,089	474,255	412,899	476,588	423,111	526,359	
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ 495,080			\$ 1,127,820	\$ 943,455	\$ 923,907	\$ 944,089		\$ 412,899	\$ 476,588		323,693	\$ 526,359	

Miromar Lakes Community Development District Debt Service Fund - Series 2012 Bonds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through August 31, 2018

Description		October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	Year to Date	Total Annual Budget	% of Budget
Revenue and Other Sources	`	Jetobei	November	December	January	rebruary	IVIGICII	Аріп	iviay	Julie	July	August	rear to bate	Dauget	Dauget
Carryforward	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-	\$ -	N/A
Interest Income											_				
Reserve Account		60,118	(59,623)	133	267	309	(2,851)	3,734	24	27	28	31	2,196	40,000	5%
Prepayment Account		-	-	-	-	-	-	65	133	-	-	-	198	-	N/A
Revenue Account		199	209	74	289	700	673	821	953	318	327	327	4,891	30	16303%
Interest Account		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Special Assessment Revenue															
Special Assessments - On-Roll		-	213,103	541,011	33,994	25,898	15,236	11,994	(34,231)	11,236	-	-	818,241	801,872	102%
Special Assessments - Off-Roll		-	-	-	-	-	-	160,379	-	-	-	-	160,379	160,379	100%
Special Assessments - Prepayments		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Operating Transfers In (From Other Funds)		-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$	60,317	\$ 153,689	\$ 541,218	\$ 34,549	\$ 26,906	\$ 13,059	\$ 176,994	\$ (33,120)	\$ 11,581	\$ 355	\$ 357	985,904	\$ 1,002,281	N/A
Expenditures and Other Uses															
Debt Service															
Principal Debt Service - Mandatory															
Series 2012 Bonds		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	465,000	_	_	_	465,000	\$ 465,000	100%
Principal Debt Service - Early Redemptions									,				,	, ,,,,,,,	
Series 2012 Bonds		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	130,000	_	_	_	130,000	-	N/A
Interest Expense															,
Series 2012 Bonds		_	268,641	_	_	-	_	_	268,641	_	_	_	537,281	537,281	100%
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)		_		_	_	_	_	_	,	_	_	_	-	-	N/A
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$	-	\$ 268,641	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 863,641	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	1,132,281	\$ 1,002,281	N/A
		60.247	(114.053)	E41 240	24 540	20.000	12.050	176.004	(000 704)	11 504	255	257	(146 277)	(0)	
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance		60,317	(114,952)	541,218	34,549	26,906	13,059	176,994	(896,761)	11,581	355	357	(146,377)	(0)	
Fund Balance - Beginning	_	794,981	855,298	740,346	1,281,565	1,316,114	1,343,020	1,356,079	1,533,073	636,312	647,893	648,247	794,981	870,552	
Fund Balance - Ending	>	855,298	\$ 740,346	\$ 1,281,565	\$ 1,316,114	\$ 1,343,020	\$ 1,356,079	\$ 1,533,073	\$ 636,312	\$ 647,893	\$ 648,247	\$ 648,604	648,604	\$ 870,551	

Note: Negative interest income due to maturity of investment coming due November 17, 2017

Miromar Lakes Community Development District Debt Service Fund - Series 2015 Bonds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through August 31, 2018

													Total Annual	% of
Description	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	Year to Date	Budget	Budget
Revenue and Other Sources														
Carryforward	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-	\$ -	N/A
Interest Income										-				
Reserve Account	142,555	(141,575)	213	507	587	(4,685)	6,308	136	84	88	96	4,314	90,000	5%
Interest Account	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	N/A
Prepayment Account	346	836	0	-	-	338	-	-	2	2	-	1,523	-	N/A
Revenue Account	1,368	(701)	117	209	354	-	440	876	529	557	714	4,465	-	N/A
Special Assessment Revenue														
Special Assessments - On-Roll	-	65,462	166,190	10,442	7,955	4,680	3,685	93,495	4,899	-	-	356,809	246,240	145%
Special Assessments - Off-Roll	-	-	-	-	-	-	992,869	-	-	-	-	992,869	1,179,635	84%
Special Assessments - Prepayments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Operating Transfers In (From Other Funds)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Bond Proceeds	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$ 144,269	\$ (75,978)	\$ 166,520	\$ 11,158	\$ 8,897	\$ 333	\$ 1,003,302	\$ 94,508	\$ 5,514	\$ 647	\$ 812	\$ 1,359,982	\$ 1,515,875	N/A
Expenditures and Other Uses														
Debt Service														
Principal Debt Service - Mandatory														
Series 2015 Bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	605,000	-	-	-	605,000	\$ 650,000	93%
Principal Debt Service - Early Redemptions														
Series 2015 Bonds	-	1,580,000	-	-	-	-	-	55,000	-	-	-	1,635,000	-	N/A
Interest Expense														
Series 2015 Bonds	-	432,938	-	_	-	-	_	394,525	-	-	-	827,463	865,875	96%
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)	-	· -	_		_	_	_	, -	_	_	_	-	-	N/A
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$ -	\$ 2,012,938	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,054,525	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	3,067,463	\$ 1,515,875	N/A
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance	144,269	(2,088,915)	166,520	11,158	8,897	333	1,003,302	(960,017)	5,514	647	812	(1,707,480)	_	
Fund Balance - Beginning	2,982,849	3,127,118	1,038,203	1,204,723	1,215,881	1,224,778	1,225,111	2,228,413	1,268,395	1,273,909	1,274,556	2,982,849	_	
rana balance behinning	2,302,043	3,127,110	1,000,200	1,207,723	1,213,001	1,224,770	1,223,111	2,220,413	1,200,333	1,2,3,303	1,2,7,330	2,302,043		

Note: Negative interest income due to maturity of investment coming due November 17, 2017