MIROMAR LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT





APRIL 10, 2022

PREPARED BY:

JPWARD & ASSOCIATES, LLC, 2301 NORTHEAST 37TH STREET, FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33308 T: 954-658-4900 E: JimWard@JPWardAssociates.com

MIROMAR LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

April 6, 2022

Board of Supervisors

Miromar Lakes Community Development District

Dear Board Members:

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Miromar Lakes Community Development District will be held on Wednesday, April 13, 2022, at 4:00 P.M. in the Library at the Beach Clubhouse, 18061 Miromar Lakes Parkway, Miromar Lakes, Florida 33913.

The following WebEx link and telephone number are provided to join/watch the meeting remotely. <u>https://districts.webex.com/districts/onstage/g.php?MTID=e01c5698dfb2cc79f21df3b9cc3e327fd</u> Access Code: **2346 734 1813**, Event Password: **Jpward** Phone: **408-418-9388** and enter the access code **2346 734 1813** to join the meeting.

Agenda

- 1. Call to Order & Roll Call.
- 2. Notice of Advertisement of Meeting.
- 3. Consideration of Minutes:
 - I. March 10, 2022 Regular Meeting.
- Consideration of Resolution 2022-6, a resolution of the Board of Supervisors approving the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2023 and Setting a Public Hearing for Thursday, June 9, 2022, at 2:00 P.M. at the Beach Clubhouse, 18061 Miromar Lakes Parkway, Miromar Lakes, Florida 33913 on the Proposed Budget.
- 5. Staff Reports.
 - I. District Attorney.
 - II. District Engineer.
 - a. Stormwater Reporting Update.
 - III. District Asset Manager.
 - a. Operations Report April 1, 2022.
 - IV. District Manager

- a. Notice of Qualified Elector Election Seat 1, Mike Weber, Seat 2, Doug Ballinger, and Seat 3, Alan Refkin.
- b. Financial Statement for period ending March 31, 2022 (unaudited).
- 6. Supervisor's Requests and Audience Comments.
- 7. Adjournment.

The first order of business is the Call to Order & Roll Call.

The second order of business is the Notice of Advertisement of Meeting.

The third order of business is the consideration of the March 10, 2022, Regular Meeting minutes.

The fourth order of business is consideration of **Resolution 2022-6**, a resolution of the Board of Supervisors based on the District's enabling legislation, which requires the District Manager to submit a Proposed Budget to the Board of Supervisors by June 15th of each year for your review and approval. The approval of the budget is only intended to permit the District to move through the process towards adopting the budget at a Public Hearing at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

The approval of the Budget does not bind the Board to any of the costs contained in the budget, any of the programs contained in the Budget and most importantly it does not bind the Board to any of the Assessment Rates contemplated because of the preparation of the Budget. It does however set the maximum assessment rate for the general fund. The budget hearing is scheduled for **Thursday**, **June 9**, **2022**, at **2:00 P.M.** at the **Beach Clubhouse**, **18061 Miromar Lakes Parkway**, **Miromar Lakes**, **Florida 33913**.

The fifth order of business is consideration are the staff reports by the District Attorney, District Engineer, and District Asset Manager, including the Operations Report, dated April 1, 2022. The District Manager shall report on: (i) the upcoming qualified elector election (conducted by the Supervisor of Elections of Collier County) in November 2022, during which three (3) seats (Supervisor seats 1, 2, 3) are available; and (ii)Financial Statements for the period ending March 31, 2022 (unaudited).

The sixth order of business are Supervisor's Requests and Audience Comments.

The balance of the agenda is standard in nature, and I look forward to seeing you at the meeting. If you have any questions and/or comments before the meeting, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at (954) 658-4900.

Sincerely yours,

Miromar Lakes Community Development District

Tomus P Ward

James P. Ward District Manager

Meetings for Fiscal Year 2022 are as follows:

April 14, 2022	May 12, 2022
June 9, 2022	July 14, 2022
August 11, 2022	September 8, 2022

The News-Press media group

Attn: MIROMAR LAKES CDD 2301 NE 37TH ST FT LAUDERDALE, FL 33308

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF LEE: Before the undersigned authority personally appeared, who on oath says that he or she is a Legal Assistant of the News-Press, a daily newspaper published at Fort Myers in Lee County, Florida; that the attached copy of advertisement, being a Legal Ad in the matter of

NOTICE OF MEETING MIROMAR LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT The Regular Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Miromar Lakes Community Development Dist

In the Twentieth Judicial Circuit Court was published in said newspaper editions dated in the issues of or by publication on the newspaper's website, if authorized, on :

04/03/2022

Affiant further says that the said News-Press is a paper of general circulation daily in Lee County and published at Fort Myers, in said Lee County, Florida, and that the said newspaper has heretofore been continuously published in said Lee County, Florida each day and has been entered as periodicals matter at the post office in Fort Myers, in said Lee County, Florida, for a period of one year next preceding the first publication of the attached copy of advertisement; and affiant further says that he or she has never paid nor promised any person, firm or corporation any discount, rebate, commission or refund for the purpose of securing this advertisement for publication in said newspaper editions dated:

Sworn to and Subscribed before me this 3rd of April 2022, by legal clerk who is personally known to me.

- cus

NOTICE OF MEETING MIROMAR LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The Regular Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Miromar Lakes Community Development District is scheduled to be held on Wednesday, April 13, 2022, at 4:00 P.M. at the Beach Clubhouse, 18061 Miromar Lakes Parkway, Miromar Lakes, Florida 33913 on the following dates:

The meeting is open to the public and will be conducted in accordance with the provision of Florida Law for Community Development Districts. A copy of the agenda for the meeting may be obtained by contacting the District's Management office by phoning (954) 658-4900 or on the District's web site at www.miromarlakescdd.org at least seven (7) days prior to the date of the meeting.

The meeting may be cancelled or continued to a date, time and location specified on the record at the meeting.

In accordance with the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act, any person requiring special accommodations or an interpreter to participate at this meeting should contact the District Manager by telephone at (954) 658-4900 at least seven (7) days prior to the date of the particular meeting. If you are hearing or speech impaired, please contact the Florida Relay. Service a 1-800-955-8770 for assistance in contacting the District Office.

If any person decides to appeal any decision made with respect to any matter considered at this meeting, such person will need a record of the proceeding and such person may need to insure that a verbatim record of the proceeding is made at their own expense and which record includes the testimony and evidence on which the appeal is based.

Miromar Lakes Community Development District James P. Ward, District Manager AD # 5168075 April 3, 2022

Affiant

Notary State of Wisgonsin, Count

of Affidavits1 This is not an invoice NANCY HEYRMAN Notary Public State of Wisconsin

My commission expires

1 2		MINUTES OF MEETING MIROMAR LAKES
2	COMM	UNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT
4		
5	The Regular Meeting of the Board of	Supervisors of Miromar Lakes Community Development District
6	•	22, at 2:00 p.m. at the Library in the Beach Clubhouse, 18061
7	Miromar Lakes Parkway, Miromar Lake	es, Florida 33913.
8		
9		
10 11	Present and constituting a quo Alan Refkin	orum: Chair
12	Michael Weber	Vice Chair
13	Doug Ballinger	Assistant Secretary
14	Patrick Reidy	Assistant Secretary
15	Mary LeFevre	Assistant Secretary
16		
17	Also present were:	
18	James P. Ward	District Manager
19	Greg Urbancic	District Attorney
20	Charlie Krebs	District Engineer
21	Bruce Bernard	Asset Manager
22	Bill Reagan	FMS Bonds
23	Denise Ganz	Bond Counsel
24	Andrew Gill	Associate of JPWard & Associates.
25		
26	Audience:	
27		at included with the minutes. If a merident did wat identify
28		ot included with the minutes. If a resident did not identify
29 30	minutes.	did not pick up the name, the name was not recorded in these
30 31	minutes.	
32	FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS	Call to Order/Roll Call
33		
34	District Manager James P. Ward called	I the meeting to order at approximately 2:00 p.m. He conducted
35	roll call; all Members of the Board wer	e present, with Supervisor Weber present via video, constituting a
36	quorum.	
37		
38	SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS	Consideration of Minutes
39		
40	February 10, 2022 – Regular Meeting	
41		
42		lditions, deletions, or corrections for the Minutes. A few name
43	spelling corrections were suggested. N	Ir. Ward indicated he would make the requested corrections.
44		
45	-	Ms. Mary LeFevre, seconded by Mr. Alan Refkin,
46		the February 10, 2022, Regular Meeting Minutes
47	were approved as corr	

48 THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resolution 2022-4

50 Consideration of Resolution 2022-4, a resolution of the Board of Supervisors of Miromar Lakes 51 Community Development District (i) authorizing the Issuance of its \$6,960,000 Capital Improvement 52 Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2022, the proceeds of which will be used, together with other 53 available funds, to refund and redeem its outstanding Capital Improvement Revenue Refunding 54 Bonds, Series 2012 and pay costs of issuance of the Series 2022 Bonds; (ii) designating the Series 2022 55 Bonds as qualified tax-exempt obligations for purposes of the Internal Revenue Code Of 1986, as 56 amended; (iii) providing for the refunding and redemption of the outstanding Series 2012 Bonds; 57 approving the form of a fifth supplemental trust indenture in connection with the Series 2022 Bonds 58 and authorizing the execution and delivery thereof; (iv) appointing a trustee, paying agent and bond 59 registrar for the Series 2022 Bonds; (v) authorizing the application of the proceeds of the Series 2022 60 Bonds and certain monies held by the trustee in connection with the Series 2012 Bonds; (vi) providing 61 for redemption of the Series 2022 Bonds; (vii) providing for the negotiated private placement of the 62 Series 2022 Bonds

63

49

64 Mr. Ward explained Resolution 2022-4 authorized the issuance of the Series 2022 bonds, which were 65 the refinancing of the existing 2012 bonds. He introduced Mr. Bill Reagan and Ms. Denise Ganz.

66

67 Mr. Bill Reagan with FMS Bonds indicated Ms. Denise Ganz (bond counsel) would review the bond 68 documents for the closing next week. He reported the interest rate was locked in at 2.71%, with the 69 same maturity date of 2032. He noted the percentage of savings was significant at approximately 15%. 70

Ms. Denise Ganz reported the closing documents were essentially complete; this Resolution would, in effect, approve the authorization and issuance of this bond to refund the 2012 bonds and approve execution and delivery of a supplemental indenture which laid out the terms of the bonds, as well as the escrow deposit agreement. She explained the bond money would be in escrow to pay off the prior bonds at the end of April. She stated the bond would be placed with Hancock Whitney Bank.

76

78

77 Mr. Ward asked if there were any questions; hearing none, he called for a motion.

79 80 On MOTION made by Mr. Alan Refkin, seconded by Mr. Pat Reidy, and with all in favor, Resolution 2022-4 was adopted, and the Chair was authorized to sign.

81 82

84

83 FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resolution 2022-5

85 Consideration Resolution 2022-5, a resolution of the Board of Supervisors of Miromar Lakes 86 Community Development District: (i) relating to the issuance of the District's capital improvement revenue refunding bonds, Series 2022; (ii) Supplementing Resolution 2001-1 (as previously 87 88 supplemented by Resolution 2012-6), which resolution previously equalized, approved, confirmed, 89 imposed, and levied special assessments on an peculiar to property specially benefited by the 90 District's project; (iii) adopting the Miromar Lakes Community Development District assessment 91 allocation report for capital improvement revenue refunding bonds, Series 2022 prepared by AJC 92 Associates, Inc. and dated March 10, 2022; (iv) adopting and confirming an assessment roll; providing 93 for the update of the Districts assessment records; and (v) providing for severability, conflicts, and an 94 effective date

95

- 96 Mr. Ward explained Resolution 2022-5 was related to the issuance of the actual bonds and was97 prepared by general counsel.
- 98

99 Mr. Greg Urbancic explained the assessment process including resolutions, public hearings, etc. He 100 stated this Resolution contemplated a "bring down resolution" which tailored the final assessments to 101 the bonds issued. He stated a final assessment resolution was adopted in late 2000, and again in 2012 102 when the bonds were refinanced; today the same would be done, a supplemental assessment report, 103 prepared by AJC Associates, would be adopted. He explained Resolution 2022-5 adopted the 104 supplemental assessment, allocation report, and reset the assessments based upon the allocation 105 report. He stated from this, the District would update the assessment records and when the 106 assessments were placed on the tax rolls going forward, these new numbers would be utilized.

- 107
- 108 Mr. Pat Reidy noted when this process was completed, \$112,836 dollars would go into an interest 109 reserve, which he assumed was for the November 2022 date.
- 110
- Mr. Ward agreed and explained the funds to pay off the bonds would go into an escrow fund and onceclosed, the bonds would be called.
- 113

115

- 114 Ms. Ganz noted the bonds would be paid out of the escrow account on May 1, 2022.
- 116 Mr. Reidy indicated he understood. He noted there were \$246,000 dollars in costs to pay the bank, 117 attorney, etc.
- 118

120

122

124

- 119 Mr. Ward concurred. He asked what the call date was on the 2012 bonds.
- 121 Ms. Ganz responded the call date for the 2021 bonds was May 1.
- 123 Mr. Reidy asked why \$112,836 dollars was going into a reserve account for interest.

Ms. Ganz explained the assessments were collected in advance, and to the extent any additional assessment came in, it would go into the revenue account or to pay cost of issuance. She explained, just to be safe, to ensure the District had enough to pay the interest, the interest was funded through an escrow account for this year.

- 130 Mr. Ward asked if there were any questions; hearing none, he called for a motion.
- 131 132

133

134

129

- On MOTION made by Mr. Doug Ballinger, seconded by Mr. Alan Refkin, and with all in favor, Resolution 2022-5 was adopted, and the Chair was authorized to sign.
- 135 136 137

138

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration and Discussion

- Consideration and discussion on long-term midge fly population control through fishery management
- 139140 Mr. Ward noted Mr. David Beasley with Solitude was present via video.
- 141

- 142 Mr. Bruce Bernard introduced Mr. Beasley.
- 143

144 Mr. David Beasley with Solitude explained the tentative plan had two phases: Phase 1 was to collect 145 information to prioritize how to reach the District's goals of achieving a sustainable water body which 146 had a reasonable midge population, or no midge population, with decent fishing and good aesthetics. 147 He stated the first step was to electrofish the water body and understand what was out of balance. He 148 explained electrofishing was a process of running electricity into the water safely, stunning the fish 149 temporarily, collect data on the fish including weight, length, species, how many in each size, class, etc. 150 He stated following this a plan could be developed to reduce the number of unwanted fish, stock 151 desirable fish, and/or improve the quality of the lake. He stated currently the District was spending over 152 \$20,000 dollars annually to mitigate the midge flies in the area. He noted midge flies became a problem 153 with poor water quality and/or a fish population which was out of balance; therefore, there were long 154 term solutions which could be implemented to solve the midge fly problem while at the same time 155 improving fishing in the lake. He stated he hoped to get approval to electrofish on March 21st, 2022 and 156 from there a more concrete approach could be determined.

157

Mr. Mike Weber thanked Mr. Beasley for the report outlining what to expect. He noted it would be important to have a timeline for each of the action items for the purpose of reporting to the community, as well as budgeting purposes. He stated he hoped some of the new costs for this plan would replace some of the current midge fly costs to eventually constitute a savings; this would be important to keep in mind while preparing the budget. He asked if this project was the CDD's responsibility or shared with the Master Association.

164

165 Mr. Pat Reidy asked if Mr. Beasley's proposal was only for Miromar Lakes, or was it also for Wild Blue, 166 Esplanade and Miromar Lakes.

167

168 Mr. Beasley responded the proposal was for Miromar Lakes and Esplanade.

- 170 Mr. Bernard concurred.
- 171

169

172 Mr. Beasley stated he assumed Esplanade would split the cost with Miromar Lakes.

173

Mr. Ward noted this proposal had not gone before the Esplanade Board at this point in time; therefore, 174 175 he could not make any representations as to what the Esplanade Board would or would not do. He 176 noted he would present this proposal to the Esplanade Board but was presenting it to Miromar Lakes 177 first. He noted the numbers here were large for both Districts and it would be good to proceed slowly 178 and obtain better quantifiables in terms of what this would or would not accomplish. He stated he did 179 not know whether it was unreasonable to spend \$30,000 dollars in midge fly mitigation, nor did he 180 know whether spending \$200,000 dollars to \$300,000 dollars over a two to three year period (as 181 proposed by this plan) was reasonable. He stated it would be a good idea to start with the study, but he 182 was unsure about the rest of the numbers.

183

184 Mr. Doug Ballinger stated it seemed the majority of the money proposed to be spent would be for 185 stocking fish, between \$150,000 dollars to \$200,000 dollars.

186

187 Mr. Beasley concurred but noted this was hypothetical; there may not necessarily be that many fish 188 required. He noted the \$150,000 dollar to \$300,000 dollar discrepancy which was very broad had more to do with bass genetics and fish improvement. He stated the lower number was likely more accuratefor the District's application.

191

192 Mr. Ballinger asked how this number was determined.

193

194 Mr. Beasley explained this was an average; basically, he took the one time action item section in step 2, 195 looked at these numbers, and pulled an average out of these numbers. He stated it was important to 196 understand this was just so the District understood what it could be getting into. He noted he rarely 197 made estimates before conducting the initial survey, but he understood it was important to have this 198 conversation before the District spent the funds on the initial survey to ensure the District understood 199 the situation. He noted whether the District had \$10,000 dollars or \$100,000 dollars to spend a year, it 200 was important to prioritize the items, and determine what was realistic before moving forward. He 201 stated there were things which the community could accomplish as well, for example, the anglers who 202 lived in the community could accomplish the necessary fish harvesting just by doing what they enjoyed 203 (fishing) and save up to \$60,000 dollars a year in fish harvesting fees. He stated there were other ways 204 to stretch dollars as well. He noted in general, aquatic vegetation played a huge role, as well as the 205 number of bass in the lake, as both had a significant impact on the number of small fish in the lake, 206 which in turn had a significant impact on the success of midge fly mitigation and improved fishing.

207

Mr. Ballinger stated the midge fly problem was an immediate problem which the District needed to
contend with. He noted if minnows took care of midge flies the District had not stocked enough in the
lake 6 months ago as the District still had a problem.

211

Mr. Bernard stated if there were too many big mouth bass in the lake eating the minnows, the minnows
would not survive to eat the midge flies. He noted, also, there was no vegetation in which the small fish
could hide.

215

Mr. Beasley agreed noting the fishermen wished there were more bass in the lake; therefore, he would not say the lake had too many bass, but something was out of balance. He stated it was quite possible this lake had multiple issues impacting the situation, while others caused a ripple effect. He noted the difficulties were most likely tied to the lack of vegetation, the lack of food sources for smaller fish, the number of predators, etc.; basically, a combination of several issues. He stated a balance needed to be established.

222

223 Mr. Ballinger asked if the midge fly problem was originating 10 to 12 feet off of the bank.

224

225 Mr. Beasley responded in the negative; this was not necessarily the case, but it would create a refuge for 226 small fish to have vegetation which grew in water less than 8 feet deep and typically extended 10 to 12 227 feet out from the bank. He noted periphyton or plankton grew on these plants which served as food for 228 the small fish as well. He stated if a flourishing small fish population could be cultivated the small fish 229 would search out the midge fly larvae as a food source as well. He stated there should be some 230 monitoring of the midge larvae as well, to better understand where the midge flies were coming from 231 which could be done by grabbing sediment samples to look for larvae. He noted in the short term the 232 District would need to continue with its current midge fly deterrent program; however, it would make 233 sense in the long term to bring the lake to a healthier state and at some point, there would be a cost 234 savings in this regard. He noted it would take several years to get the lake back to a balanced state. He 235 noted no matter the District's budget, it would come down to the District's tolerance for vegetation and 236 where the vegetation would be located, as vegetation was very inexpensive and highly benefited the

237	small fish in the fishery. He stated partnering the vegetation with the bass population was important.
238	He discussed other line items, such as the fish feeding stations.
239	
240	Mr. Reidy asked if moving forward with the first study would help Mr. Beasley better identify project
241	costs.
242	
243	Mr. Beasley responded in the affirmative. He noted the purpose of the first study was to provide
244	numbers. He noted it was important to remember that the budget could be cut in half while still having
245	a tremendous impact. He noted the timeframe could be impacted by a reduced budget, but the impact
246	could still be tremendous.
247	
248	Mr. Ward stated he was willing to commit Esplanade to pay half of the \$9,000 dollars. He agreed the
249	first study should be done.
250	
251	Discussion ensued regarding the potential cost of this project in its entirety and pursuing the initial study
252	to better understand the numbers.
253	
254	On MOTION made by Mr. Doug Ballinger, seconded by Mr. Alan
255	Refkin, and with all in favor, spending \$4,500 dollars to proceed with
256	electrofishing was authorized.
257	
258	Mr. Beasley thanked the Board.
259	
260	Mr. Ballinger asked how many different places Mr. Beasley would conduct his electrofishing study.
261	
262	Mr. Bernard explained Mr. Beasley would select several locations to conduct the study at different times
263	in different zones of the lake.
264	
265	Mr. Ballinger asked if the study would be conducted in areas where the midge flies were congregating.
266	with banninger asked in the study would be conducted in areas where the inlage mes were congregating.
267	Mr. Pernard evaluated the middle fly large were not in deep water where a heat would ge. He
	Mr. Bernard explained the midge fly larvae were not in deep water where a boat would go. He explained Mr. Beasley would evaluate the fish population to determine how the lake was out of balance.
268	explained wire Beasley would evaluate the tist honiliation to determine now the lake was out of halance
	explained with beasiey would evaluate the hish population to determine now the lake was out of balance.
269	
270	Mr. Reidy noted Mr. Beasley was explaining vegetation needed to be planted to protect the small fish
270 271	
270	Mr. Reidy noted Mr. Beasley was explaining vegetation needed to be planted to protect the small fish while the small fish ate the midge fly larvae, but this would take time.
270 271	Mr. Reidy noted Mr. Beasley was explaining vegetation needed to be planted to protect the small fish
270 271 272	Mr. Reidy noted Mr. Beasley was explaining vegetation needed to be planted to protect the small fish while the small fish ate the midge fly larvae, but this would take time.
270 271 272 273	Mr. Reidy noted Mr. Beasley was explaining vegetation needed to be planted to protect the small fish while the small fish ate the midge fly larvae, but this would take time.Discussion ensued regarding the areas in which the midge flies were the thickest; possible causes of
270 271 272 273 274 275	Mr. Reidy noted Mr. Beasley was explaining vegetation needed to be planted to protect the small fish while the small fish ate the midge fly larvae, but this would take time.Discussion ensued regarding the areas in which the midge flies were the thickest; possible causes of midge flies; other communities suffering from midge fly difficulties; Miromar Lakes suffering from midge fly difficulties for several years; the benefits of establishing a fishery in the lake; and the benefits and
270 271 272 273 274 275 276	Mr. Reidy noted Mr. Beasley was explaining vegetation needed to be planted to protect the small fish while the small fish ate the midge fly larvae, but this would take time.Discussion ensued regarding the areas in which the midge flies were the thickest; possible causes of midge flies; other communities suffering from midge fly difficulties; Miromar Lakes suffering from midge
270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277	Mr. Reidy noted Mr. Beasley was explaining vegetation needed to be planted to protect the small fish while the small fish ate the midge fly larvae, but this would take time.Discussion ensued regarding the areas in which the midge flies were the thickest; possible causes of midge flies; other communities suffering from midge fly difficulties; Miromar Lakes suffering from midge fly difficulties for several years; the benefits of establishing a fishery in the lake; and the benefits and problems of carp fish stocking.
270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278	Mr. Reidy noted Mr. Beasley was explaining vegetation needed to be planted to protect the small fish while the small fish ate the midge fly larvae, but this would take time.Discussion ensued regarding the areas in which the midge flies were the thickest; possible causes of midge flies; other communities suffering from midge fly difficulties; Miromar Lakes suffering from midge fly difficulties for several years; the benefits of establishing a fishery in the lake; and the benefits and problems of carp fish stocking.Mr. Weber noted at last month's meeting residents attended with concerns regarding midge fly issues,
270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279	 Mr. Reidy noted Mr. Beasley was explaining vegetation needed to be planted to protect the small fish while the small fish ate the midge fly larvae, but this would take time. Discussion ensued regarding the areas in which the midge flies were the thickest; possible causes of midge flies; other communities suffering from midge fly difficulties; Miromar Lakes suffering from midge fly difficulties for several years; the benefits of establishing a fishery in the lake; and the benefits and problems of carp fish stocking. Mr. Weber noted at last month's meeting residents attended with concerns regarding midge fly issues, water quality and fishing issues. He stated he was concerned about managing residents' expectations
270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280	 Mr. Reidy noted Mr. Beasley was explaining vegetation needed to be planted to protect the small fish while the small fish ate the midge fly larvae, but this would take time. Discussion ensued regarding the areas in which the midge flies were the thickest; possible causes of midge flies; other communities suffering from midge fly difficulties; Miromar Lakes suffering from midge fly difficulties for several years; the benefits of establishing a fishery in the lake; and the benefits and problems of carp fish stocking. Mr. Weber noted at last month's meeting residents attended with concerns regarding midge fly issues, water quality and fishing issues. He stated he was concerned about managing residents' expectations moving forward. He stated it was important to manage expectations regarding the timetable, as well as
270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279	 Mr. Reidy noted Mr. Beasley was explaining vegetation needed to be planted to protect the small fish while the small fish ate the midge fly larvae, but this would take time. Discussion ensued regarding the areas in which the midge flies were the thickest; possible causes of midge flies; other communities suffering from midge fly difficulties; Miromar Lakes suffering from midge fly difficulties for several years; the benefits of establishing a fishery in the lake; and the benefits and problems of carp fish stocking. Mr. Weber noted at last month's meeting residents attended with concerns regarding midge fly issues, water quality and fishing issues. He stated he was concerned about managing residents' expectations

283 284 285	Mr. Reidy asked how this could be accomplished. He stated he did not disagree but wondered how this could be done.
286	Mr. Weber stated trying to communicate with the residents was always an issue. He suggested asking
287	the HOA Presidents to distribute information to their respective communities.
288	the nor residents to distribute information to their respective communities.
289	An un-named speaker stated an Master HOA eblast could be made available to the CDD as a means of
200	communication.
291	communication.
292	Mr. Weber stated he appreciated that.
293	
293	Ms. Mary LeFevre stated she agreed with moving forward with this study, but she wondered how many
294	residents were really invested in the condition of the lake. She stated she was concerned the portion of
296	residents who were not invested in the lake would not be pleased with the District investing funds in
290	this sort of endeavor.
298	this solt of endeavor.
298	An un-named speaker stated the overall health of the water body should be equally important to every
300	resident. He noted while the out of balance properties of the lake were only affecting certain residents
301	currently, if left unmanaged the problems would spread.
302	currentry, in left unmanaged the problems would spread.
302	Mr. Alan Refkin asked how long it would take to get the results of the electrofishing study.
303	with Alan Kerkin asked now long it would take to get the results of the electronshing study.
305	Mr. Bernard indicated the results would be available within 60 days.
306	ivit. Bernard maleated the results would be available within ob days.
307	SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Staff Reports
308	Sixth on bosiness
309	I. District Attorney
310	in District Actiney
311	Mr. Urbancic noted the Legislative Session was winding down and he would provide a full report
312	once he had some clarity. He noted the sovereign immunity increase was defeated, but there were
313	two others pending. He stated he would provide an update when the bills were no longer pending.
314	
315	II. District Engineer
316	
317	a) Stormwater Reporting Update
318	
319	Mr. Charlie Krebs reported he tallied the pipes throughout the neighborhoods, and he would send
320	Mr. Ward a draft report with the total linear feet of pipe for review.
321	
	III. Asset Manager
323	
324	a) Operations Report March 1, 2022
325	
326	Mr. Bruce Bernard reported thousands of toad larvae were being removed from the lakes. He
327	noted medium size toads were still being caught and disposed of, but there were not as many
328	toads as previously, and the focus was primarily on removing the larvae from the lakes. He noted
329	he was pleased with the progress of the various crews removing the larvae.
330	
-	

331 Mr. Ward noted cane toad control was a split cost between the CDD and HOA. 332 333 Mr. Bernard noted the stormwater contractor would be starting investigations of the stormwater 334 system shortly. He listed the various communities which would be investigated. He noted the 335 resulting report would illustrate which areas needed to be cleaned. He noted riprap installation 336 began this week near the bridge. He noted in a couple of weeks riprap installation would begin at 337 the golf course. He stated regarding the apple snails which were beginning to make an 338 appearance on riprap, the District was not a catchall for anything which happened on the riprap. 339 He stated the District did not clean or weed eat or pest control the rip rap. He noted the apple 340 snails could be controlled by a fish called a shell cracker. He noted infant apple snails could be 341 hosed off riprap and vegetation into the water, and the infant apple snails would drown; this was 342 also a deterrent. 343 344 Mr. Ward asked if this was limited to one particular location. 345 Mr. Bernard responded in the affirmative. 346 347 348 Discussion ensued regarding where the apple snails were located. 349 Mr. Ward stated apple snails had never been noticed before; therefore, it was unknown whether 350 351 the snails would become a nuisance or were an isolated incident. 352 Ms. LeFevre stated the District needed to know more about the snails. 353 354 355 Mr. Refkin stated it would be nice to know how other communities solved apple snail problems. 356 357 Ms. LeFevre asked if the apple snails would have a negative impact on the lake. 358 Mr. Weber stated he understood apple snails would eat the water plants. 359 360 Mr. Refkin stated he would like to get more information about the apple snails before making any 361 362 decisions. 363 364 Mr. Bernard stated the apple snails could be incorporated into the fishery going forward as shell 365 cracker fish would go along with the system. 366 367 Mr. Refkin stated it would be important to understand how shell cracker fish would affect the 368 fishery ecosystem. 369 Mr. Bernard noted there were sprays which could be utilized as well, but he hoped to move away 370 371 from spraying so many chemicals. 372 373 Ms. LeFevre stated perhaps the snails would only eat a little, but perhaps the snails would destroy 374 the vegetation; it was important to understand how the snails would impact the area. 375 376 Mr. Bernard stated in regard to the permit for the carp, FWC was hesitant, but Solitude was able 377 to obtain a permit for 1,100 carp if the District chose to stock the lake with carp in the future; the 378 permit would be ready in a few weeks.

379		
380	Mr. Ward stated the app	ble snails were limited to a single location at this point. He asked if there
381	was any information reg	arding how the snails got there.
382		
383	Mr. Bernard responded	in the negative. He stated from what he had read, apple snails typically
384	-	wetland plants until they grew to maturity; however, these snails were
385		and he was unsure how the snails got there. He stated he would
386		ere were any apple snails in any other locations. He indicated he spoke
387	_	apple snails, but he would also speak with David Beasley about the apple
388		could be considered during the study.
389		
390	Mr. Ballinger asked for a	n update in this regard next month.
391	Will Daninger asked for a	
392	Mr. Ward stated this wo	uld be kept on the radar, but no definitive decisions would be made until
393	more information was av	
393 394	more information was av	
394 395	Mr. Deidy asked about D	avenue and the rinter
395 396	Mr. Reidy asked about R	avenna and the riprap.
	Mr. Ward stated as far a	s ha know it was a doad issue
397		s he knew it was a dead issue.
398	N/ District Manager	
399	IV. District Manager	
400	a) Financial Statement for	novied ending Cohmenn, 29, 2022 (unpudited)
401	a) Financial Statement for	period ending February 28, 2022 (unaudited)
402	Mar Mand stated her was	the line to get the buildest to the Decid for the Annil meeting to shout the
403		s trying to get the budget to the Board for the April meeting to start the
404	budget process.	
405		
406	SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS	Supervisor's Requests and Audience Comments
407		
408		any Supervisor's Requests; there were none. He asked if there were any
409		sent in person, or by audio or video, with any questions or comments;
410	there were none.	
411		
412	EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS	Adjournment
413		
414		t meeting would be on April 13, 2022, Wednesday, at 4:00 p.m. The
415	meeting was adjourned at 3:15	p.m.
416		
417	On MOTION	made by Mr. Doug Ballinger, seconded by Mr. Alan
418	Refkin, and wi	th all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.
419	L	
420		
421		Miromar Lakes Community Development District
422		
423		
424		
425	James P. Ward, Secretary	Alan Refkin, Chairman
	· · ·	-

RESOLUTION 2022-6

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MIROMAR LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT APPROVING A PROPOSED BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2023 AND SETTING A PUBLIC HEARING THEREON PURSUANT TO FLORIDA LAW; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICT AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the District Manager has heretofore prepared and submitted to the Board of Supervisors of Miromar Lakes Community Development District (the "Board") prior to June 15, 2022, a proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2023; and

WHEREAS, the Board has considered the proposed Budget and desires to set the required public hearing thereon.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MIROMAR LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT:

SECTION 1. That the foregoing whereas clauses are true and correct and incorporated herein as if written into this Section.

SECTION 2. The proposed Budget submitted by the District Manager for Fiscal Year 2023 and attached hereto as Exhibit A is hereby approved as the basis for conducting a public hearing to adopt said budget.

SECTION 3. A public hearing on said approved budget is hereby declared and set for the following date, hour and location:

DATE:	Thursday, June 9, 2022
HOUR:	2:00 P.M.
LOCATION:	Beach Clubhouse
	18061 Miromar Lakes Parkway
	Miromar Lakes, Florida 33913

SECTION 4. The District Manager is hereby directed to submit a copy of the proposed budget to Lee County at least sixty (60) days prior to the hearing set above. In accordance with Section 189.016, Florida Statutes, the District's Secretary is further directed to post the proposed budget on the District's website at least two days before the budget hearing date.

SECTION 5. Notice of this public hearing on the budget shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the area of the district once a week for two (2) consecutive weeks, except that the first publication shall not be fewer than 15 days prior to the date of the hearing. The notice shall further contain a designation of the day, time, and place of the public hearing. At the time and place designated in the notice, the Board shall hear all objections to the budget as proposed and may make such changes as the board deems necessary.

RESOLUTION 2022-6

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MIROMAR LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT APPROVING A PROPOSED BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2023 AND SETTING A PUBLIC HEARING THEREON PURSUANT TO FLORIDA LAW; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICT AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

SECTION 6. If any one of the covenants, agreements or provisions herein contained shall be held contrary to any express provision of law or contract to the policy of express law, but not expressly prohibited or against public policy, or shall for any reason whatsoever be held invalid, then such covenants, agreements or provisions shall be null and void and shall be deemed separable from the remaining covenants, agreements or provisions and shall in no way effect the validity of the other provisions hereof.

SECTION 7. That all Sections or parts of Sections of any Resolutions, Agreements or actions of the Board of Supervisors in conflict are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

SECTION 8. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.

Supervisor	_ offered the Foregoing Resolution and moved its adoption,
which was seconded by Supervisor	and, upon being put to a vote, the vote
was as follows:	

Michael Weber	
Doug Ballinger	
Alan Refkin	
Mary LeFevre	
Patrick Reidy	

DULY PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the Miromar Lakes Community Development District, Manatee County, Florida, this 13th day of April 2022.

ATTEST:

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MIROMAR LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

James P. Ward, Secretary

Alan Refkin, Chairperson

Exhibit A: Fiscal Year 2023 Proposed Budget

Exhibit A

Fiscal Year 2023 Proposed Budget

MIROMAR LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT



PROPOSED BUDGET

Fiscal Year 2023

PREPARED BY:

JPWARD & ASSOCIATES, LLC, 2301 NORTHEAST 37 STREET, FT. LAUDERDALE, FL. 33308 T: 954-658-4900 E: JimWard@JPWardAssociates.com

Miromar Lakes Community Development District

Description	Fisc	al Year 2022 Budget		Actual at 2/28/2021	١	nticipated Year End)9/30/21	Fis	cal Year 2023 Budget	Notes
Revenues and Other Sources									
Cash Carryforward	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	NO Cash from prior year to fund FY 23 Operations
Miscellaneous Revenue	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Interest Income - General Account	\$	100	\$	35	\$	84	\$	75	Interest on General Bank Account
Special Assessment Revenue	\$	-							
Special Assessment - On-Roll	\$	743,979	\$	692,547	\$	743,979	\$	797,464	Assessments from Resident Owners
Special Assessment - Off-Roll	\$	147,873	\$	68,628	\$	147,873	\$	145,227	Assessment from Developer
Misc. Revenue (Easement Encroachments)	\$	-	\$	1,120	\$	1,120	\$	-	
Total Revenue & Other Sources	\$	891,952	\$	762,329	\$	893,055	\$	942,767	=
Expenditures and Other Uses									
Legislative	ć	12,000	ć	4 000	ć	12,000	ć	12 000	Statutary Desvired Face
Board of Supervisor's Fees Board of Supervisor's - FICA	\$ \$	12,000 918	\$ \$	4,000 306	\$ \$	12,000 918	\$ \$	12,000 918	Statutory Required Fees FICA Required for Board Fees
	Ş	918	Ş	300	Ş	918	Ş	918	FICA Required for Board Fees
Professional Management	\$	40,000	\$	16,667	\$	40,000	\$	42,000	District Manager Contract
Financial and Administrative	Ş	40,000	Ş	10,007	Ş	40,000	Ş	42,000	District Manager Contract
Audit Services	\$	4,100	\$	4,100	\$	4,100	\$	4,100	Statutory required audit yearly
Accounting Services (Amort Schedules)	ې \$	4,100	ې \$	4,100	ې \$	4,100	ې Ś	4,100	Statutory required addit yearly
Accounting services (Amort schedules)	Ş	-	Ş	-	Ş	-	Ş	-	Statutory required maintenance of owner's par debt
Assessment Roll Preparation	\$	18,000	\$	18,000	\$	18,000	\$	18,000	outstanding and yearly work with property appraiser
Arbitrage Rebate Fees	\$	2,000	\$	1,250	\$	1,750	\$	1,500	IRS Required Calculation to insure interst on bond funds does not exceed interst paid on bonds & Reamortizations of Bonds
Other Contractual Services									
Recording and Transcription	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Legal Advertising	\$	1,200	\$	297	\$	1,200	\$	1,200	Statutory Required Legal Advertising
Trustee Services	\$	9,300	\$	3,400	\$	9,300	\$	9,300	Trustee Fees for Bonds
Dissemination Agent Services	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Property Appraiser & Tax Collector Fees	\$	1,300	\$	1,216	\$	1,216	\$	1,300	Fees to place assessment on the tax bills
Bank Service Fees	\$	500	\$	176	\$	527	\$	500	Fees required to maintain bank account
Travel and Per Diem	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	

Community Development District

Description		al Year 2022 Budget		Actual at /28/2021	۱	nticipated 'ear End 9/30/21	Fisc	al Year 2023 Budget	Notes
Communications and Freight Services									
Telephone	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Postage, Freight & Messenger	\$	800	\$	277	\$	830	\$	800	Mailing and postage
Insurance	\$	7,000	\$	7,170	\$	7,170	\$	7,300	General Liability and D&O Liability Insurance
Printing and Binding	\$	2,200	\$	897	\$	2,692	\$	2,700	Agenda books and copies
Other Current Charges									
Website Maintenance	\$	1,200	\$	200	\$	1,200	\$	1,200	Statutory Maintenance of District Web site
Office Supplies	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Subscriptions and Memberships	\$	175	\$	175	\$	175	\$	175	Statutory fee to Department of Economic Opportunity
Legal Services									
General Counsel	\$	15,000	\$	5,525	\$	16,575	\$	18,000	District Attorney
Easement Encroachments	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,400			
Debt Service - Miromar Lakes LLC Note	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
General Counsel - Easement Encroachments	\$	-	\$	1,358	\$	1,358	\$	-	
Other General Government Services									
Engineering Services									
General Services	\$	5,000		1,898	\$	5,693	\$	5,000	District Engineer
Asset Maps/Cost Estimates	\$	2,500		-	\$	-	\$	2,500	Engineer/Asset Manager
Asset Administrative Services	\$	10,000		2,500	\$	10,000	\$	10,000	General Services (Asset Manager)
Reserve Study	\$	-		7,250	\$	7,250	\$	-	Completed in FY 2022
Easement Encroachments	\$	-		618	\$	618	\$	-	
Contingencies	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Sub-Tota	: \$	133,193	\$	77,278	\$	143,971	\$	138,493	
Stormwater Management Services									
Professional Services									
Asset Management	\$	35,800	\$	8,950	\$	35,800	\$	35,800	District Asset Manager
NPDES	\$	3,000	\$	2,393	\$	3,500	\$	3,500	Regulatory Reporting for Wetlands
Utility Services									
Electric - Aeration System	\$	4,800	\$	1,861	\$	5,582	\$	4,800	Electric Service for Fountain
Repairs & Maintenance									
Lake System									
Aquatic Weed Control	\$	76,000	\$	21,130	\$	75,500	\$	74,000	Periodic spraying of lakes
Lake Bank Maintenance	\$	3,000	\$	_	\$	2,000	\$	2,000	Periodic maintenance of lake banks
Water Quality Testing	Ś	14,300	\$	-	\$	14,300	\$	14,500	Regulatory Reporting Requirements
Water Control Structures	Ŧ	\$25,000	Ś	4,500	\$	28,000	Ŧ	\$25,000	Yearly Cleaning of all Water Control Structures
Grass Carp Installation	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	,
Litoral Shelf Planting	Ś	-	Ś	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Cane Toad Removal	Ś	36,000	Ś	9,200	\$	36,000	\$	36,000	Remove Lake Larvee/toads & exterminate
Midge Fly Control	Ś	19,600	\$	4,660	\$	19,600	\$	24,000	Spraying of lakes to control insects
Aeration System	ś	12,000	Ś	7,244	\$	10,000	\$	8,000	Periodic Maintenance of Aeration systems
	Ý	12,000	Ŷ	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$	10,000	Ś	5,000	· c. cale maintenance of Actation systems

Community Development District

Description			al Year 2022 Budget		Actual at /28/2021	۱	nticipated Year End 99/30/21		al Year 2023 Budget	Notes
Wetland System										
Routine Maintenance		\$	48,100	\$	15,536	\$	43,000	\$	46,200	Periodic Maint remove exotic materials from wetlands
Water Quality Testing		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Capital Outlay										
Aeration Systems		\$	16,000	\$	-	\$	10,500	\$	12,000	See Capital Improvements for Detail
Littoral Shelf Replanting/Barrier		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,000	See Capital Improvements for Detail
Lake Bank Restorations		\$	-	\$	2,500	\$	2,500	\$	59,000	See Capital Improvements for Detail
Turbidity Screens		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	See Capital Improvements for Detail
Erosion Restoration		\$	118,800	\$	-	\$	113,000	\$	-	See Capital Improvements for Detail
Video Stormwater Pipes/Repairs		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	55,000	See Capital Improvements for Detail
Contingencies		\$	108,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	108,000	_
Si	ub-Total:	\$	520,400	\$	77,973	\$	403,782	\$	563,800	
Other Current Charges										
Hendry County Panther Habitat Taxe	s	\$	500	\$	-	\$	-	\$	500	No Assessment FY 2022 - Budget for 23
Su	ub-TotaL:	\$	500	\$	-	\$	-	\$	500	C C
Reserves for General Fund										
Water Management System		\$	105,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	105,000	For Water Management System
Disaster Relief Reserve		\$	95,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	95,000	For Storm Cleanup
Si	ub-Total:	\$	200,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	200,000	
Other Fees and Charges		•								
Discount for Early Payment		\$	29,759	\$	-	\$	23,854	\$	31,899	4% Discounts property owner's may take if paying taxes in November.
Si	ub-Total:	Ś	29,759	Ś	_	Ś	23,854	\$	31,899	November.
		7	20,700	Ÿ		7	20,004	7	01,000	-
Total Expenditures and Oth	ner Uses	\$	883,852	\$	155,252	\$	571,607	\$	934,692	=
Net Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance	2	\$	8,100	\$	607,077	\$	321,449	\$	8,075	

Community Development District

Description	Fis	scal Year 2022 Budget	Actual at 02/28/2021	٩	nticipated /ear End 99/30/21	Fis	ical Year 2023 Budget
Fund Balance - Unassigned							
Net Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance (FY 2	022)			\$	321,449		
Opening Fund Balance (10/01/2021)				\$	320,696		
Anticipated Fund Balance (09/30/20	22)			\$	642,145		
Allocation of Fund Balance		FY 2021			FY 2022		FY 2023
Reserve for Water Management System	\$	50,000		\$	155,000	\$	260,000
Reserve for Disaster Relief	\$	95,000		\$	190,000	\$	285,000
Available for 1st Qtr FY - Cash Requred	\$	175,696		\$	297,145		N/A

		Α	ssessment Comparison	
Description	Number of Units		FY 2022 Rate/Unit	2022 e/Unit
General Fund - Operations				
Sold property on roll	1398	\$	411.99	\$ 444.66
Developer units off roll	268	\$	390.50	\$ 421.84
Total:	1666			
Reserves Assessment				
Sold property on roll	1398	\$	125.18	\$ 125.77
Developer units off roll	268	\$	119.40	\$ 120.05
Total:	1666			
Total Assessment				
Sold property on roll	1398	\$	537.17	\$ 570.43
Developer units off roll	268	\$	509.91	\$ 541.89
Total:	1666			
Cap Rate		\$	739.98	\$ 739.98

Reduction in Units for 2022	9	<< Total Rev Loss from Unit Reduction>>	\$ 4,877.03

Miromar Lakes Community Development District

General Fund - Budget Fiscal Year 2023

Capital Improvement Plan - Fiscal Year 2023 through FY 2027

scription of Capital Items		2023	2024	2025	2026
Irrigation System					
Irrigation Pump Replacement	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Total Irrigation System:	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Stormwater System					
Video Stormwater Pipes/Repairs	\$	55,000	\$ 52,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 35,00
Total Stormwater System:	\$	55,000	\$ 52,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 35,00
Lake System					
Improvements for Water Quality					
Turbity Screen	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Littoral Shelf - Re-Plantings	\$	6,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 6,00
Littoral Shelf - Barrier Installation	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Sub-Total	\$	6,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 6,00
Aeration System					
Lake 6E and 6G - Tubing replacements/weights	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 7,000	\$
Lake 2A - Compressor and diffusers			\$ -	\$ -	\$
Lake 1A, 6B, and 6D - Tubing replacements/weights	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,00
Lake 1C, 6E - Tubing replacements/weights	\$	-		\$ -	\$
Lake Aerator Replacements	\$	12,000	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$
Sub-Total:	\$	12,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 8,00
Erosion Restoration					
Subdivision Shoreline- Rip-Rap	\$	50,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,00
Monte Bella (non-residential)					
Montelago	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Valencia	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Verona Lago	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Bellamara (non-residential)	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$
FGCU and Peninsula Berm	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Castelli	\$ \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Sorrento	S	-	\$ -	\$ 14,000	

Miromar Lakes Community Development District

General Fund - Budget Fiscal Year 2023

Capital Improvement Plan - Fiscal Year 2023 through FY 2027

ription of Capital Items	2023	2024	2025		2026
San Marino	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	24,000
Porta Romano	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	
St. Moritz	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	
Golf Course	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	
Contingencies/CEI Services	\$ 9,000	\$ 8,100	\$ 7,200	\$	7,20
Sub-Total:	\$ 59,000	\$ 53,100	\$ 61,200	\$	71,20
Total: Stormwater Management System	\$ 132,000	\$ 121,100	\$ 118,200	\$	120,20
Total Capital Improvements:	\$ 132,000	\$ 121,100	\$ 118,200	\$	120,20
Estimated Cost Per Residential Unit:	\$ 79.23	\$ 72.69	\$ 70.95	Ś	72.1

Miromar Lakes

Community Development District

Debt Service Fund - Series 2022 Bonds (Refinanced Series 2012 Bonds Original 2000A Bonds) - Budget

Fiscal Year 2023

	Fiscal Ye	ar 2022		Actual at	_Ant	icipated Year	Fise	al Year 2023	
Description	Bud			02/28/2021		d 09/30/21	Budget		
Revenues and Other Sources									
Carryforward									
Reserve Account	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Deferred Cost Account	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Prepayment Account	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Interest Income									
Revenue Account	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Reserve Account	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Interest Account	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			
Prepayment Account	\$	-			\$	-	\$	-	
Special Assessment Revenue								-	
Special Assessment - On-Roll	\$	-	\$	-			\$	833,182	
Special Assessment - Off-Roll	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Special Assessment - Prepayment	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Other Sources of Funds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Deposit to Series 2022 Revenue Fund			•		\$	112,836	·		
Total Revenue & Other Sources	\$	-	\$	-	i	112,836	\$	833,182	
	\$	-							
Expenditures and Other Uses									
Debt Service									
Principal Debt Service - Mandatory									
Series 2022 Bonds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	620,000	
Principal Debt Service - Early Redemptions								,	
Series 2022 Bonds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Interest Expense	\$	-	•						
Series 2022 Bonds	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	203,508	
Other Fees and Charges	Ŧ		Ŧ		Ŧ		Ŧ	200,000	
Discounts for Early Payment	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	33,327	
Other Uses of Funds	Ŧ		7		Ŧ		Ŧ		
Transfers to Escrow of Series 2012 Bonds					\$	-			
	\$	-	\$	-		-	\$	856,836	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Ŧ		Ŧ		T	,	
Net Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance	Ś	-	Ś	-	Ś	112,836	Ś	(23,653)	
Fund Balance - Beginning	÷ Ś	-	\$	-	\$		\$	112,836	
Fund Balance - Ending	Ś	-	\$		\$	112,836	\$	89,183	
Restricted Fund Balance:			Ŧ		ť	,	т	,-34	
Reserve Account Requirement						NONE			
Restricted for November 1, 2023 Interest Pay	mont				Ś	84,162			
Restricted for November 1 2023 Interest Pav									

Miromar Lakes

Community Development District

Debt Service Fund - Series 2022 Bonds (Refinance Series 2012 Bonds Originally 2000A Bonds) - Budget

Fiscal Year 2023

Description	Prepayments	Principal	Coupon Rate	Interest	cal Year Annual Debt Service	Par Debt Outstanding		
Par Issued - Marc	h 17, 2022	\$ 6,960,000	Varies					
11/1/2022				\$ 112,836.27				
5/1/2023		\$ 620,000	2.100%	\$ 90,672.00	\$ 823,508.27	\$	6,340,000	
11/1/2023				\$ 84,162.00				
5/1/2024		\$ 635,000	2.300%	\$ 84,162.00	\$ 803,324.00	\$	5,705,000	
11/1/2024				\$ 76,959.50				
5/1/2025		\$ 650,000	2.450%	\$ 76,959.50	\$ 803,919.00	\$	5,055,000	
11/1/2025				\$ 68,897.00				
5/1/2026		\$ 665,000	2.500%	\$ 68,897.00	\$ 802,794.00	\$	4,390,000	
11/1/2026				\$ 60,584.50				
5/1/2027		\$ 680,000	2.600%	\$ 60,584.50	\$ 801,169.00	\$	3,710,000	
11/1/2027				\$ 51,744.50				
5/1/2028		\$ 700,000	2.660%	\$ 51,744.50	\$ 803,489.00	\$	3,010,000	
11/1/2028				\$ 42,434.50				
5/1/2029		\$ 720,000	2.720%	\$ 42,434.50	\$ 804,869.00	\$	2,290,000	
11/1/2029				\$ 32,642.50				
5/1/2030		\$ 745,000	2.800%	\$ 32,642.50	\$ 810,285.00	\$	1,545,000	
11/1/2030		 		\$ 22,212.50	 			
5/1/2031		\$ 760,000	2.850%	\$ 22,212.50	\$ 804,425.00	\$	785,000	
11/1/2031				\$ 11,382.50				
5/1/2032		\$ 785,000	2.900%	\$ 11,382.50	\$ 807,765.00	\$	-	

Miromar Lakes Community Development District

Debt Service Fund - Series 2015 Bonds (Refinanced Series 2003 Bonds) - Budget

Fiscal Year 2022

PescriptionFiscal Year 2022 BudgetActual at 02/28/2021Anticipated Year End 09/30/21Revenues and Other SourcesEnd 09/30/21Carryforward\$-\$-\$Reserve Account\$-\$-\$Deferred Cost Account\$-\$-\$Prepayment Account\$193,689\$-\$12,000Prepayment Account\$12,000\$(11,274)\$12,000Prepayment Account\$20\$15\$20Prepayment Account\$20\$15\$20Special Assessment Revenue\$-\$402,600\$\$402,600Special Assessment - On-Roll\$\$19,144\$\$21,880\$\$15,64Special Assessment - On-Roll\$\$\$\$\$\$Debraing Transfers\$-\$\$\$\$Debt ServiceMande	- \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- 12,000 - 20 568,297 349,809 - -
Carryforward \$ - \$ - \$ Reserve Account \$ - \$ - \$ Deferred Cost Account \$ 193,689 \$ - \$ Prepayment Account \$ 193,689 \$ - \$ Interest Income - \$ 12,000 \$ (11,274) \$ 12,000 Prepayment Account \$ 12,000 \$ (11,274) \$ 12,000 Prepayment Account \$ 20 \$ 15 \$ 20 Prepayment Account \$ 20 \$ 15 \$ 20 Special Assessment Account \$ 200 \$ 15 \$ 20 Special Assessment Pon-Roll \$ 519,144 \$ 521,880 \$ 515,64 Special Assessment - On-Roll \$ 519,144 \$ 521,880 \$ 515,64 Special Assessment - Off-Roll \$ 402,602 \$ - \$ 930,267 Operating Transfers \$ - \$	- \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- 12,000 - 20 568,297 349,809 - -
Reserve Account \$ - \$ - \$ Deferred Cost Account \$ - \$ - \$ Prepayment Account \$ 193,689 \$ - \$ Interest Income - - \$ - \$ Reserve Account \$ 12,000 \$ (11,274) \$ 12,000 Prepayment Account \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ Revenue Account \$ 20 \$ 15 \$ 20 \$ 15 \$ 20 Special Assessment Revenue \$ 20 \$ 15 \$ 21 \$ 21 \$ 21 \$ 21 \$ 21 \$ 21 \$ 22 \$ 15 \$ 21 \$ 22 \$ 15 \$ 21 \$ 22 \$ 15 \$ 21 \$ 22 \$ 15 \$ 21 \$ 215,64 \$ \$ 5 5 5 5 \$	- \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- 12,000 - 20 568,297 349,809 - -
Deferred Cost Account \$ - \$ - \$ Prepayment Account \$ 193,689 \$ - \$ Interest Income - - \$ - \$ Reserve Account \$ 12,000 \$ (11,274) \$ 12,000 Prepayment Account \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ Revenue Account \$ 20 \$ 15 \$ 20 \$ 15 \$ 20 \$ 515,64 \$ 20 \$ 515,64 \$ \$ 515,64 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 20 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 20 \$	- \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- 12,000 - 20 568,297 349,809 - -
Prepayment Account \$ 193,689 \$ - \$ Interest Income - <td>- \$ - \$ 0 \$ 2 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$</td> <td>- 12,000 - 20 568,297 349,809 - - -</td>	- \$ - \$ 0 \$ 2 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	- 12,000 - 20 568,297 349,809 - - -
Interest Income \$ 12,000 \$ (11,274) \$ 12,000 Prepayment Account \$ - \$ - \$ Revenue Account \$ 20 \$ 15 \$ 200 Special Assessment Revenue \$ 200 \$ 155 \$ 200 Special Assessment Revenue \$ 200 \$ 155 \$ 200 Special Assessment Porepayment \$ 519,144 \$ 521,880 \$ 515,640 Special Assessment - On-Roll \$ 402,602 \$ - \$ 402,600 Special Assessment - Off-Roll \$ 402,602 \$ - \$ \$ 402,600 Special Assessment - Prepayment \$ 519,144 \$ 521,880 \$ 515,640 Operating Transfers \$ 402,602 \$ - \$ \$ 402,600 Bond Proceeds \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$	0 \$ - \$ 0 \$ 2 \$ - \$ - \$	12,000 - 20 568,297 349,809 - -
Reserve Account \$ 12,000 \$ (11,274) \$ 12,000 Prepayment Account \$ -	- \$ 5 \$ 2 \$ - \$ - \$	- 20 568,297 349,809 - - -
Prepayment Account\$-\$-\$Revenue Account\$20\$15\$20Special Assessment Revenue </td <td>- \$ 5 \$ 2 \$ - \$ - \$</td> <td>- 20 568,297 349,809 - - -</td>	- \$ 5 \$ 2 \$ - \$ - \$	- 20 568,297 349,809 - - -
Revenue Account\$20\$15\$24Special Assessment RevenueSpecial Assessment - On-Roll\$519,144\$521,880\$515,64Special Assessment - Off-Roll\$402,602\$-\$402,602Special Assessment - Prepayment\$-\$402,602Special Assessment - Prepayment\$-\$402,602Operating Transfers\$-\$-\$Bond Proceeds\$-\$510,621\$930,267Expenditures and Other Uses\$1,127,455\$510,621\$930,267Debt ServicePrincipal Debt Service - Mandatory\$460,000\$-\$460,000) \$ 5 \$ 2 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	568,297 349,809 - -
Special Assessment Revenue \$ 519,144 \$ 521,880 \$ 515,64 Special Assessment - On-Roll \$ 402,602 \$ - \$ 402,602 Special Assessment - Off-Roll \$ 402,602 \$ - \$ 402,602 Special Assessment - Prepayment \$ - \$ - \$ 402,602 Operating Transfers \$ - \$ - \$ - Bond Proceeds \$ - \$ - \$ - Total Revenue & Other Sources \$ 1,127,455 \$ 510,621 \$ 930,267 Expenditures and Other Uses \$ - \$ 460,000 \$ - \$ 460,000 Series 2015 Bonds \$ 460,000 \$ - \$ 460,000 \$ -	5 \$ 2 \$ - \$ - \$	568,297 349,809 - - -
Special Assessment - On-Roll\$ 519,144\$ 521,880\$ 515,64Special Assessment - Off-Roll\$ 402,602\$ -\$ 402,602Special Assessment - Prepayment\$ -\$ -\$ 402,602Operating Transfers\$ -\$ -\$ -Bond Proceeds\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -Total Revenue & Other Sources\$ 1,127,455\$ 510,621\$ 930,26Expenditures and Other UsesDebt ServicePrincipal Debt Service - MandatorySeries 2015 Bonds\$ 460,000\$ -\$ 460,000	2 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	349,809 - - -
Special Assessment - Off-Roll\$402,602\$-\$402,602Special Assessment - Prepayment\$-\$5-\$Operating Transfers\$-\$-\$402,602Bond Proceeds\$-\$-\$5Total Revenue & Other Sources\$1,127,455\$510,621\$930,267Expenditures and Other UsesDebt ServicePrincipal Debt Service - Mandatory-\$460,000\$-\$460,000	2 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	349,809 - - -
Special Assessment - Prepayment\$-\$Operating Transfers\$-\$-\$Bond Proceeds\$-\$-\$Total Revenue & Other Sources\$1,127,455\$510,621\$930,26Expenditures and Other UsesDebt ServicePrincipal Debt Service - MandatorySeries 2015 Bonds\$460,000\$-\$460,000	- \$ - \$ - \$	-
Operating Transfers\$-\$-\$Bond Proceeds\$-\$-\$-\$Total Revenue & Other Sources\$1,127,455\$510,621\$930,26Expenditures and Other UsesDebt ServicePrincipal Debt Service - MandatorySeries 2015 Bonds\$460,000\$-\$460,000	- \$ - \$	-
Bond Proceeds\$-\$-\$Total Revenue & Other Sources\$1,127,455\$510,621\$930,26Expenditures and Other UsesDebt ServicePrincipal Debt Service - MandatorySeries 2015 Bonds\$460,000\$-\$460,000	- \$	-
Total Revenue & Other Sources\$ 1,127,455\$ 510,621\$ 930,26Expenditures and Other Uses Debt Service Principal Debt Service - Mandatory Series 2015 Bonds\$ 460,000\$ - \$ 460,000		
Expenditures and Other Uses Debt Service Principal Debt Service - Mandatory Series 2015 Bonds \$ 460,000 \$ - \$ 460,000	<u> </u>	930,125
Debt Service Principal Debt Service - Mandatory Series 2015 Bonds \$ 460,000 \$ - \$ 460,000		
Series 2015 Bonds \$ 460,000 \$ - \$ 460,000		
Principal Debt Service - Early Redemptions) \$	485,000
Series 2015 Bonds \$ 200,000 \$ 155,000 \$ 155,000) \$	
Interest Expense		
Series 2015 Bonds \$ 453,000 \$ 231,500 \$ 453,00) \$	432,250
Other Fees and Charges		
Discounts for Early Payment \$ 20,766 \$ - \$ 20,76	5 \$	22,732
Total Expenditures and Other Uses \$ 1,133,766 \$ 386,500 \$ 1,088,76		
Net Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance \$ - \$ 124,121 \$ (158,49)	9) \$	(9,856)
Fund Balance - Beginning \$ 1,136,694 \$ 1,136,694 \$ 1,136,694	\$	1,136,694
Fund Balance - Ending \$ 1,136,694 \$ 1,260,815 \$ 978,19	<u>;</u>	1,126,837
Restricted Fund Balance:		
Reserve Account Requirement \$ 453,31	3	
Restricted for November 1, 2023 Interest Payment \$ 204,00)	
Total - Restricted Fund Balance: \$ 657,31		

Miromar Lakes Community Development District

Debt Service Fund - Series 2015 - Amortization Schedule

Debt Service Fund - Series 2015 Bonds (Refinanced Series 2003 Bonds) - Budget

Description	Pre	epayments	Principal	Coupon Rate	Interest	Fiscal Year Annual DS	Par Debt Outstanding
Par Outstanding a	t 10/01,	/2021	\$ 9,260,000.00				
11/1/2021	\$	155,000			\$ 227,625.00		
5/1/2022			\$ 460,000	5.000%	\$ 227,625.00	\$ 915,250.00	\$ 8,645,000.00
11/1/2022					\$ 216,125.00		
5/1/2023			\$ 485,000	5.000%	\$ 216,125.00	\$ 917,250.00	\$ 8,160,000.00
11/1/2023					\$ 204,000.00		
5/1/2024			\$ 510,000	5.000%	\$ 204,000.00	\$ 918,000.00	\$ 7,650,000.00
11/1/2024					\$ 191,250.00		
5/1/2025			\$ 535,000	5.000%	\$ 191,250.00	\$ 917,500.00	\$ 7,115,000.00
11/1/2025					\$ 177,875.00		
5/1/2026			\$ 565,000	5.000%	\$ 177,875.00	\$ 920,750.00	\$ 6,550,000.00
11/1/2026					\$ 163,750.00		
5/1/2027			\$ 590,000	5.000%	\$ 163,750.00	\$ 917,500.00	\$ 5,960,000.00
11/1/2027					\$ 149,000.00		
5/1/2028			\$ 620,000	5.000%	\$ 149,000.00	\$ 918,000.00	\$ 5,340,000.00
11/1/2028					\$ 133,500.00		
5/1/2029			\$ 655,000	5.000%	\$ 133,500.00	\$ 922,000.00	\$ 4,685,000.00
11/1/2029					\$ 117,125.00		
5/1/2030			\$ 685,000	5.000%	\$ 117,125.00	\$ 919,250.00	\$ 4,000,000.00
11/1/2030					\$ 100,000.00		
5/1/2031			\$ 720,000	5.000%	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 920,000.00	\$ 3,280,000.00
11/1/2031					\$ 82,000.00		
5/1/2032			\$ 760,000	5.000%	\$ 82,000.00	\$ 924,000.00	\$ 2,520,000.00
11/1/2032					\$ 63,000.00		
5/1/2033			\$ 800,000	5.000%	\$ 63,000.00	\$ 926,000.00	\$ 1,720,000.00
11/1/2033					\$ 43,000.00		
5/1/2034			\$ 840,000	5.000%	\$ 43,000.00	\$ 926,000.00	\$ 880,000.00
11/1/2034					\$ 22,000.00		
5/1/2035			\$ 880,000	5.000%	\$ 22,000.00	\$ 924,000.00	\$ -

Miromar Lakes

Community Development District Assessment Levy - Summary of All Funds Series 2022 (Refinanced 2012/2000A Bonds - Phase I) Par Amount: \$6,960,000 - 9 Years Remaining

	C	Driginal Par	Bond Designation		bt Service ssessment		neral Fund	^	Total Assessment		standing Par at 09/30/2023
			Designation	As	sessment	A	sessment	F	ssessment	, c	9/30/2023
Murano	\$	24,687.00	SF 2	\$	1,408.63	\$	570.43	\$	1,979.06	\$	10,718.79
Positano	\$	24,687.00	SF 2	\$	1,408.63	\$	570.43	\$	1,979.06	\$	10,718.79
Verona Lago	\$	14,789.00	SF	\$	845.18	\$	570.43	\$	1,415.61	\$	6,431.27
Isola Bella	\$	14,789.00	SF	\$	845.18	\$	570.43	\$	1,415.61	\$	6,431.27
Bellamare	\$	14,789.00	SF	\$	845.18	\$	570.43	\$	1,415.61	\$	6,431.27
Ana Capri	\$	14,789.00	SF	\$	845.18	\$	570.43	\$	1,415.61	\$	6,431.27
Casteli	\$	14,789.00	SF	\$	845.18	\$	570.43	\$	1,415.61	\$	6,431.27
Montelago	\$	12,324.00	VILLA	\$	704.31	\$	570.43	\$	1,274.74	\$	5,359.90
Tivoli	\$	12,324.00	VILLA	\$	704.31	\$	570.43	\$	1,274.74	\$	5,359.90
St. Moritz	\$	12,324.00	VILLA	\$	704.31	\$	570.43	\$	1,274.74	\$	5,359.90
Sienna	\$	12,324.00	VILLA	\$	704.31	\$	570.43	\$	1,274.74	\$	5,359.90
Caprini	\$	12,324.00	VILLA	\$	704.31	\$	570.43	\$	1,274.74	\$	5,359.90
Porto Romano	\$	12,324.00	VILLA	\$	704.31	\$	570.43	\$	1,274.74	\$	5,359.90
Volterra	\$	12,324.00	VILLA	\$	704.31	\$	570.43	\$	1,274.74	\$	5,359.90
Portofino	\$	12,324.00	VILLA	\$	704.31	\$	570.43	\$	1,274.74	\$	5,359.90
Valencia	\$	9,859.00	MF	\$	563.45	\$	570.43	\$	1,133.88	\$	4,287.52
Vivaldi	\$	9,859.00	MF	\$	563.45	\$	570.43	\$	1,133.88	\$	4,287.52
Bella Vista	\$	9,859.00	MF	\$	563.45	\$	570.43	\$	1,133.88	\$	4,287.52
Mirosol	\$	9,859.00	MF	\$	563.45	\$	570.43	\$	1,133.88	\$	4,287.52
Positano	\$	9,859.00	MF	\$	563.45	\$	570.43	\$	1,133.88	\$	4,287.52
San Marino	\$	9,859.00	MF	\$	563.45	\$	570.43	\$	1,133.88	\$	4,287.52
Montebello	\$	9,859.00	MF	\$	563.45	\$	570.43	\$	1,133.88	\$	4,287.52
Ravenna	\$	9,859.00	MF	\$	563.45	\$	570.43	\$	1,133.88	\$	4,287.52
Bellini	\$	9,859.00	MF	\$	563.45	\$	570.43	\$	1,133.88	\$	4,287.52
University	\$	-	GOV	\$	-	\$	3,422.59	\$	3,422.59	\$	-
Golf Club/Course			GOLF	\$ 2	130,111.67	\$	5,704.32	\$	135,815.99	\$	990,069.06
Beach Club			BEACH	\$	12,983.52	\$	-	\$	12,983.52	\$	98,796.52

Comparison : Fiscal Year 2022 Assessments													
	SF 2	\$	1,636.25	\$	570.43	\$	2,206.68	\$	11,767.00				
	SF	\$	981.75	\$	570.43	\$	1,552.18	\$	7,060.20				
	VILLA	\$	818.13	\$	570.43	\$	1,388.56	\$	5,883.00				
	MF	\$	654.50	\$	570.43	\$	1,224.93	\$	4,706.80				
	GOV	\$	-	\$	3,422.59	\$	3,422.59	\$	-				
	GOLF	\$ 1	154,079.58	\$	5,704.32	\$	159,783.90	\$	1,086,889.69				
	BEACH	\$	15,081.55	\$	-	\$	15,081.55	\$	108,458.01				

Miromar Lakes

Community Development District Assessment Levy - Summary of All Funds Series 2022 (Refinanced 2012/2000A Bonds - Phase I) Par Amount: \$6,960,000 - 9 Years Remaining

Original Par	Bond	Debt Service	General Fund	Total	Outstanding Par at							
Original Fai	Assessment	09/30/2023										
Series 2015 Bonds (Refinanced 2003 A Bonds - Phase II)												
Par Amount - \$19,165,000 - 13 Years Remaining												

Phase I Neighborhoods	Ori	ginal Par	Bond Designation		bt Service ssessment		neral Fund essment	Tot Ass	tal sessment	tstanding Par at 09/30/2023
Sorrento	\$	34,794.86	SF 2	\$	2,372.40	\$	570.43	\$	2,942.83	\$ 20,608.28
Salerno I	\$	34,794.86	SF 2	\$	2,372.40	\$	570.43	\$	2,942.83	\$ 20,608.28
Lugano	\$	34,794.86	SF 2	\$	2,372.40	\$	570.43	\$	2,942.83	\$ 20,608.28
Salerno II	\$	34,794.86	SF 2	\$	2,372.40	\$	570.43	\$	2,942.83	\$ 20,608.28
Sardinia	\$	34,794.86	SF 2	\$	2,372.40	\$	570.43	\$	2,942.83	\$ 20,608.28
Avelino	\$	34,794.86	SF 2	\$	2,372.40	\$	570.43	\$	2,942.83	\$ 20,608.28
Ancona	\$	34,794.86	SF 2	\$	2,372.40	\$	570.43	\$	2,942.83	\$ 20,608.28
Bergamo	\$	34,794.86	SF 2	\$	2,372.40	\$	570.43	\$	2,942.83	\$ 20,608.28
Positano	\$	34,794.86	SF 2	\$	2,372.40	\$	570.43	\$	2,942.83	\$ 20,608.28
Costa Maggiore Phase 3	\$	34,794.86	SF 2	\$	2,372.40	\$	570.43	\$	2,942.83	\$ 20,608.28
Navona	\$	25,786.39	Villa 2	\$	1,758.18	\$	570.43	\$	2,328.61	\$ 15,298.57
Cassina	\$	25,786.39	Villa 2	\$	1,758.18	\$	570.43	\$	2,328.61	\$ 15,298.57
Trevi	\$	25,786.39	Villa 2	\$	1,758.18	\$	570.43	\$	2,328.61	\$ 15,298.57
Cortona	\$	25,786.39	Villa 2	\$	1,758.18	\$	570.43	\$	2,328.61	\$ 15,298.57
Villa D/Este	\$	25,786.39	Villa 2	\$	1,758.18	\$	570.43	\$	2,328.61	\$ 15,298.57
Costa Amalfi	\$	19,339.79	Villa 1	\$	1,318.64	\$	570.43	\$	1,889.07	\$ 11,475.99
Future Multifamily	\$	19,339.79	MF	\$	1,318.64	\$	570.43	\$	1,889.07	\$ 11,482.85
Unsold Residential			Villa 2	\$	42,196.32	\$	13,547.31	\$	55,743.63	\$ 382,464.23
Unsold Residential			MF	\$ 3	307,612.34	\$ 1	131,679.89	\$	439,292.23	\$ 2,790,331.60

C	Comparison : F	iscal Y	ear 2022 Ass	essme	nts		
	SF 2	\$	2,383.40	\$	570.43	\$ 2,953.83	\$ 21,839.95
	Villa 2	\$	1,769.32	\$	570.43	\$ 2,339.75	\$ 16,212.90
	Villa 1	\$	1,327.23	\$	570.43	\$ 1,897.66	\$ 12,161.87
	MF	\$	1,328.02	\$	570.43	\$ 1,898.45	\$ 12,169.13

Miromar Lakes Community Development District Genarl Fund - Units by Type

			FY 2	2023	
Platted/Sold	FY 2022	On Roll	Direct Bill	Change	Total Units
Verona Lago	62	62			62
Bellamare	20	20			20
Isola Bella	13	13			13
Anacapri	10	10			10
Castelli	8	8			8
Murano	19	19			19
Costa Amalfi	16	16			16
Sorrento	11	11			11
Monte Lago	30	30			30
Siena	27	27			27
Tivoli	76	76			76
St Moritz	37	37			37
Caprini	27	27			27
Porto Romano	55	55			55
Portofino	20	20			20
Voterra	12	12			12
Valencia	80	80			80
Bella Vista	60	60			60
Vivaldi	60	60			60
Mirasol Phase I	110	110			110
Positano SF	28	19		(9)	19
San Marino	160	160			160
Montebello	40	40			40
Ravenna	60	60			60
Bellini	60	60			60
Navona	18	18			18
Salerno	10	10			10
Sardinia	8	8			8
Cassina	23	23			23
Lugano	11	11			11
Salerno II	22	22			22
Villa D'Este	12	12			12
Veneto	6	12			12
Ancona	12	6			6
Bergamo	6	6			6
Trevi	11	11			11
Cortona	19	19			19
Future Multifamily	110	110			110
Costa Maggiore Phase 3	0	22		22	22
Total Platted/Sold	1369	1382	0	13	1382
Unplatted (direct billed)					
Future residential	290		268	(22)	268
Other - On-Roll					
Golf Club	10	10			10
Government Parcel	6	6			6
	1704	1398	268	-9	1666

NOTE - Rolls are not available until June, as such - the roll counts for Unplatted properties may change

Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.

XCEPTIONAL SOLUTIONSTM

Miromar Lakes CDD

Date:	April 1, 2022
То:	James P. Ward- District Manager
From:	Bruce Bernard - Field Asset Manager
Subject:	CDD Monthly Report –March 2022, Report
CGA P.N.	: 13-5692

Lake Maintenance

The CDD's aquatic / lake maintenance vendor, Solitude Lake Management (Solitude), is awaiting a permit, on the behalf of the CDD, from the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation (FWC) to add a limited number of carp fish to Lake 5/6 within the CDD's surface water management system. FCW said they will issue a permit for only 1,100 carp. CDD board will decide if any carp will be released into the lakes.

Solitude's David Beasley and staff completed the electrofishing of lakes on March 21st and 22nd. Solitude staff is refining the first phase of the fishery plan with the information gathered from that undertaking and will provide the CDD with the next steps in this process to discuss.

Solitudes aquatic division midge fly spraying program maintains a bi-weekly basis, and this will continue until the end of April 2022.

Dragonfly Pond Services completed rip-rap installation along Miromar Lakes Parkway between Castelli and Sorrento on lake 5/6 north side, and top of bank on south side of bridge on rip-rap bank slopes. Crews will begin Geo-Tube installations this month at this year's capital improvement locations in Portofino, Valencia, and Miromar Lakes golf course.

Stormwater Management

CDD stormwater vendor (MRI) will be cleaning Phase 1 of the three-year Drainage Maintenance Program. Locations within this year's programs are Golf

& Highway Design **Coastal Engineering Code Enforcement Construction Engineering** & Inspection (CEI) **Construction Services Contract Government** Services Data Technologies & Development Electrical Engineering **Emergency Management** Engineering **Environmental Services** Facilities Management Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Indoor Air Quality Land Development Landscape Architecture Municipal Engineering Planning Redevelopment Surveying & Mapping **Traffic Engineering** Transportation Planning Urban Design Water/Wastewater **Treatment Facilities** Website Development/ Computer Graphics

Civil Engineering/Roadway

GSA Contract Holder

1800 Eller Drive Suite 600 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316 954.921.7781 phone 954.921.8807 fax

www.cgasolutions.com

Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc. E X C E P T I O N A L S O L U T I O N S^{TM}

Course Interconnects, Porta Romano, Miromar Lakes Blvd, Montelago, Miromar Beach Club and Parking Lot, Verona Lago, Valencia, Bellavista, Siena.

Civil Engineering/Roadway & Highway Design **Coastal Engineering Code Enforcement Construction Engineering** & Inspection (CEI) **Construction Services Contract Government** Services Data Technologies & Development Electrical Engineering **Emergency Management** Engineering **Environmental Services** Facilities Management Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Indoor Air Quality Land Development Landscape Architecture Municipal Engineering Planning Redevelopment Surveying & Mapping **Traffic Engineering** Transportation Planning Urban Design Water/Wastewater **Treatment Facilities** Website Development/ Computer Graphics GSA Contract Holder

1800 Eller Drive Suite 600 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316 954.921.7781 phone 954.921.8807 fax

www.cgasolutions.com

NOTICE OF QUALIFYING PERIOD FOR CANDIDATES FOR THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE MIROMAR LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

Notice is hereby given that the qualifying period for candidates for the office of Supervisor of the Miromar Lakes Community Development District will commence at noon on June 13, 2022, and close at noon on June 17, 2022. Candidates may qualify during the early qualifying period which begins on May 30, 2022.

Candidates must qualify for the office of Supervisor with the Lee County Supervisor of Elections located at 2480 Thompson Street, Fort Myers, Florida 33901. All candidates shall qualify for individual seats in accordance with section 99.061, Florida Statutes, and must also be a qualified elector of the District. A qualified elector is any person at least 18 years of age who is a citizen of the United States, a legal resident of the State of Florida and of the District, and who is registered to vote with the Lee County Supervisor of Elections. Campaigns shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 106, Florida Statutes.

The Miromar Lakes Community Development District has two (3) seats up for election, specifically seats 1, 2, and 3. Each seat carries a four-year term of office. Elections are nonpartisan and will be held at the same time as the general election on November 8, 2022, in the manner prescribed by law for general elections.

For additional information, please contact the Lee County Supervisor of Elections at <u>www.lee.vote</u>.

Miromar Lakes Community Development District James P. Ward, District Manager

Publish Dated: 05/25/2022

MIROMAR LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT



FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - MARCH 2022

FISCAL YEAR 2022

PREPARED BY:

JPWARD & ASSOCIATES, LLC, 2301 NORTHEAST 37TH STREET, FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33308 T: 954-658-4900 E: JimWard@JPWardAssociates.com JPWard and Associates, LLC Community Development District Advisors

Miromar Lakes Community Development District

Table of Contents

Balance Sneet – All Funds	1-2
Statement of Revenue, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	
General Fund	3-5
Debt Service Fund Series 2012 Series 2015 Series 2022	6 7 8
Capital Projects Fund Series 2022	9

JPWard & Associates, LLC

2301 NORTHEAST 37 STREET FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA 33308

Miromar Lakes Community Development District Balance Sheet for the Period Ending March 31, 2022

				Go	vernmental Fun	ds					
				Del	bt Service Funds			al Projects Fund		t Groups	Totals
	Ger	neral Fund	Series 2012		Series 2015	Series 2022	Seri	ies 2022	General Long Term Debt	General Fixed Assets	(Memorandum Only)
Assets											
Cash and Investments											
General Fund - Invested Cash	\$	939,353	\$	- 9	\$-	\$-	\$	-	\$-	\$-	\$ 939,353
Debt Service Fund											
Interest Account		-		-	-	112,836		-	-	-	112,836
Sinking Account		-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Reserve Account		-		-	442,601	-		-	-	-	442,601
Revenue		-		-	799,528	8		-	-	-	799,536
Prepayment Account		-		-	6,436	-		-	-	-	6,436
Escrow Fund Account						7,869,684					7,869,684
Construction											-
Cost of Issuance								2,684			2,684
Due from Other Funds											
General Fund		-		-	18,700	31,797		-	-	-	50,497
Debt Service Fund(s)					-	-		-	-	-	-
Market Valuation Adjustments		-							-	-	-
Accrued Interest Receivable		-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Assessments Receivable		-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Accounts Receivable		-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Amount Available in Debt Service Funds		-		-	-	-		-	9,281,590	-	9,281,590
Amount to be Provided by Debt Service Funds		-		-	-	-		-	7,308,410	-	7,308,410
Investment in General Fixed Assets (net of											26 544 243
depreciation)	<u> </u>		ć		-	- <u> </u>	ć	-	-	36,514,917	36,514,917
Total Assets	Ş	939,353	\$	- \$	5 1,267,265	\$ 8,014,326	\$	2,684	\$ 16,590,000	\$ 36,514,917	\$ 63,328,543

Miromar Lakes Community Development District Balance Sheet for the Period Ending March 31, 2022

			Governmental Fun	ds				
			Debt Service Funds		Capital Projects Fund		t Groups	Totals
	General Fund	Series 2012	Series 2015	Series 2022	Series 2022	General Long Term Debt	General Fixed Assets	(Memorandum Only)
Liabilities								
Accounts Payable & Payroll Liabilities	\$ 306	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 306
Due to Other Funds								-
General Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Service Fund(s)	50,497	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,497
Other Developer	-	-						-
Bonds Payable	-							-
Current Portion - Series 2012	-	-	-	-	-	525,000	-	525,000
Current Portion - Series 2015	-	-	-	-	-	460,000	-	460,000
Current Portion - Series 2022						0		
Long Term - Series 2012						0		
Long Term - Series 2015	-	-	-	-	-	8,645,000	-	8,645,000
Long Term - Series 2022	-	-	-	-	-	6,960,000	-	6,960,000
Total Liabilities	\$ 50,803	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 16,590,000	\$-	\$ 16,640,803
Fund Equity and Other Credits								
Investment in General Fixed Assets	-					-	36,514,917	36,514,917
Fund Balance								
Restricted								
Beginning: October 1, 2021 (Audited)	-	690,801	1,136,694	-	-	-	-	1,827,494
Results from Current Operations	-	(690,801)	130,571	8,014,326	2,684	-	-	7,456,779
Unassigned								
Beginning: October 1, 2021 (Audited)	320,696					-	-	-
Allocation of Fund Balance								
Reserve for Water Management System	105,000							105,000
Reserve for Disaster Relief Reserve	95,000							95,000
Results from Prior Year Operations	120,696					-	-	120,696
Results of Current Operations	567,854							567,854
Total Fund Equity and Other Credits		\$-	\$ 1,267,265	\$ 8,014,326	\$ 2,684	\$-	\$ 36,514,917	\$ 46,687,741
Total Liabilities, Fund Equity and Other Credits	\$ 939,353	\$ -	\$ 1,267,265	\$ 8,014,326	\$ 2,684	\$ 16,590,000	\$ 36,514,917	\$ 63,328,543

Miromar Lakes Community Development District General Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2022

Description	October	November	December	January	February	March	Year to Date	Total Annual Budget	% of Budget
Revenue and Other Sources									
Carryforward	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	-	-	N/A
Interest									
Interest - General Checking	3	3	12	9	8	8	43	100	43%
Special Assessment Revenue									
Special Assessments - On-Roll	239	210,890	447,855	17,302	16,261	8,554	701,101	725,565	97%
Special Assessments - Off-Roll	34,164	-	-	34,164	-	-	68,328	136,655	50%
Miscellaneous Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Easement Encroachments	-	1,050	-	70	-	-	1,120	-	N/A
Intragovernmental Transfer In		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$ 34,406	\$ 211,943	\$ 447,868	\$ 51,544	\$ 16,269	\$ 8,562	770,591	\$ 862,320	89%
Expenditures and Other Uses									
Legislative									
Board of Supervisor's - Fees	1,000	1,000	1,000	-	1,000	2,000	6,000	12,000	50%
Board of Supervisor's - Taxes	77	77	77	-	77	153	459	918	50%
Executive									
Professional Management	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	20,000	40,000	50%
Financial and Administrative									
Audit Services	-	-	4,100	-	-	-	4,100	4,100	100%
Accounting Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Assessment Roll Services	-	-	18,000	-	-	-	18,000	18,000	100%
Arbitrage/Bond Reamortization	-	1,250	-	-	-	-	1,250	2,000	63%
Other Contractual Services									
Legal Advertising	-	297	-	-	-	-	297	1,200	25%
Trustee Services	-	3,400	-	-	-	5,859	9,258	9,300	100%
Property Appraiser/Tax Collector Fees	-	1,216	-	-	-	-	1,216	1,300	94%
Bank Services	36	34	36	37	33	21	197	500	39%
Travel and Per Diem	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Communications & Freight Services									

Communications & Freight Services

Miromar Lakes Community Development District General Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2022

Description	October	November	December	January	February	March	Year to Date	Total Annual Budget	% of Budget
Postage, Freight & Messenger	-	133	64	-	80	74	351	800	44%
Insurance	-	7,170	-	-	-	-	7,170	7,000	102%
Printing & Binding	-	635	-	-	263	573	1,470	2,200	67%
Website Maintenance	50	50	50	-	50	50	250	1,200	21%
Office Supplies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Subscription & Memberships	-	175	-	-	-	-	175	175	100%
Legal Services									
Legal - General Counsel	-	4,388	-	1,138	-	-	5,525	15,000	37%
Legal - Encroachments	-	-	-	-	1,358	-	1,358	-	N/A
Other General Government Services									
Engineering Services - General Fund	-	1,898	-	-	-	-	1,898	5,000	38%
Asset Maps/Cost Estimates	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,500	0%
Asset Administrative Services	-	833	833	-	833	1,667	4,167	10,000	42%
Reserve Analysis	-	7,250	-	-	-	-	7,250	-	N/A
Encroachment Agreements	-	-	618	-	-	-	618	-	N/A
Contingencies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Sub-Total:	4,496	33,138	28,111	4,508	7,026	13,730	91,008	133,193	68%
Stormwater Management Services									
Professional Services									
Asset Management	-	2,983	2,983	-	2,983	5,967	14,917	35,800	42%
NPDES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,000	0%
Mitigation Monitoring	-	2,393	-	-	-	850	3,243	-	N/A
Utility Services									
Electric - Aeration Systems	-	764	-	546	550	553	2,413	4,800	50%
Repairs & Maintenance									
Lake System									
Aquatic Weed Control	-	5,438	4,752	-	10,940	4,752	25,882	76,000	34%
Lake Bank Maintenance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,000	0%
Water Quality Testing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,300	0%
Water Control Structures		4,500					4,500	25,000	18%

Miromar Lakes Community Development District General Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2022

Description	October	November	December	January	February	March	Year to Date	Total Annual Budget	% of Budget
Grass Carp Installation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Litoral Shelf Barrier/Replanting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Cane Toad Removal	-	3,300	3,000	-	2,900	6,300	15,500	36,000	43%
Midge Fly Control	-	-	4,660	-	-	9,150	13,810	19,600	70%
Aeration System	-	750	1,444	-	5,050	-	7,244	12,000	60%
Fish Re-Stocking	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Wetland System									
Routine Maintenance	-	3,134	6,134	-	6,268	4,134	19,670	48,100	41%
Water Quality Testing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Capital Outlay									
Aeration Systems	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,000	0%
Littortal Shelf Replanting/Barrier	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Lake Bank Restoration	-	500	600	-	1,400	2,050	4,550	-	N/A
Turbidity Screens	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Erosion Restoration	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	118,800	0%
Contingencies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	108,000	0%
Sub-Total:	-	23,762	23,573	546	30,092	33,755	111,729	520,400	21%
Other Current Charges									
Hendry County - Panther Habitat Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500	0%
Reserves for General Fund									
Water Management System	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	105,000	0%
Disaster Relief Reserve	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	95,000	0%
Sub-Total:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	200,500	0%
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$ 4,496	\$ 56,900	\$ 51,685	\$ 5,053	\$ 37,118	\$ 47,485	\$ 202,737	\$ 854,093	24%
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance	29,910	155,043	396,183	46,490	(20,850)	(38,923)	567,854	8,227	
Fund Balance - Beginning	320,696	350,606	505,649	901,833	948,323	927,473	320,696	320,696	
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ 350,606	\$ 505,649	\$ 901,833	\$ 948,323	\$ 927,473	\$ 888,550	888,550	\$ 328,923	

Miromar Lakes Community Development District Debt Service Fund - Series 2012 Bonds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2022

Description	(October	November	Dec	ember	January	F	ebruary	March		Year to Date	Total Annual Budget	% of Budget
Revenue and Other Sources													
Carryforward	\$	-	\$-	\$	-	\$-	\$	-	\$	-	-	\$ 79,641	0%
Interest Income													
Reserve Account		(10,240)	-		-	-		13,508		2	3,270	7,200	45%
Prepayment Account		-	0		0	0		-		0	1	-	N/A
Revenue Account		1	1		0	0		4		6	13	100	13%
Interest Account		-	-		-	-		0		-	0	-	N/A
Special Assessment Revenue		-											
Special Assessments - On-Roll		306	270,220	Ę	573,853	22,169		20,836	10,9	961	898,346	929,731	97%
Special Assessments - Off-Roll		-	-		-	-		-		-	-	-	N/A
Special Assessments - Prepayments		-	-		-	-		-		-	-	-	N/A
Net Inc (Dec) Fair Value Investments		-	-		-	-		-		-	-	-	N/A
Operating Transfers In (From Other Funds)		-	-		-	-		-		-	-	-	N/A
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$	(9,932)	\$ 270,222	\$ 5	573,853	\$ 22,170	\$	34,348	\$ 10,9	69	901,630	\$ 1,016,672	N/A
xpenditures and Other Uses													
Debt Service													
Principal Debt Service - Mandatory													
Series 2012 Bonds		-			-	-		-		-	-	\$ 525,000	0%
Principal Debt Service - Early Redemptions													
Series 2012 Bonds		-	85,000		-	-		-		-	85,000	85,000	100%
Interest Expense													
Series 2012 Bonds		-	206,956		-	-		-		-	206,956	412,031	50%
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)		-	-		-	-		-	1,300,4	74	1,300,474	-	N/A
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$	-	\$ 291,956	\$	-	\$-	\$	-	\$ 1,300,4	74	1,592,430	\$ 1,022,031	N/A
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance		(9,932)	(21,734) 5	573,853	22,170		34,348	(1,289,5	505)	(690,801)	(5 <i>,</i> 359)	
Fund Balance - Beginning		690,801	680,868		559,134	1,232,987	1	1,255,157	1,289,5	505	690,801	870,552	
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	680,868	\$ 659,134	\$ 1,2	232,987	\$ 1,255,157	\$ 1	L,289,505	\$	-	-	\$ 865,193	

Miromar Lakes Community Development District Debt Service Fund - Series 2015 Bonds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2022

			- I		- · · ·		Versite Det	Total Annual	% of
Description	October	November	December	January	February	March	Year to Date	Budget	Budget
Revenue and Other Sources									
Carryforward	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	-	\$ 193,689	0%
Interest Income									
Reserve Account	(11,275)	0	0	0	0	0	(11,273)	12,000	-94%
Interest Account	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Sinking Fund Account	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Prepayment Account	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	N/A
Revenue Account	3	3	2	2	4	4	17	20	84%
Special Assessment Revenue									
Special Assessments - On-Roll	180	158,919	337,489	13,038	12,254	6,446	528,326	546,703	97%
Special Assessments - Off-Roll	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	352,264	0%
Special Assessments - Prepayments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Net Inc (Dec) Fair Value Investments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Operating Transfers In (From Other Funds)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Bond Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$ (11,091)	\$ 158,923	\$ 337,491	\$ 13,040	\$ 12,258	\$ 6,450	\$ 517,071	\$ 1,104,676	N/A
Expenditures and Other Uses									
Debt Service									
Principal Debt Service - Mandatory									
Series 2015 Bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 460,000	0%
Principal Debt Service - Early Redemptions									
Series 2015 Bonds	-	155,000	-	-	-	-	155,000	200,000	78%
Interest Expense									
Series 2015 Bonds	-	231,500	-	-	-	-	231,500	453,000	51%
Original Issue Discount	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$ -	\$ 386,500	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	386,500	\$ 1,113,000	N/A
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance	(11,091)	(227,577)	337,491	13,040	12,258	6,450	130,571	(8,324)	
Fund Balance - Beginning	1,136,694	1,125,602	898,025	1,235,517	1,248,557	1,260,815	1,136,694	(0,0=1)	
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ 1,125,602			\$ 1,248,557		\$ 1,267,265	1,130,094 1,267,265	\$ (8,324)	

Miromar Lakes Community Development District Debt Service Fund - Series 2022 Bonds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2022

Description	March	Year to Date	Total Annua Budget	al % o Buda	
Revenue and Other Sources					
Carryforward	\$-	-	\$	- N/	Ά
Interest Income					
Reserve Account	-	-		- N/	ΎΑ
Interest Account	-	-		- N/	Ά
Sinking Fund Account	-	-		- N/	Ά
Prepayment Account	-	-		- N/	Ά
Revenue Account	-	-		- N/	Ά
Escrow Fund Account	-	-		- N/	Ά
Special Assessment Revenue					
Special Assessments - On-Roll	-	-		- N/	Ά
Special Assessments - Off-Roll	-	-		- N/	Ά
Special Assessments - Prepayments	-	-		- N/	Ά
Net Inc (Dec) Fair Value Investments	-	-		- N/	⁄Α
Operating Transfers In (From Other Funds)	1,300,474	1,300,474		- N/	⁄Α
Refunding Bond Proceeds (2012 Bonds)	6,713,851	6,713,851		- N/	Ά
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$ 8,014,326	\$ 8,014,326	\$	- N/	Ά
Expenditures and Other Uses					
Debt Service					
Principal Debt Service - Mandatory					
Series 2022 Bonds	-	-	\$	- N/	/A
Principal Debt Service - Early Redemptions					
Series 2022 Bonds	-	-		- N/	/A
Interest Expense					
Series 2022 Bonds	-	-		- N/	/Α
Original Issue Discount	-	-		- N/	/Α
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)	-	-		- N/	Ά
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$-	-	\$	- N/	Ά
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance	8,014,326	8,014,326		-	
Fund Balance - Beginning	-	-		-	
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ 8,014,326	8,014,326	\$	-	

Miromar Lakes Community Development District Capital Project Fund - Series 2022 Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2022

Description		March		ar to Date	Total Annual Budget	
Revenue and Other Sources						
Carryforward	\$	-		-	\$	
Interest Income						
Construction Account		-		-		
Cost of Issuance		-		-		
Refunding Bond Proceeds (2012 Bonds)		246,149		246,149		
Contributions from Private Sources		-		-		
Operating Transfers In (From Other Funds)		-		-		
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$	246,149	\$	246,149	\$	
xpenditures and Other Uses						
Executive						
Professional Management		5,000	\$	5,000	\$	
Assessment Roll Services		5,000	\$	5,000	\$	
Other Contractual Services						
Trustee Services		5,975	\$	5,975	\$	
Printing & Binding		-	\$, _	\$	
Legal Services			•			
Legal - Series 2022 Bonds		93,750	\$	93,750		
Payment to Refunded Bonds Escrow Agent		,	•	,		
2022 Refinance		133,740	\$	133,740		
Capital Outlay			Ŧ			
Water-Sewer Combination-Construction		-	\$	_	\$	
Stormwater Mgmt-Construction		-	\$	-	\$	
Off-Site Improvements-CR 951 Extension		-	\$	-	\$	
Construction in Progress		-	\$	-	Ŧ	
Cost of Issuance						
Series 2022 Bonds		-		-	\$	
Underwriter's Discount		-	\$	-		
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)	\$	-	\$	-		
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$	243,465	\$	243,465	\$	
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance	\$	2,684	\$	2,684		
Fund Balance - Beginning	\$	-		-		
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	2,684	\$	2,684	\$	