FLOW WAY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT



REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

APRIL 15, 2021

PREPARED BY:

JPWARD & ASSOCIATES, LLC, 2301 NORTHEAST 37TH STREET, FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33308 T: 954-658-4900 E: JimWard@JPWardAssociates.com

FLOW WAY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

April 8, 2021

Board of Supervisors Flow Way Community Development District

Dear Board Members:

This Regular Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Flow Way Community Development District will be held on **Thursday, April 15, 2021 at 1:00 P.M.** in Conference room of the offices of **Woods, Weidenmiller, Michetti, & Rudnick, 9045 Strada Stell Court, Suite 400, Naples, Florida 34109.**

The venue for this meeting is the offices of Woods, Weidenmiller, Michetti, & Rudnick and was specifically chosen such that the District will be able to meet the social distance guidelines for this meeting for Board Members/ and Staff only for the meeting. With the limitation for people in the meeting room the District encourages members of the public to join by video or audio using the link below.

Please ensure that all Board Members and Staff in attendance bring and wear masks during the meeting.

The following WebEx link and telephone number are provided to join/watch the meeting.

Weblink:

https://districts.webex.com/districts/onstage/g.php?MTID=e46416998d477f7b7a6587121fccc1521

Access Code: 129 791 5923

Event password: Jpward

Call in information if you choose not to use the web link:

Phone: 408-418-9388 and enter the access code 129 791 5923 to join the meeting.

The link to the meeting will also be posted on the District's web site: www.Flowwaycdd.org.

Agenda

- 1. Call to Order & Roll Call.
- 2. Public Comments for NON-Agenda items. (limited to Three (3) minutes) Individuals are permitted to speak on items on the Agenda during that item and will be announced by the Chairperson.

New Business

- 3. Consideration of Minutes:
 - I. March 18, 2021 Regular Meeting
- 4. Staff Items
 - I. District Attorney Woods, Weidenmiller, Michetti, & Rudnick
 - II. District Engineer Calvin, Giordano & Associates
 - III. District Manager JPWard & Associates, LLC
 - a. Financial Statements for period ending March 31, 2021 (unaudited)

Old Business

- 5. Agreement with Master Homeowner's Association and District. (Continuing Item until Final Decision)
- 6. Discussion of Future Funding of Preserve Mitigation and Maintenance (Continuing Item until Final Decision)
- 7. Staff Items
 - I. District Attorney
 - a. Complaint regarding Ownership and Maintenance responsibilities for the Main Preserve located within the boundaries of Flow Way CDD.
 - b. Developer relocation of Resident Walkway/Golf cart Pathway
 - 1. 1st Notice of Trespass to Taylor Morrison March 9, 2021
 - 2. Response to 1st Notice of Trespass March 18, 2021
 - 3. 2nd Notice of Trespass to Taylor Morrison March 22, 2021
 - 4. Response to 2nd Notice of Trespass March 31, 2021
 - II. District Manager
- 8. Board Items (None)

Final Board Items

- 9. Audience Comments
- 10. Announcement of Next Meeting May 20, 2021
- 11. Adjournment

Meetings for Fiscal Year 2021 are as follows:

April 15, 2021	May 20, 2021					
June 17, 2021	July 15, 2021					
August 19, 2021	September 16, 2021					

Staff Review of New Items

The Third Order of Business is the consideration of the March 18, 2021 Regular Meeting Minutes.

The balance of the Agenda is standard in nature and I look forward to seeing you at the meeting, if you have any questions and/or comments before the meeting, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at (954) 658-4900.

Yours sincerely, Flow Way Community Development District

Tomes & Ward

James P. Ward District Manager

1		MINUTES OF MEETING
2		FLOW WAY
3	COMM	IUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT
4		
5	C C	Directors of the Flow Way Community Development District was
6	•	1:00 P.M. in the conference room of the offices of Woods,
7	Weidenmiller, Michetti, & Rudnick, 90	045 Strada Stell Court, 4th floor, Naples, Florida 34109.
8		
9		
10	Present and constituting a qu	
11	Zack Stamp	Chairperson
12	Ron Miller	Vice Chairperson
13	Tom Kleck	Assistant Secretary
14	Martinn Winters	Assistant Secretary
15	Andrew Miller	Assistant Secretary (Mr. Miller left the meeting during
16		the Engineering Presentations.)
17		
18	Also present were:	
19	James P. Ward	District Manager
20	Greg Woods	District Counsel
21	Jessica Tolin	District Counsel
22	Tony Grau	Grau and Associates
23	David Caplivski	Grau and Associates
24		
25	Audience:	
26	David Boguslawski	
27	Steven Murray	
28	Jonathan Oriole	
29	Jenna Martinetti	Calvin, Giordano & Associates
30	James Messick	Calvin, Giordano & Associates
31	Dave Underhill	Bank's Engineering
32	David Schmidt	Hole, Montes and Associates
33	Charlie Krebs	Hole, Montes and Associates
34	Andrew Tilton	Johnson Engineering
35	Jordan Varble	Johnson Engineering
36	Adrian Robaina	Connect Engineering
37	Daniel Greenberg	Connect Engineering
38	Rodney Devera	Connect Engineering
39		and the back of the the set of the
40		not included with the minutes. If a resident did not identify
41 42		did not pick up the name, the name was not recorded in these
42	minutes.	
43		
44 45		RE TRANSCRIBED VERBATIM. ALL VERBATIM PORTIONS WERE
45 46	FORTIONS OF THIS WEETING WEI	TRANSCRIBED VERDATIW. ALL VERDATIW PORTIONS WERE
40 47		
47 48		
-10		

49 50	FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS	Call to Order/Roll Call								
51 52 53 54 55		order at approximately 1:00 p.m. Roll call was conducted nstituting a quorum. He stated there would be a period r non-agenda items.								
56 57	SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS	OND ORDER OF BUSINESS Public Comments for NON-Agenda items								
58 59 60	Individuals are permitted to speak on items or the Chairperson; comments limited to three m	the Agenda during that item and will be announced by inutes								
61 62 63 64		time for public comments regarding non-agenda items at happy to recognize speakers for brief comments during Miller was doing.								
65 66	Mr. Drew Miller: I had potential exposure, but e	everything was okay.								
67 68 69	Chairperson Stamp welcomed the HOA elect Bo	ard Members present for today's Meeting.								
70 71	THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS	Consideration of Minutes								
72 73	January 21, 2021 Regular Meeting Minutes									
74 75 76	Chairperson Stamp asked if there were any ad called for a motion to approve the January 21, 2	ditions or corrections to the Minutes; hearing none, he 021 Regular Meeting Minutes as presented.								
77 78 79 80		Fom Kleck, seconded by Mr. Martinn the January 21, 2021 Regular Meeting								
81 82 83 84		g you said you were voting against some of this stuff hat we are doing here. Could you explain to us what the								
85 86 87	<i>Mr. Drew Miller: I don't believe that the entire going to be spent, that's what I meant.</i>	community supports the lawsuit and the dollars that are								
88 89	Chairperson Stamp: I would agree that's probal	oly a true statement.								
90 91	Mr. Drew Miller: I haven't taken a poll. Have yo	pu taken a poll?								
92 93	Chairperson Stamp: Well, let's go around the ro	om, is that what you're hearing Tom?								
94 95	Mr. Tom Kleck: I think everybody is for it.									

96 Mr. Ron Miller: People I bump into on the golf course and when I'm out walking my pooch around the
97 community people bump into me, and I don't even know some of them, and they say, "Sure glad you're
98 doing this," and "keep on going."

99

102

100 *Mr. Martinn Winters: I have heard only positive comments. The only negative comment I heard was* 101 *"isn't a shame we have to sue Taylor Morrison."*

103 Chairperson Stamp: I've heard that too, that it hurts the community to have to do this, but they didn't 104 say don't do it. I was just curious when you made that statement if you had any polling or research or 105 how much time you spent in the community talking about it.

106 107

109

108 FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Ranking of Engineering Proposals

- 110 Consideration of ranking of engineering proposals to serve as District Engineer and agreement with 111 the Number 1 ranked firm
- 113 Chairperson Stamp asked District Manager Jim Ward to review this Item.
- 114

112

Mr. Jim Ward: As you are aware, we go through a process called the Consultants Competitive 115 116 Negotiations Act. It's the Engineer's full employment act, or the auditor's full employment act, where we 117 go through a process where we accept proposals for whatever services we are looking for on a non-118 priced basis. They submit those proposals to you. They are qualification based. The Board has to review 119 them. You may use whatever form you decide in terms of ranking. You can use the format I put in your 120 Agenda. You may just discuss it and rank them as you deem appropriate. To the extent that you receive 121 more than one proposal, you have to rank at least the top three. Beyond that there is no ranking 122 required. The process here that I am suggesting to you is all of the engineers be given 10 minutes or so 123 in order to provide a short presentation to you. Then they will be in a position to answer questions that 124 you have with respect to their proposals and then we will go on to the next proposal. In normal 125 circumstances, in pre COVID days, we generally asked those firms who were not presenting to step out of 126 the room. That is a voluntary thing that they can do or not do, but with the use of the video call-in, all of 127 the participants will hear each other's presentations. I want the Board to be aware of that as you hear 128 each of the presentations. I put them in order on your Agenda, in alphabetical order. You may listen to 129 them in the order that I identified or in any other order the Chair deems appropriate.

130

131 Chairperson Stamp: That's fine.

132

133 *I. Presentation by Engineers*

- 134 a. Bank's Engineering
- 135 b. Calvin, Giordano & Associates
- 136 c. Connect Engineering
- 137 d. Hole, Montes and Associates
- 138 e. Johnson Engineering

Mr. Ward: The first Engineer is Banks Engineering. Mr. Dave Underhill is on the phone with us today
 representing that firm. He asked Mr. Underhill to take no more than 10 minutes to make his
 presentation and then answer questions.

143

139

144 Mr. Greg Woods: Mr. Chair, do you mind if the District Attorney adjourns during the interview process?

145 146

Chairperson Stamp: You are free to leave.

147

149

148 Mr. Woods: Let us know when the Meeting resumes.

150 Mr. Dave Underhill introduced Bank's Engineering: 80 employees, offices in Ft. Myers and Port 151 Charlotte, with a specialty in private land development. Mr. Underhill indicated he had been with 152 Bank's Engineering for 25 years and had completed numerous projects similar to Esplanade Golf Course 153 Community. He indicated he had been involved with more than 20 CDDs through the formation and 154 funding of the Districts, writing Engineer's Reports. He noted Bank's Engineering remained as the 155 District Engineer for many CDDs. He noted once projects were completed Bank's Engineering served 156 more as an on-call entity to respond to maintenance items such as lake bank erosion, traffic calming, 157 etc. He stated he had known Jim Ward for several decades, had worked with Mr. Ward in several 158 Districts and enjoyed working with Mr. Ward. He noted he lived locally in Long Shore Lake. He stated 159 he was familiar with the Esplanade project. He indicated he had been involved with the Parklands 160 development which was now Riverstone which had suffered the same environmental litigation as did 161 Mirasol. He noted the site plans may be a little different, but the main points were the same: wetland 162 preserves, bypass canal, etc. He stated he was familiar with this project. He noted Bank's was used to 163 working with large residential communities, golf course communities, and would be happy to work with 164 Flow Way CDD as a District Engineer. He asked if there were any questions.

165

166 *Mr. Ron Miller: Are you currently working with any communities like ours in the area at the moment?* 167

Mr. Underhill: We are in Collier County. We work for Cedar Hammock. That's a District I designed back
in the late 1990s and we have stayed on with them. Just to the north of you in Bonita I am involved with
a number of Districts: Parklands, Parklands West, and several others out at the east end of Bonita Beach
Road.

172

173 *Mr. Kleck: What specifically would you have in mind for our preserves and our lakes? Have you done* 174 *any review yet? Have you looked at our facilities?*

175

Mr. Underhill: I have not gone through the project or reviewed it or walked the lakes or anything like that. Collier usually has a higher standard since all the lakes end up being surveyed and at the time the excavation permits are completed the lakes are usually in pretty good shape, but again, lakes always need maintenance. There is always some erosion, bank erosion. We are doing projects where we are going back in and retrofitting some yard drains and installing drains and things like that to help stop the erosion, installing some geofabrics or different things like that if you have problems. But no, I haven't gone through the project to see what kind of condition everything is in.

183

Mr. Kleck: Can you tell me what's involved with the County accepting the lakes to turnover by Taylor
Morrison to the CDD? What does that involve? Does the County have specifications they are looking at
before they will allow Taylor Morrison to turn that over to the CDD?

187

188 Mr. Underhill: I think that you are really describing two separate processes. The County excavation 189 permit with Collier requires surveys to be completed and inspections to be performed for the County to 190 close out the permits. That would have happened immediately following construction, so if the lakes 191 have not been turned over to the CDD a quick look at the property appraiser site indicated that the 192 District did not own the lakes, so I don't know if they have formally accepted them or not, but really the 193 District would control the process for the acceptance of them. It wouldn't be unreasonable for the 194 District to prepare their own inspections and then want to accept lakes that are in good shape, but again 195 that would be a separate issue. 196 197 Mr. Kleck: Basically, you are saying it is up to us at this point whether we accept them or not? 198 199 Mr. Underhill: I don't think it is quite that simple, but I think you control the process and if you haven't 200 accepted them, again, I think you may have funded them, you may have easement rights over them. I 201 don't think you can just say no you won't take them, but it's not unreasonable to have them be in good 202 shape and for you to inspect them and want repairs to be done before you accept them if you have not 203 already done that. 204 205 Mr. Winters: How much experience do you have dealing with the Army Corps of Engineers and what 206 experience do you have in seeing how escrow funds are calculated for eternal maintenance funding? 207 208 Mr. Underhill: I have been involved a little bit through projects that are typically donated properties to 209 Crew where Crew had their escrow amount that they set per acre. Other than that, I don't know of any 210 others where there is any set process. I am familiar with the Corps, but normally in projects that we are 211 involved with, the environmental consultants would take the lead on permitting things with the Corps or 212 handling those processes. Typically, those funds are associated with the long-term maintenance of the 213 exotic removal and funding that ongoing maintenance. Typically, those costs are what the 214 environmental consults do; they take the lead more so than I would. 215 216 Chairperson Stamp: I assume you are going to handle us out of the Ft. Myers office? 217 218 Mr. Underhill: Yes. 219 220 Chairperson Stamp: Have you, or are you now, doing any work for Taylor Morrison? 221 222 Mr. Underhill: We have probably done some work for Taylor Morrison. We generally work for most of 223 the national builders, but I will say I don't recall doing a project for Taylor Morrison and I don't have any 224 ongoing work right now with Taylor Morrison. 225 226 Chairperson Stamp: Minority business. The scoring sheet Jim gave us has a column for that. You have 227 any certification or people you employ or contract with that allows you to claim to be certified in 228 minority business? 229 230 Mr. Underhill: Our firm is not a minority business. As far as working with other firms, we work with all 231 the environmental firms around the region. We are flexible to use who the District wants to use. 232 233 Chairperson Stamp: Do you have any experience working with Troon, our new incoming manager? 234 235 *Mr.* Underhill: No. Is that the maintenance company? Who is that? 236 237 Tom Kleck: They are a management company who is going to be responsible for all our management of 238 the Esplanade Golf and Country Club, everything inclusive. 239

240 *Mr. Underhill: So, they are going to run the golf course and take care of the wetlands and the grounds* 241 *and all of that? I am not familiar with them.*

242

243 Mr. Kleck: They are taking the place of Pope Golf.

244

Chairman Stamp: Okay, last question. In looking at all these proposals, I think everybody could do the
job. Why should we pick you? Everybody has the same certifications, and the narration is pretty much
the same all the way through. What makes you stand out from the others?

248

Mr. Underhill: I don't know that anything that stands us out. Us engineers, I would look at it as being pretty boring. Your community is pretty similar to the developments throughout the region. I think the other engineers that you have – you have Johnson Engineering and Hole Montes that I recognize – those are two of the most well-established firms in the region. I think it's really going to come down to the fit with your community and the manager and who you think you guys like the best and who fits. I'm sure that those other firms are qualified as well.

255

257

256 Mr. Ward asked Mr. Jim Messick to make his presentation.

258 Mr. Jim Messick thanked the CDD for the opportunity to possibly provide District Engineering Services 259 for Flow Way. He indicated he would be the project manager and main contact responsible for 260 overseeing any Professional District Engineering Services. He introduced Calvin, Giordano & Associates, 261 a small interdisciplinary firm able to provide many inhouse services (engineering, planning, 262 environmental engineering, landscape architecture, survey, construction management and inspection, 263 traffic engineering, utility and community maintenance services). He indicated there were others 264 available to answer questions. He reviewed the CGA submittal noting each discipline needed for a CDD 265 were located in the CGA office. He listed the communities for which he and CGA served as District 266 Engineers including Wentworth CDD, Miromar Lakes CDD, Aqua Isles CDD, Bonaventure Development 267 District, and Indian Trace Development District. He discussed the work and responsibilities of CGA in 268 these communities. He stated he believed the services expected by Flow Way CDD were in line with the 269 services and experience CGA previously provided. He stated the multi-disciplinary services provided by 270 CGA would fit seamlessly with Flow Way CDD. He indicated he would serve as District Engineer, would 271 always be available in a timely manner, and he would be happy to serve Flow Way CDD.

272

273 Mr. Winters asked how much experience Mr. Messick had in dealing with the Army Corps of Engineers274 and how much experience Mr. Messick had in the calculation and establishment of escrow funds.

275

Mr. Messick responded he had several projects where he was the Engineer of Record which had wetland impacts. He noted CGA had environmental staff and similar to Bank's he would be overseeing the environmental staff and assisting in the permitting process. He indicated he was familiar with the permitting process. He indicated he was the client liaison for the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. He stated prior to moving to the east coast he worked at a small engineering firm in Ft. Myers and was very familiar with the Water Management District and the permitting requirements in Naples, Collier and Lee County.

- 283
- 284 Mr. Winters asked if Mr. Messick had any experience in establishing escrow funds.
- 285

286 *Mr. Messick: We have helped put together costs that would be used by the Board to establish future* 287 *replacement costs should anything come up. We have worked alongside, but haven't directly worked, to* 288 *put those reports together.*

289

292

290 *Mr. Winters: Are you familiar with any land management agencies that might be willing to take over our* 291 *external preserve with accompanying escrow fund?*

293 *Mr. Messick: No, but I would be hitting the ground running trying to do that for you if you select us to be* 294 *your District Engineer. That is something that would be right up our alley of services to provide.*

295

299

296 Ms. Jenna Martinetti (CGA): Mr. Bruce Bernard who is working over there doing a lot of the field 297 maintenance for some of the other CDDs, he is familiar with those types of companies and that would 298 also be something easy to get going on immediately.

Mr. Kleck: What experience have you had doing a transition, where a CDD is new like we are, and the Board Members are all fairly new, and we are all going through a changeover or transition from a developer to us with a lot of surprises, and wanted to check and make sure you guys have the experience to handle those and direct us as well?

304

Mr. Messick: We actually were with Jim Ward at the Wentworth Estates CDD where we helped turnover and combine all of the District permits for all of the various communities within that District, alongside the Asset Manager to turn over the cost associated with, and the responsibilities for maintenance, for all of the assets in addition to the lakes. So, I am somewhat familiar with that process and we have provided that for a previous CDD.

- 310
- 311 Chairperson Stamp: Are you on the east coast?
- 312

Mr. Messick: Right now, I am located in Ft. Lauderdale in our corporate office. We have offices in Estero which I can work out of if we need a morning meeting. I can make sure I am available at any time. I have two kids on the west coast, and I go pick them up on Fridays and we are able to usually to work out those travel times on my own time because I am over there a lot for them. I used to be in Ft. Meyers before I moved over to Broward County, but I am over there a lot with work, and I don't see it being a problem.

319

320 Chairperson Stamp: Work for Taylor Morrison? Past relationship?

321

322 Mr. Messick: No. I do not have a relationship with Taylor Morrison.

323

324 Chairperson Stamp: Do you have any knowledge of Esplanade, where we are at and what challenges we 325 are facing?

326

327 Mr. Messick: Not very detailed information. I have looked at an aerial and looked over the layout 328 briefly, but again if you were to select us, we would start digging in a lot more detail in permits and any 329 other documentation you might have that we need to familiarize ourselves with.

330

331 Chairperson Stamp: Minority business?

332

333 *Mr. Messick: We probably would not qualify for that.*

334	
335	Chairperson Stamp: Any experience working with our new management company?
336	
337	Mr. Messick: Yes, we have worked with Jim Ward before.
338	
339	Chairperson Stamp: Have you worked with Troon?
340	
341	Mr. Messick: Not myself, but I'm not sure from an asset side if we have worked with them. I would have
342	to get back to you to give you a full answer on that.
343	
344	Mr. Ward indicated the next presenter was Connect Engineering, Adrian Robaina.
345	Mr. Advice Deboing with Connect Engineering stated by would be the preject measure for the District
346 347	Mr. Adrian Robaina with Connect Engineering stated he would be the project manager for the District.
347 348	He stated Rodney Devera and Daniel Greenberg were also present. He stated Connect Engineering was a small minority firm. He discussed his qualifications and the qualifications of Connect Engineering. He
348 349	stated Connect Engineering was a civil engineering company with experience with FDOT, municipalities
350	and the private sector. He stated Connect Engineering had grown in the homeowner's association and
351	condominium community sector which made Connect Engineering uniquely qualified to work with
352	public communities. He discussed the growth of Connect Engineering over the years. He indicated
353	Connect Engineering was on call 24 hours a day. He stated he understood how a community worked
354	and how to work with the Board Members. He indicated Connect Engineering had experience with
355	permits, inspections, contract management, change orders, special assessments, consultants, etc.
356	
357	Mr. Rodney Devera introduced himself. He noted he would serve as a Deputy Project Manager;
358	however, the Board's point of contact would remain Mr. Robaina. He discussed his various
359	qualifications. He noted Connect Engineering had a full understanding of client services and could take a
360	Board's vision and bring it to fruition. He noted Connect conducted its due diligence and would bring
361	value to Flow Way. He noted Connect was familiar with public involvement, understanding how to
362	explain projects in laymen's terms. He thanked the CDD for the opportunity.
363	
364	Mr. Robaina stated Connect Engineering won contracts with the Village of Estero for continuing
365	engineering services, and the Town of Ft. Myers Beach. He indicated Connect Engineering would be
366	very involved with the community.
367	
368	Mr. Winters: Do you have experience working with the Corps and establishing escrow funds for
369	perpetual maintenance? Are you familiar with any land management agencies that might be willing to
370	take our 1,000 acres preserves?
371	Mr. Debainer. No we do not have any every inner but from what I understand this is one of the things
372 373	<i>Mr.</i> Robaina: No, we do not have any experience but from what I understand this is one of the things that we will need to do early on.
373 374	that we will need to do early on.
375	Mr. Devera: With respect to experience, we do have experience with respect to the Army Corps of
376	Engineers where we have done wetland mitigation on several projects, however, when it comes to the
377	land management and who would be taking over the district, no we do not. But again, we have the
378	capability of assisting and doing the research to assist when it moves in that direction.
379	, , ,
380	Chairperson Stamp: Are you going to handle this out of the Ft. Lauderdale office?
381	

383 384 Chairperson Stamp: Have you done any work for Taylor Morrison? 385 386 Mr. Devera: No, we have not. 387 388 Chairperson Stamp: Do you have any knowledge of Esplanade? 389 390 Mr. Ward: Our connection is weak, and they are not hearing us very well. I see I'm not even on screen at the moment. The Chairman is asking if you have seen the project or done any behind the scenes work 391 392 regarding what this District is about. 393 394 Mr. Danny Greenberg (Connect Engineering): We have done our research. We have not been to the 395

Mr. Robaina: No, we are currently located in Miami Dade County, 30 to 40 minutes away.

community physically, but we have done our due diligence. We have looked into the issue with the preserve. That's something we are ready to start working with the District as soon as hopefully we are selected. That's something that I think can be handled through a project handoff meeting, doing a maintenance overview, but we have experience with similar communities just like your own. Our office is not too far. We understand the needs of the community. We understand what you are going through as far as development and the new construction, so as far as doing our due diligence, we have done that.

401

382

Mr. Devera: We do understand there are two primary purposes of the District and one of the first things of course is the finance and also the infrastructure which is going to be required for the golf and country club community and at the same time is also to provide secondly with the infrastructure that's going to be needed to support this type of facility. And eventually to provide the operation and ultimately the maintenance of the community. We know that overall, that is the purpose that Flow Way is looking to accomplish.

- 409 Mr. Ward indicated Mr. David Schmidt was next to present.
- 410

408

411 Mr. David Schmidt with Hole, Montes and Associates stated he has been with Hole, Montes for over 10 412 years and in south Florida for over 30 years. He indicated he was very familiar with the area. He noted 413 he was a civil engineer but was multidisciplined in the civil engineering field. He discussed his 414 experience. He noted Charlie Krebs was present as well. He reviewed a brief history of Hole, Montes 415 and Associates (HMA), one of the leading firms in southwest Florida. He stated HMA offered civil 416 engineering services, land development, utility work, transportation work, surveying and landscape 417 architecture. He noted HMA would bring in specialized consultants when needed for services such as 418 electrical. He indicated HMA was currently serving approximately 16 CDDs. He listed some of the CDDS 419 HMA provided services for including Fiddler's Creek, Lakeland Community Development, and others. He 420 asked Mr. Krebs to speak.

421

422 Mr. Charlie Krebs stated he was a civil engineer who worked out of the Hole, Montes and Associates Ft. 423 Meyers office. He stated he worked with several districts in Lake County. He stated he worked with Mr. 424 Ward for several years in multiple communities. He stated the first CDD he ever worked with was in 425 Estero, Pelican Sound, in 1996. He noted he was still working with Pelican Sound (River Ridge CDD). He 426 stated Hole, Montes and Associates had longevity with the communities it served. He noted he had 427 experience providing the services needed whether it be engineering, landscaping or assistance finding 428 contractors to do wetland preserve work or help with water quality. He stated Hole, Montes and 429 Associates knew the resources available in the community and knew how to draw said resources in to

provide services to the CDD. He stated he had been with Hole, Montes and Associates for 27 years. Heindicated HMA had grown and continued to grow.

432

433 *Mr. Winters: How much experience do you have with establishing escrow funds and do you know any* 434 *land management agencies which might be willing to take over the external preserves with the proper* 435 *funds?*

436

437 Mr. Schmidt: I have not been involved with establishing escrow funds for environmental needs. I have 438 been involved with helping municipalities in establishing a capital improvement program budget which 439 would look into the immediate needs and also into the future a little bit, so they can be setting aside 440 some of their monies as time goes on that they are able to complete some larger scale type 441 improvements without having to get bonds necessarily. One of the districts has water and wastewater 442 facilities, so they do have some specialized needs as far as making sure they do have funds for regular 443 plan improvements and so forth.

444

445 Mr. Krebs: As the others have said we have worked with environmentalists to come up with those 446 escrow accounts, determining the dollar amounts, but they are usually the lead. As for finding an agency 447 who would be willing to take over the management, I know we have discussed it before in other 448 communities but are yet to have somebody come forward and say they would take over wetland areas 449 and relieve the CDD of that burden. So, I can't say we have been successful anywhere.

450

Mr. Kleck: If you guys have had a lot of experience with SW Florida, you know what some of the builders do in developing a community. Some of the challenges I think that we are going to have here is, in addition to the preserves, will be the lakes and the waterways that right now I think are critically mismanaged or taken care of to the point that they are becoming swamps again. I want to know if you have any experience, or what your approach would be to alleviate those issues in our community.

456

457 Mr. Schmidt: We've dealt with that in some other CDDs, especially some of the older ones who are 458 having the lake erosion occur. We get in there and we look at different ways that we could mitigate that 459 and also repair the banks and bring them back to what they had been before. There is also the need to 460 make sure you are eradicating the exotics and so forth and making sure the littoral plantings are 461 maintained.

462

Mr. Kleck: A lot of the issue as I see it are the lake banks are a minor part of our problem. The lakes when we moved here in 2016, the condition, the clarity and the amount of open water, was a lot more significant than what it is today. I think there has been a lack of maintenance on the lakes that we are going to have to play catch up to take care of. Is that something that needs an ongoing crew or something that can be alleviated with regular maintenance?

468

469 Mr. Krebs: As far as water management, we work closely with Solitude and other lake and wetland 470 providers to help with water quality, to help with maintaining algae and littoral plants and improve the 471 clarity of the water. As the District Engineer that would be one of the goals, to work with whoever the 472 lake and wetland maintenance company is to resolve any shore erosion, to resolve any water quality 473 issues, that are in the water management system.

474

475 *Mr. Kleck: You would be able to direct us to those management companies that would be able to take* 476 *care of that issue? Is that correct?*

477

478 Mr. Krebs: Correct. We have worked with several over the years, and we can provide contact 479 information and start a dialog with them. 480 481 Chairperson Stamp: Which office would be handling our project? 482 483 Mr. Schmidt: We would primarily be handling it out of the Naples office. We are ten to fifteen minutes 484 away from you at this office. Of course, we have Charlie and many other resources in Naples and also in 485 Ft. Myers. Even the Ft. Myers office is probably less than a half hour away so if we need to bring some 486 resources from there, we do have them available. 487 488 Chairperson Stamp: Have you ever, or are you now currently doing work for Taylor Morrison? 489 490 Mr. Schmidt: I am not aware of us doing any work for Taylor Morrison. I am not even sure we have done 491 work in the past for Taylor Morrison. 492 493 Chairperson Stamp: What is your knowledge of our development. Is there anything you can advise us 494 on? Next steps or problems you can see? 495 496 Mr. Schmidt: One of the first things we will definitely get up to speed on is the pending litigation and so 497 forth. Getting familiar with your community itself. That's the key thing, getting familiar and helping you 498 guys out wherever you do have the needs. In any community one of the important things is making sure 499 that regular inspections are done of the facilities to make sure they are being maintained, being 500 proactive if there is any kind of maintenance that needs to be planned for. That the budgets are there. 501 That you've got the money available to do those maintenance items. 502 503 Chairperson Stamp: Any experience with Troon the management company? 504 505 *Mr. Schmidt: I do not have any experience with them.* 506 507 Mr. Ward indicated the final presenter was Johnson Engineering, Mr. Andrew Tilton. 508 509 Mr. Andrew Tilton with Johnson Engineering gave a brief history of Johnson Engineering noting he had 510 worked for Johnson Engineering since 1986. He stated Jordan Varble was also present. He stated 511 Johnson Engineering had extensive experience working with governmental entities in the State of 512 Florida including being the City Engineer for the City of Clewiston. He discussed his personal experience 513 which spanned four decades in south Florida. He noted Johnson Engineering was a broad-spectrum 514 service company with experience in water, sewer, stormwater, roads, groundwater, landscape 515 architecture, electrical engineering, environmental experts, permitting, and water quality improvement. 516 He indicated Johnson worked for over two dozen CDDs currently. He noted Johnson Engineering had a 517 number of specialists on staff, including a panther specialist. 518 519 Mr. Jordan Varble with Johnson Engineering stated he and Mr. Tilton had expertise in surface water 520 permitting and design. He discussed a local regional watershed planning study he and Mr. Tilton had 521 worked on together. He noted Johnson Engineering's utility groups were working with Collier County 522 regarding the lift stations in Collier County including the lift station in Esplanade. 523 524 Mr. Tilton indicated he understood Flow Way had ongoing litigation; Johnson Engineering were not 525 litigation experts but had done expert testing for bond validations for Chapter 120 hearings and had

526 served as expert witnesses in a small number of project court cases relating to past work or problems 527 which have been encountered in past work. He stated Johnson Engineering had a lot of experience with 528 Community Development Districts in south Florida, as well as the Tampa area. He explained Johnson 529 Engineering was a multidisciplinary firm who would provide the CDD with a single point of contact and 530 would bring the expertise needed for every project. He stated Johnson Engineering was established in 531 1946. He indicated the offices which would handle the Flow Way CDD were in Ft. Myers and Naples. 532

- 533 *Mr. Winters: How much experience do you have dealing with the Corps and/or establishing escrow* 534 *funds, and can you help us find a land management agency to take over the preserves if we get an* 535 *escrow fund established?*
- 536

537 Mr. Tilton: Our environmental people have a lot of experience with the Corps. Sometimes, it takes a 538 long time to deal with the Corps. I just finished up a project that took all of about 14 months to get the 539 water management permit and about 42 months to get the Corps permit, but eventually we get there 540 because we keep wearing them down. As far as helping to find an agency to take over. We've done that 541 a couple of times. It is not as common as some people would like it to be, so I am not going to tell you 542 that's a slam dunk or an easy process. We can help work with that. The Conservancy or somebody else 543 may be interested in it to go with something else that they are doing.

- 544
- 545 *Mr. Winters: Do you have any experience in calculating escrow funds or helping to get them* 546 *established?*
- 547

548 *Mr.* Tilton: We have had experience working on bond projects and establishing the cost and evaluation 549 that goes into that from the engineering side. A lot of those things also have a major financial 550 component as you well know, so there have been others that have been part of that process.

- 551
- 552 Chairperson Stamp: Have you worked for Taylor Morrison?
- 553

554 Mr. Tilton: Our company, I haven't personally worked with them, but our company has in the past. I've 555 talked more recently to our development group which has dealt with them and one, we are not working 556 for them now, and their position at the moment is, if they don't have to work them in the future, they are 557 going to be very happy.

- 559 Chairperson Stamp: In your packet, it says you worked for Flow Way CDD. Could you tell me what you 560 did for them and when you did it?
- 561

558

562 Mr. Tilton: I think that was probably a misprint. But as Jordan mentioned, long before it was Flow Way 563 CDD, we did do the modeling in the area. I believe we intended to put Cypress CDD there, and not Flow 564 Way.

- 565
- 566 Chairperson Stamp: Any experience with Troon management company?
- 567

568 Mr. Tilton: The Crew organization, we have worked with them. One of the things we did with Water 569 Management District in the early 2000s was when they were expanding Crew into the area on the east 570 side of Bonita Springs, just north of your community. We had done the initial South Lee County 571 Watershed Plan, and as an outgrowth of that, the Water Management District was acquiring properties, 572 some of which had to go through a property taking and we worked with Crew and South Florida to help 573 them through that legal process of acquiring those parcels. 574

575 Mr. Ward indicated this concluded the presentations; this portion of the hearing should be closed to 576 continue with deliberations.

577

578 Chairperson Stamp asked the engineering companies to leave the call to allow the CDD Board to 579 deliberate.

580

581 Mr. Ron Miller thanked the engineering companies for the presentations.

582

583 II. Board Discussion and Ranking of Engineering Proposals

584

585 Mr. Ron Miller: I have my own ranking. I would ask Jim's advice as he has worked with these people in 586 the past and they were all strangers to me. 587

588 Mr. Ward: Other than Connect Engineering, because I am old these days, I have now worked with all of 589 these firms. Usually the older members of the firms. The firms that I am most familiar with currently are 590 Calvin, Giordano and Hole, Montes. CGA, that firm and I, transitioned what is now the City of Weston, 591 from a CDD to a City. That's my familiarity with them; it goes back more than 20 years. Banks 592 Engineering, Hole, Montes and Johnson, Andy Tilton I have known since he was 20 something years old as Johnson Engineering did work for some of the Districts that I managed at that time. Hole, Montes 593 594 more recently, and obviously Banks to a lesser extent on some of their projects. I hate to provide 595 recommendations to you because it is really your decision. I will work with any of them that you want. I 596 think for you all, I will go out on a limb and tell you I think CGA is a very good firm. Johnson Engineering 597 is a very good firm. They are two of the ones that I particularly think are the better ones. I don't know 598 anything about Connect, so I can't tell you if they are good, bad or indifferent. Their resume is 599 impressive. I can tell you what they submitted is a very impressive resume. Banks and Hole, Montes, are 600 also good firms, but to a lesser extent. Based upon what I know you need for this project I think one of 601 the other firms would suit you better.

602

603 Chairperson Stamp: Down on the Agenda we are going to hire Calvin, Giordano as the field manager. Is 604 there any economy or reason why that should give them a leg up to coordinate?

605

606 Mr. Ward: I don't want to tell you it gives them a leg up. It does provide some significant help to me on 607 an admin business in terms of coordination of the day-to-day activities of an entity. Now, CGA does field 608 management and engineering services for my CDDs. CGA also works with Hole, Montes on one of the 609 CDDs that I have where they are the Asset Manager and Hole, Montes is the District Engineer. I do find it 610 much easier to have one person to call versus 2 or 3, and it also is better coordination within the firm 611 itself if they are doing both sides of the equation. Hole, Montes does not do the asset management or 612 field management side of the work. CGA does. So, the next item on the Agenda, as your Chair had 613 mentioned, is the firm that I recommended the field management or asset management side of that, so 614 there are some symbiotic relationships I get when having them in both seats.

615

617

616 Mr. Kleck: Does the location of their offices matter?

618 Mr. Ward: No. Their field management offices are here on the west coast. They are based in Estero, if 619 my memory serves me. The actual individual here lives in Naples that does all of that. And as Mr. 620 Messick had pointed out to you, I never have a problem with them if I need them on this coast at any 621 time from an engineering perspective. 622

623 Mr. Winters: From my perspective you could pick any one of these firms and they would all do a good 624 job. They are all qualified. The way that they distinguish themselves, in my opinion, and I have based on 625 my questions, a bias in favor of firms who have had past experience with Army Corps of Engineers, and/or establishing reserves, and/or looking for land management agencies. The two that stand out to 626 627 me are CGA and Johnson Engineering, with maybe a slight edge to Calvin, Giordano because 628 (indecipherable) Bruce Bernard, even though he wasn't on the call, was recognized by one of the 629 speakers as having had that past experience in dealing with other land management agencies that might 630 be willing to take over our preserves. For that reason, I guess I would put Calvin, Giordano in a 1 position 631 and Johnson Engineering in the second position. Also, for the reasons that Jim stated, as Calvin, 632 Giordano would give him some efficiency in the way things operate.

633

634 Mr. Ron Miller: I have H&M and Johnson tied at #1, and Banks at #3. By the way. I know I didn't ask 635 questions, but I did go through all five of the presentations and kind of came to the same conclusion that 636 Martinn came to. They all appear to be well qualified and somewhat homogenous and that led me to 637 asking Jim as he had worked with these guys.

639 *Mr. Kleck: My first choice would be H&M, my second choice would be Calvin, and my third choice would be Banks.*

641

638

642 Chairperson Stamp: The way I would do it would be Calvin 1, Hole, Montes 2, and Johnson 3.

643

648 649

650

Discussion continued regarding the ranking of the Engineering Firms. It was decided the ranking would
be as follows: 1. Calvin, Giordano and Associates; 2. Hole, Montes and Associates; 3. Johnson
Engineering.

On MOTION made by Mr. Tom Kleck, seconded by Mr. Zack Stamp, and with all in favor, the engineering firms were ranked as follows: 1. Calvin, Giordano and Associates; 2. Hole, Montes and Associates; 3. Johnson Engineering.

651 652

653 III. Consideration of Form of Agreement

654 655 Mr. Ward: There are two ways to do this. The Statute normally says that I would go back and negotiate 656 with the #1 ranked firm and bring an agreement back to you for the April Meeting. If you would like to 657 circumvent that because these are not things that have never been written before, I did put in your 658 Agenda Package a form of standard agreement that I use, and most other firms use, with engineers and 659 CDDs around the State. If you would like to approve this in substantially the form that's in your package 660 and then allow me, and to the extent that I need Mr. Woods, the opportunity to make any changes to it, 661 you may do that and I can finalize this before the next meeting, which is what I would recommend to 662 you.

663

664 *Mr. Winters: We didn't talk about economics with these proposals. Is there a material difference in the* 665 *numbers between the financial aspect of the cost of these?*

666 667 Mr Ward: N

667 *Mr. Ward: No. These firms all have a standard schedule of fees for whatever their engineers – there is* 668 *probably a list of 20 of them on all of their fee schedules. They are all generally the same.* 669 670 Mr. Winters: I would propose we authorize Jim Ward to negotiate the contract based on what was 671 provided in the Agenda. 672 673 On MOTION made by Mr. Martinn Winters, seconded by Mr. Tom 674 Kleck, and with all in favor, the District Manager was authorized to 675 negotiate a contract based on the Form of Agreement provided in the 676 Agenda. 677 678 679 FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Consideration of Agreement** 680 681 Consideration of Agreement with Calvin Giordano & Associates to provide field management services 682 683 Mr. Ward: In order to transition the District's assets from the homeowner's association to the CDD, this 684 is the form of agreement used to retain that firm in order to do that. If you choose to do that, I would suggest that we do that subject to any further discussions with the homeowner's association which I 685 686 know is in transition between the Board that was formally Taylor Morrison individuals, that are now 687 represented by individuals (indecipherable 1:22:20). It will give you a step up to have that in place in 688 case you decide to do that. 689 690 Mr. Ron Miller: We have talked about this at least a couple of times. Where I am on this, I think we 691 would be best served to continue to continue the OHA/CDD contract at least until we get through the 692 transition which we now hear won't occur until May 31, and perhaps even extending until sometime 693 after that. My thought on this is that this has gone on for many years and to me it's kind of making 694 (indecipherable) election. Once you make the election it's irrevocable and you can't go back on it. I don't see any downside in continuing that for a short period into the future. We don't know whether or not a 695 696 surprise or two may arise in this transition period where the HOA still has the vendor responsibility to do 697 maintenance on everything and their connected to Taylor Morrison who has done all of the past budgets 698 in this regard. So, this is not at the moment a situation of the CDD versus the HOA. This is a bigger 699 picture situation of the best situation for the residents of the community. We are they. I don't see any 700 upside in canceling it now and just letting this play out a while longer. 701 702 Chairperson Stamp: Greg, do you think this has any impact on the lawsuit? 703 704 Mr. Greg Woods: No, I don't think so. We can certainly wait until the homeowners are in charge of the 705 HOA and evaluate it at that time. 706 707 *Mr.* Winters: To me the pro and the con of it is, if the CDD takes control of it we might get better service. 708 The con is that if we take it over, we might be inheriting some of the maintenance that hasn't been done 709 that should be done and shouldn't be our expense but should be Taylor Morrison's expense. 710 711 Mr. Kleck: I agree with that and I think that our attorney should perhaps make that decision for us. 712 713 Mr. Woods: I don't make any decisions. It is your decision, but your attorney would recommend that we 714 wait until the new HOA Board is in place. 715

716

717 718

719 This Item was removed from the Agenda.

720 721

723

725

729

722 SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Consideration of Audited Financial Statements**

724 Consideration of Audited Financial Statements for Fiscal Year 2020, which ended September 30, 2020

We should not make a unilateral decision. We should work together with the HOA Board.

Mr. Ron Miller: At the time we want to move forward on this (indecipherable 1:26:29). We are they.

726 Mr. Ward indicated Mr. Tony Grau with Grau and Associates was present via telephone to review the 727 Audited Financial Statements. He stated these were final Statements that should be accepted for 728 purposes of inclusion in the record only.

- 730 Mr. Tony Grau with Grau and Associates reviewed the Audited Financial Statements Fiscal Year 2020 731 ended September 30, 2020. He reported the audited opinion was on page 1 and 2 and reflected a clean 732 opinion with respect to the financial statements and disclosures of the CDD. He stated management 733 discussion analysis was a recap of the financial activity during the Fiscal Year. He indicated page 4 734 reflected a summarized statement of position which showed the assets and liabilities and net position including capital assets and debt. He indicated page 5 showed the changes in net position and 735 736 comparative amounts between the two years; the change in net position went from a loss of \$190,000 737 dollars to a loss of \$93,000 dollars for Fiscal Year 2020. He reported the government-wide statements 738 were on page 7 and 8. This was the same information as in the management discussion and analysis, 739 just in more detail. He stated page 9 showed the governmental funds, assets (cash and investments), 740 and fund balances, with \$3.2 million dollars in the debt service fund, the capital project fund had \$1.1 741 million dollars and the general fund had approximately \$366,000 dollars. He reported page 11 showed 742 the income statement and showed the special assessments, revenues, expenditures, general fund, and 743 debt service. He stated page 13 began the notes to the financial statements. He indicated the notes 744 were similar to the prior year. He stated there were no new accounting standards which required any 745 major changes to the notes. He indicated on page 19 was the summary of the capital assets; \$23 million 746 dollars in infrastructure, with \$2.8 million dollars in appreciation, and a balance of \$20.4 million dollars. 747 He stated page 19 reflected a description of the different bonds issued throughout the years. He stated 748 page 20 reflected the changes in the debt for the principal paid during the fiscal year. He noted the 749 District owed approximately \$31.5 million dollars as of September 30, 2020. He reported on page 21 the 750 amortization schedule showed how much debt would be paid off per year for the first five years and 751 summarized in five-year increments. He noted there was a disclosure on the litigation. He stated on 752 page 22 was the budget to actual. He indicated the remainder of the report contained the various 753 reports required under government auditing standards. He noted there were no findings and clean 754 opinions. He asked if there were any questions.
- 755
- 756

758

Mr. Winters: Your footnote on litigation says that the nonwasting escrow fund cannot be determined at 757 this time. Should we be booking a contingent liability for that nonwasting escrow fund?

759 Mr. Grau: No. The way it works with a contingency is, it's recorded, and this is subjective because 760 obviously if we knew exactly what it was, and if it was a liability it would be recorded, so there are two 761 criteria. 1) It is probable that the event has occurred and 2) it could be reasonably estimated what the 762 amount is. At this point it is not probable and to estimate the amount, it is too broad right now to 763 accurately estimate it, but the first criteria basically, as long as the land is not turned over, then you 764 don't have to establish the escrow. At this point it hasn't been determined whether that will happen or 765 not. The other thing that would have to be negotiated; you would have to come up with the amount. 766 Let's say that in a year from now it actually does happen, and we negotiate, and we know the amount 767 even after the year is over, then we would book it. Does that help? Can you hear me? 768 769 There were technical difficulties with no audio for approximately 1 minute. 770 771 Mr. Winters: But if we were to donate/transfer the land to a proper land management agency there 772 would be an escrow fund required for them to accept the transfer. 773 774 Chairperson Stamp: Unless they would take it without one. 775 776 *Mr.* Ron Miller: In my view there is a liability hanging out there to maintain the preserves in perpetuity 777 subject to any change that comes out of litigation. The CDD will be hammered with that in the future. 778 Even if there is I think there will be significant damages, those damages would come over to the CDD to 779 fund that liability, but the liability is real. It is more of a situation due to accounting rules that require 780 you to recognize that liability and put it on the books or put it in the financial statements as a foot note 781 or do nothing. What I'm hearing is because it is still vague enough that there is no reason to do anything 782 at this time, but that may change by the time we get to this point next year. The only solution to that 783 long term, should we not get the damages we seek that are justifiable, is that this Board would then 784 have to face the reality of do we start assessing the residents for something we think is not a CDD 785 responsibility. That's kind of a touchy point there. 786 787 Chairman Stamp: We are already doing that. We are assessing them for something that we think is not 788 a CDD responsibility. 789 790 Mr. Ron Miller: But we are contemplating discontinuing. 791 792 Chairman Stamp: I just don't see where it is any different than any government body that knows it going 793 to have to maintain – Naples is going to have to maintain the streets out there forever. They have the 794 ability to tax, so they don't have to work with the liability of maintaining the streets in perpetuity and put 795 that on their books as a debt. 796 797 *Mr.* Ron Miller: But you are jumping to the conclusion that the Board would approve a motion to tax the 798 residents in the future for something that some Board Members might not approve of. 799 800 Discussion ensued regarding how maintaining the preserves compared to city street maintenance, and liability versus non liability. (Some of this conversation was indecipherable.) 801 802 803 Mr. Winters: I think Supervisor Miller's question is, what are the accounting rules regarding booking a 804 potential liability, and I hear that it is too vague to figure out what the mechanics are of estimating the 805 amount, and I actually think the mechanics of estimating the amount are fairly straightforward. It's the 806 annual cost divided by investment rate of return, which the Army Corps of Engineers has historically 807 always used 2%. So, that's the denominator and the numerator is our annual costs, so we could in fact

recognize a number, even if it is a range of numbers. I guess what I'm hearing is that the only reason not
to do it is that the eventuality of this is too vague from an accounting standpoint to recognize it as yet. Is

- 810 that correct?
- 811

812 Mr. Grau: Yes. At this point, without the event occurring that would trigger that, the liability has not 813 been incurred. You don't record a liability until it is incurred. The trigger is when the land is transferred. 814 815 *Mr.* Ron Miller: It already has happened. The land has been transferred. 816 817 Mr. Ward: Away from us. 818 819 Mr. Grau: So, if you are just maintaining it like you maintain the common area, that's something you do 820 every year, then the expenditure is recorded every year because it has not been incurred yet. That's just 821 the way it works. With this situation, there are two variables. The event has not occurred yet that would 822 trigger it and to determine the amount you have to come up with the annual maintenance cost that has 823 to be agreed upon and the discount rate. Now, you said that they used 2%. From reading the permit it 824 did not say 2%, it just said that it had to be determined. If it is 2% then it is an enormous amount of 825 money compared to – it is all a lot of money, but the lower the discount rate, then the higher the amount. 826 827 Mr. Winters: That's just in a conversation with Army Corps of Engineers. That's what I was told by one 828 of their representatives. They default 2% because nobody can really agree on what the rate is and the 829 rate fluctuates. Right now, the rate would be 0.5% or 1%, so the Corps defaults to 2%. 830 831 Mr. Grau: Yeah, but I think that could be negotiated or argued, because if you are funding something 832 long term, like a pension plan, then you are looking more at like the 6% to 7%. But again, it depends on 833 what gets agreed to because it didn't say in there what it is. 834 Mr. Ron Miller: I would quickly comment that 6% or 7% is not even possible because I have taken a look 835 836 at it, and we are restricted basically to treasury notes. 837 838 Mr. Grau: It is not what you could invest it in, it is what they could invest it in. 839 840 Mr. Ward: Ron, I think your analogy is fine. They are basically the same at this point. They act in similar 841 fashion that we do, so their investments may be a little bigger, but basically the rates are the same. 842 843 Mr. Ron Miller: Nevertheless, Florida government agencies are restricted by Statute as to what they can 844 invest in, and that's basically treasury notes. I think you can probably the CDD's or money market funds, 845 but basically treasury security. 846 847 Chairperson Stamp: If we were transferring it to somebody else, they might not be under those 848 restrictions. 849 850 Mr. Ward: Correct. 851 852 Mr. Ron Miller: If our accountant is opining that we need neither a booking liability, nor even a footnote, 853 if those are the accounting rules, I am good with that. I simply wanted to make sure that our accountant 854 was aware of our situation, so there would not be an oversight of this. 855 856 Mr. Grau: We based the disclosure from what the attorneys had in their letters and updates. There was 857 no amount provided, so that's what's disclosed, because it is unknown. 858

859 Mr. Ward: If you are fine with that, I will ask for a motion to accept them for inclusion in the record. I 860 will finalize them and then file them with the appropriate regulatory agencies. 861 On MOTION made by Mr. Tom Kleck, seconded by Mr. Martinn 862 863 Winters, and all in favor, the Audited Financial Statements for Fiscal Year 2020, ended September 30, 2020 were accepted for inclusion in 864 the record. 865 866 867 868 SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Staff Reports** 869 870 Staff Reports 871 872 I. District Attorney – Woods, Weidenmiller, Michetti, & Rudnick 873 874 No report. 875 876 **II. District Engineer** 877 878 No report. 879 880 III. District Manager – JPWard & Associates, LLC 881 882 a) Financial Statements ending January 31, 2021 (Unaudited) b) Financial Statements ending February 28, 2021 (Unaudited) 883 884 885 No report. 886 887 **EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** 888 **Agreement with MHOA and District** 889 Agreement with Master Homeowner's Association and District (Continuing Item until Final Decision) 890 891 892 This Item was continued. 893 894 895 NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **Discussion of Preserve Funding** 896 897 Discussion of Future Funding of Preserve Mitigation and Maintenance (Continuing Item until Final 898 Decision) 899 900 This Item was continued. 901 902 903 **TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** Staff Items 904

905 I. District Attorney

906

907 a. Complaint regarding Ownership and Maintenance responsibilities for the Main Preserve located 908 within the boundaries of Flow Way CDD 909 b. Developer relocation of Resident Walkway (companion item to 10 (II) (b)) 910 911 Mr. Woods: The complaint has been filed. Taylor Morrison has filed a motion to dismiss. Fairly 912 standard procedure. He discussed the process and the technical requirements involved. Our 913 general position remains the same; it is just a matter of meeting requirements. 914 915 Chairperson Stamp: What do we think their chances are? 916 917 Mr. Woods: None. But the motion to dismiss could be granted with leave to -a judge would not 918 dismiss it in the first or second motion typically. It is cleaning up the pleadings, so to speak. The 919 judge could grant the motion, but it would be a lot of – meaning the judge says "yeah, I think they 920 are right on that technical point." 921 922 Chairperson Stamp: Can you bring us up to date on Tim Hall and the HOA? 923 924 Mr. Woods: I spoke with Tim Hall's counsel and he will be making an appearance shortly in the 925 lawsuit. Issurance issues with coverage or something like that. Tim Hall is in the lawsuit and they 926 will probably file a motion to dismiss too as that is standard procedure. I would expect that 927 shortly. The HOA, I spoke with Taylor Morrison's counsel and they had asked for an extension 928 regarding the HOA, till the new HOA Board got involved. I agreed to that extension to whatever 929 date the HOA needs; subsequently, Taylor Morrison decided to retain an attorney for the HOA. An 930 attorney up in Tampa by the name Neil Sivier (ph), he has filed a motion to dismiss the HOA. I have 931 no idea why they did that. I would be surprised if they could even get a hearing on the motion to 932 dismiss. If they got a hearing on the motion to dismiss heard, by the time we had the leave to 933 amend the HOA would be turned over and so they are jumping through – 934 935 Mr. Ron Miller: Why are they doing that? 936 937 Mr. Woods: I have had general discussions with the HOA attorney. Just keeping each other 938 informed of issues. 939 940 Chairperson Stamp: Just so everyone knows, Greg, I and (indecipherable) and Dave Boguslawski have had some joint calls as well. (Indecipherable 1:49:30.) I call it the trespass letter. 941 942 943 Mr. Woods: The trespass letter went out. I received a response just a little while ago which I will 944 forward to Jim. The long and the short of it is, their response generally doesn't follow Florida law, 945 but it essentially says the developer had an internal of the HOA, in the Declarations, they have the 946 ability to move things and that sort of things, but it really does not address the fact that the 947 property is now the CDD's property and not the HOA's. That would be a significant flaw in their 948 logic. I will share that with you, and we will draft a stern response. 949 950 Chairperson Stamp: I was hoping that Drew or Mr. Cook were here because if you look in the

950 Chairperson Stamp: I was hoping that Drew or Mr. Cook were here because if you look in the 951 packet. I had Jim contact him about the bridge, the bridge is probably a legitimate thing they had 952 to do and ask them what are you doing, and why are you doing it. The response we got back 953 indicated they really didn't go into the preserves; they went into the buffer of the preserves. I
954 looked at the maps and I didn't find any buffer. Did they retain an easement over a buffer?

Mr. Woods: If they needed to do this to comply with South Florida Water Management permitting then whatever. The proper procedure would still be to contact Jim Ward, give him the plans, let him know what you are anticipating, give us proof of insurance, all the standard things you do for your neighbor to comply with things. They did nothing. They just said, here we go, here's what we're doing. That in my mind is a trespass. That's kind of where we are at on that issue.

962 Mr. Winters: I have asked Forge Engineering to look at the bridge to see if it creates any – we've 963 already got a maintenance problem with weeds and grass and everything growing in the pond. Does this make our maintenance obligations worse or more expensive that we now have a bridge 964 965 to maintain? We weren't given any drawing or engineering plan of this thing to review. No 966 approvals were sought. I just think for some reason we haven't gotten their attention yet. I want 967 to resurrect this issue. I would ask your opinion, when they voted to accept these preserves, should the Taylor Morrison employees have recused themselves from the vote based on the Ethics Law 968 969 that they should not take a vote that benefits their employer financially?

Mr. Woods: The statement you just said is true. The question is whether the facts – when did they
have knowledge that the bridge had to be done. We don't know all the facts. If South Florida
Water Management District came in after the fact and said hey, we've got to have (indecipherable
1:53:15) then when the Board Members voted they were not aware of that. That we do not know
at this point.

977Mr. Winters: There is no direct correlation between the two events. They also, the Taylor978Morrison employees took a vote in favor of amending the permit with South Florida Water979Management District, which I feel they should have recused themselves from. And I'm wondering980whether we should notify the Army Corps of Engineers that they've made this modification to the981preserves without involving them as far as a review. This is another example of them acting with982impunity and it doesn't seem like we have yet gotten their attention, that they need to act like they983are at least a partner in this process while we go through it and get it all figured out.

- 985 Mr. Woods: I think contacting the Army Corps is a good idea (indecipherable 1:54:15).
- 987 Chairperson Stamp: Did you check on the county for permits?

989 Mr. Woods: (Indecipherable.) Our real estate people (indecipherable), but yeah, we are checking 990 to make sure we pull the proper county permits. The problem is the legal process takes a long time 991 to get where you want to go. Once you are there you will be happy with the results, but there is 992 not a lot you can do instantaneously.

Mr. Winters: I understand. I know where Supervisor Miller stands on the Ethics complaint. I am starting to get more in favor of it. I have not heard Supervisor Kleck state an opinion.

997Mr. Kleck: I guess, if it's going to get us further down the road, and it's going to get us some998satisfaction, I say yes. If it's not going to gain us anything, I think your recommendations were that999-

1000

955

961

970

976

984

986

988

993 994

995

996

1001 Mr. Woods: It is an independent action. It does not affect what Taylor Morrison is doing or not 1002 doing. 1003 1004 Mr. Kleck: It's not going to make a difference in our final – 1005 1006 Mr. Woods: At the end of the day, if the complaint were successful, you would end up with a fine 1007 against the individual Board Member of \$2,500 dollars. It could be more, but it's not typically a 1008 huge fine. It's actually a very lengthy process. 1009 1010 Mr. Kleck: Slap on the hand. 1011 Mr. Woods: Generally speaking, that's what I've seen. 1012 1013 1014 Mr. Ron Miller: So, there have been some successful complaints? 1015 1016 Mr. Woods: I have been involved in a complaint that was successful against someone which the 1017 end result was a reduced fine of \$800 dollars and he agreed not to run for public office in the 1018 future. That was the extent of the year long process. Just by way of example. You never know 1019 what's going to happen, but that's an example. 1020 1021 Mr. Winters: Well, do you feel like the process – it looks to me like this golf cart trail has nowhere 1022 to go. In order for them to move the golf cart trail, they have to enlarge the pond or shrink the 1023 pond by creating more bank area because they are now in the buffer zone of the pond. So, do you 1024 anticipate that their solution is going to be to come up with an Engineer's report to modify the 1025 pond in order to allow the gold cart trail to be moved? 1026 1027 Mr. Woods: To my estimation, I would not rely on Taylor Morrison to figure that out. I believe that 1028 we need to undertake and have an Engineer take a look and see what kind of problems have been 1029 created and some solutions. 1030 1031 Mr. Winters: And does the Army Corps of Engineers have to weigh in on what happens to a pond 1032 that is being modified without their involvement and approval? Do we put a stop work order in 1033 place, so we get them to the table to make sure they do this properly, or do we just allow them to 1034 continue doing what they are doing and wait for it to be done before we make --? What would you 1035 recommend? 1036 1037 Mr. Woods: In some respects, it is a business decision to be honest kind of would like a fully built 1038 nice pathway, you leave it in limbo and (indecipherable 1:59:00). 1039 1040 Mr. Ward: I will weigh in on that question. Those lakes were financed with bond funds. This 1041 District has to aggressively pursue anybody who tries to modify that water management system in 1042 any way, shape or form. So, if Taylor Morrison or anybody, or the homeowner's association, wants 1043 to go fill in a part of a lake, that has to be aggressively pursued up front. 1044 1045 Mr. Winters: How do you know until they do it? That's the problem. 1046

1047Mr. Ward: Right. If Zack sees it on Sunday and he calls me, then I'm going to say call Greg1048because we need to get started on some way to stop that work from moving forward. That's a1049major breach in our fiduciary responsibility if we do not pursue that.

Mr. Winters: That puts us in a position to be vigilant about everything that they do because they are not coming to the table proactively to cooperate with us in any way, shape or form.

1054 Chairperson Stamp: The HOA elect Board sent a note, I haven't seen it yet, saying you told us you 1055 were going to give us all this information and you have given us nothing, so I think after this 1056 meeting we should sit down with the HOA Elect Board and decide, and I was going to have Greg 1057 walk us through what our options were.

- *Mr. Woods: In terms of the bridge and the pathway.*
- *Chairperson Stamp: The pathway more than the bridge.*

Mr. Ron Miller: That's what's going through my mind: where do we go from here, what are the consequences.

Mr. Woods: You can do the stop work and attempt to get the County out there to take action. We would have to prove that it's our property, but I think that's probably easy to prove. You could get the County to stop work and that leaves it in limbo which perhaps we want because at that point maybe we could negotiate.

Mr. Winters: Maybe that's a way to get their attention. They just seem to be oblivious to the fact that they have to work with other parties on this at all.

1074Mr. Woods: (Indecipherable 2:01:24) halfway done as it is, but you've got this corner of a building1075in the cart pass a foot away from it. It's a hazard, so I can't imagine they would just leave it the1076way it is.

1078Mr. Ron Miller: What I'm thinking of is the consequences. Are we in danger of shooting ourselves1079in the foot here because they've got somebody telling them that they must do this with no1080alternative, and now they are hearing from us that they can't do this? Where does that go?

1082Mr. Woods: If we shut them down, they are going to have to negotiate with us, getting out1083permission to do it. Which is what they should have done from the start. That's the real problem.1084If they had come to us and had a reasonable explanation, provided a reasonable plan, reasonable1085protections, we might have said fine, go ahead and do it. But that's not what they did. They just1086decided they were not going to deal with the CDD and just do what they wanted to do. That's the1087problem. So, if you guys want to try and get a stop work order, you are going to get their1088attention.

1090Mr. Ron Miller: They've taken the position to go forward, and if they get caught ask for1091forgiveness. If we don't forgive them and we shut them down, then we've got a couple of places in1092our community where the trail just stops and comes to a dead end.

1094Mr. Kleck: Then the golfers can't go through unless they go on the gravel. From a litigation1095standpoint is it better to stop them doing what they are doing and force them to the table or to let1096them go as one more example of bad behavior?

1098Mr. Woods: I like it in terms of the overall lawsuit because factually it just shows a developer run1099amuck. They don't care. They are going to do what they are going to do and put everything else1100on everyone else. So, from a lawsuit perspective – Just let them keep being idiots.

1102Mr. Kleck: I agree with that. I think they have shown their colors too many times to our1103organization, to our neighborhood, and I think they are getting themselves deeper and deeper.

1105 *Mr. Winters: But to your point, you can't just let them go in there and change the pond, right?*

1107 Mr. Ward: Let's separate the two issues. The boardwalk is something that sits on top of our water 1108 management system. When I saw that, Zack and I talked about it, and it was – okay, we 1109 understand it needs to get done. It clearly was done absolutely wrong, but it's got to be fixed at 1110 some point. The cart path however, moving it into that lake bank is substantively more dangerous 1111 for residents. My point was if Taylor Morrison tries to go in there, or anybody tries to go in there, 1112 and fill that lake in, because that's where that cart path is now, that's where we have to say the 1113 rubber meets the road and we have to stop them from doing that because that impacts a system 1114 that was paid for from bond funds and we have a responsibility to maintain the integrity of that system and not let anybody make those kinds of modifications. 1115

- 1117 *Mr. Winters: Maybe that's what your next letter needs to say. If you intend to do anything, we are* 1118 going to put in a stop work order.
- 1120 Mr. Ron Miller: Taking Jim's comments, could Taylor Morrison solve the safety issue by building a 1121 very significant boardwalk around there? (Indecipherable 2:05:43.)
- 1123 *Mr. Winters: Could you say something like insofar this is clearly CDD property, and we have an* 1124 *obligation based on the bonds, our obligation to maintain the waterways, we insist that you* 1125 *involve us in the planning process?*
- 1127Mr. Woods: I think that's a good letter because if you say "we insist" we are not doing it on the1128back of an invitation. If Taylor Morrison then ignores us, we can at least proceed with seeking a1129stop work order, and factually for the lawsuit this proves –
- 1131Chairperson Stamp: We also have the third issue which is those stakes, and we have no idea what1132they are; up the east side of the property. There are about 20 stakes.
- 1134 *Mr. Winters: Yeah, because the whole boardwalk started out as a series of stakes, so it indicates* 1135 *that something is going to happen.*
- 1137Chairperson Stamp: Are they going to move the fence? Move the sidewalk? So, is the consensus1138here that we are going to proceed with another letter and then we are going to go for a stop work1139order? (indecipherable 2:07:12) contact the Corps.

1140

1097

1101

1104

1106

1116

1119

1122

1126

1130

1133

1136

1141	Mr. Ward: Greg, for your information, the lake is going to be permitted through South Florida
1142	Water Management District. I doubt it is Corps, but I would probably start with the Corps, Collier
1143	County permitting, to at least put them on notice that we have a problem.
1144	
1145	Mr. Woods: And also, South Florida Water Management District?
1146	
1147	Mr. Ward: South Florida Water Management District, yes, sir.
1148	
1149	II. District Manager
1150	J. J
1151	a. Audit of Preserves Expenditures (Grau and Associates)
1152	b. Developer relocation of Resident Walkway
1153	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1154	Chairperson Stamp asked about the expert on lakes and littorals who was hired.
1155	
1156	Mr. Winters: I haven't gotten any feedback other than they are knee deep in the process and they
1157	have looked at the trail. We haven't even talked about the nature trail that goes around.
1158	have looked at the trail. We haven t even taked about the hatare trail that goes abound.
1159	Mr. Ward: They have pretty much been issued their field work with respect to all of that with
1160	exception of one notable item, and that is the cameras that need to go into the pipework systems
1161	for which they will need to work with me because I will need to get a vendor on board to do that.
1162	The next phase is to start the drafting of the report. They didn't give a time schedule, but I suspect
1163	this is at least another two more months out before we even see a draft of a report and at least a
1164	month or six weeks from when they decide who they want to use to do the inspection work. I am
1165	guessing it will be June before you see this in front of the entire Board, the full report.
1166	guessing it will be sure before you see this in front of the entire bourd, the full report.
1167	Mr. Ron Miller: Do we have any knowledge as to the condition of the littorals on the lake banks?
1168	Are they as they should be? Are they overgrown? Are they not enough? Are they the right
1169	littorals?
1170	
1171	Mr. Kleck: I have been reviewing the littoral situation and the lake banks and what I see is, what
1172	my experience tells me, there are some littorals on that lake bank. Very few and very slim. I don't
1172	see, and have not noticed, that Taylor Morrison has added any more littorals to the lake banks
1173	since I've been there. The growth of the wild grasses and the lily pads, in both the flow way, which
1174	is the canal so to speak. If you get out and look at the canal along Immokalee, it's clear. It's
1175	perfectly clear, but the canal coming down on the west side of our property is full of lily pads and
1170	swamp grasses, as are the ponds. I just think that they've ignored our lakes and our ponds
1177	completely.
1178	completely.
1179	Mr. Ron Miller: I assume you are referring to the swamp grasses. They seem to have a limit based
1180	upon the depth of the water. They cannot go in 10 or 12 feet of water.
1181	upon the depth of the water. They cannot go in 10 or 12 feet of water.
	Mr. Klask, They are quartaking the pende cignificantly
1183	Mr. Kleck: They are overtaking the ponds significantly.
1184 1185	Mr. Don Millory. But are those sugar areas consider anne wiste litterals for a need
1185	Mr. Ron Miller: But are those swamp grasses consider appropriate littorals for a pond.
1186	Mr. Klock, As for as the concerned and what the dealt with in the most them are not
1187	Mr. Kleck: As far as I'm concerned, and what I've dealt with in the past, they are not supposed to
1188	be there.

1190 Mr. Ron Miller: How did they get there?

1189

1191

1200

1202

1205

1208

1209

1211

1213

1215

1217

1219

1221

1225

1228

1192 Mr. Kleck: Like every wild weed, if they are not cut back and trimmed on a regular basis, and I 1193 have seen no boats of any kind in our ponds or lakes that Taylor Morrison would put in there in the 1194 16 years I've been there. Those years that I've been in that neighborhood I have not see them do 1195 anything to the waters of that community. Unlike other communities you will see down on 1196 Immokalee. That canal that runs parallel with Immokalee is crystal clear. We need to push them 1197 hard on that because I don't want to be in a situation where it's out of control to the point where they walk away from it and we are stuck with it. As I see it right now, they have not done 1198 1199 anything.

1201 Chairperson Stamp: Let's stay on that.

1203 Mr. Winters: I gather the engineer's report will address it. And when we take over the 1204 maintenance of it, we can --?

Mr. Ward: Once we take over the maintenance, you are absolutely right, we can correct it, but 1206 1207 before – the expert report will cover what's wrong with it.

- Mr. Kleck: And how do we make sure that Taylor Morrison is going to fix it before they give it to 1210 us?
- 1212 Mr. Ward: That's his job.
- 1214 Mr. Kleck: When's that expert report supposed to be done?
- Mr. Ward: I suspect probably your June Meeting you will have the report. 1216
- Mr. Kleck: When is the turnover? 1218
- 1220 Discussion ensued regarding the turnover date being March 8, but officially May 31.

1222 *Mr.* Ward: The audit of the preserve expenditures that we had talked about some time ago. Tony 1223 Grau is still with us today after 2 hours. I applaud him for those efforts today. Tony I will ask you 1224 go ahead and do your review of the preserve audit that you did.

1226 Mr. Grau: If you could hold on a second, I will have David come in as he is the one who did the 1227 work.

1229 Mr. David Caplivski: I am an audit partner here at Grau and Associates and we were engaged to 1230 perform an agreed upon procedures engagement. Basically, we received two schedules from 1231 District Management that represented the cost of the District from October 1, 2013 to September 30, 2020. There were 750 items on those schedules that represented \$30.4 million dollars. The 1232 1233 procedure we performed is we inspected the invoices and requisitions that were represented on 1234 those schedules for the word preserve. Appendix A, on page 4 of the report, shows the 44 invoices 1235 we found that have the word preserve. Appendix B, on page 5, shows the one requisition we found 1236 that had the word preserve. Appendix C, starting on page 6 and through the end of the report, is

1239

1250

1258

1268

1270

1272

1274

1278

1237all of the pages from those invoices and requisitions that have the word preserve. It was a pretty1238straightforward engagement, and I am available for any questions or comments.

1240 Mr. Winters: I took your sheets and numbers and looked at every page and reconstructed this in a 1241 spread sheet so that it summarizes the totals by year and what is shows is that there were 1242 \$258,636 dollars spent in 2015. There was nothing for 2016 or 2017. In 2018 there was \$5,400 1243 dollars spent for maintenance, \$11,500 dollars for legal, \$1,100 other for a total of \$18,000 1244 dollars. In 2019 the amounts spent were \$244,000 dollars for maintenance, \$13,630 for legal, \$1,500 for other, for a total of \$259,540 dollars in 2019 and in 2020 there was \$205,000 for 1245 1246 maintenance, \$3,000 for legal, for a total of \$208,975 dollars in 2020, which stops in September, 1247 so it does not capture through the calendar year. It stops in the fiscal year. The grand total is \$714,920 dollars. I was the one who requested this retroactive account so we would have a 1248 number to include in our claim, and that's what the result was. 1249

Mr. Ron Miller: I had a question on the work that was done. I'm sorry I didn't look at this earlier, I just missed it. But using the label of preserve and looking at the expenses, is that too narrow of a search or are there other expenses, invoices or whatever, incurred that don't have that word present in the invoice. That would seem to be maybe a likely scenario. When Tim Hall gives us his bills, just using him as an example, would his invoice say preserve work? Or would it say general billing for services performed? Have we missed a huge amount of money in expenses by narrowing it to the word label?

1259 Mr. Ward: The list of the vendors that Grau has on page 1 of their report includes all of the vendors that have been paid by this CDD from October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2020. This 1260 1261 is every vendor in the system. Honestly, I can look at these vendors and tell you whether or not, 1262 just by eyeing them, whether they have done any work in or for this District that are related to the 1263 internal preserves or the external preserves. For example, because I know all these vendors, I was able to point Grau to all of the vendors who I knew were in this District related to any type of 1264 1265 preserve work and they all have the word preserve in all of their invoices. He further explained 1266 how the vendors were or were not related to the preserves. He noted he was able to look at this audit and point Grau in the right direction related to the vendors who worked on the preserves. 1267

- 1269 *Mr. Kleck: You were here during the entire duration?*
- 1271 Mr. Ward: Yes, I was.
- 1273 Mr. Kleck: Is that number small that he gave you?

1275Mr. Ward: No. That's the right number; \$750,000 to \$760,000 dollars is the correct number. It1276grows. This year we will have more expenditures to add to that number now that we are starting1277up the maintenance on the external preserve again. We replaced Tim Hall.

1279 Mr. Ron Miller: When we go back to the 2015 amount of \$258,000 dollars. That has an April 28 1280 date. I am trying to find this because I have seen it once, but my recollection is that the wood stork 1281 area was conveyed over sometime in 2015. It was somehow connected to a bond issue and some 1282 bond funds. In respect to the payment of (Indecipherable 2:23:12.) were we actually paying for 1283 expenses or reimbursing Taylor Morrison for expenses incurred prior to the actual conveyance of 1284 the property to the CDD? That being an important feature. (Indecipherable 2:23:46.)

1285		
1286	Mr. Ward: Mr. Grau and David, we thank y	ou for joining us today.
1287		
1288	Mr. Grau and Mr. Caplivski left the meeting	
1289		
1290		
1291	ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS B	Board Items
1292		
1293	There were no Board Items.	
1294		
1295		
1296	TWELVTH ORDER OF BUSINESS	Audience Comments
1297		
1298	Chairperson Stamp asked if there were any audie	nce comments; there were no audience comments.
1299		
1300	Mr. Ron Miller: I just want to share some informe	ation with the Board. Over the past number of weeks, I
1301	have had some curiosity. What Taylor Morrison	has done through this situation, alleging that they are
1302	still in charge of the HOA Board, which is not our l	business as the CDD, but why would they allege that? It
1303	seems to me they are doing this for a reason. W	hat might be in the background? I am curious with the
1304	Hatcher Property, that they are needing to do so	ome things. So, I think I know some things, but it may
1305	just not be so. Keep that in mind. I tried to do	a little homework, and what I think is the case, Taylor
1306	Morrison with respect to the Hatcher property, the	hey have sold no lots and that's a fact. Why they have
1307	sold no lots is a good question knowing there an	e people standing in line. Probably they can't. I spent
1308	lengthy time on the phone with people in Collier C	County Planning, Diane Lynn, who gave me two hours of
1309	her time. She tells me that Taylor Morrison have	e yet to come to Collier County with construction plans.
1310	The project has been approved, it has been for so	me time, but no construction plans, and so it hasn't yet
1311	entered that stage. It kind of makes me think	that maybe they are going to need to do something
1312	between now and May 31 to get to this thing. Ar	nd then, I walk the dog past that thing all the time and I
1313	see the mut pit. I have gotten into the details of	what was the approved project in back and forth with
1314	Collier County. Picture on the north end of that p	roperty. There was an existing lake. Small, but existing,
1315	and that has now been expanded threefold or fou	rfold to the south. The original lake has an elevation of
1316	12.2 feet. I asked her if she thinks that the pond	was that deep and then the extension of that, this says
1317	proposed lake expansion and it has more elevati	ion. It does have a legend on it that shows littorals as
1318	opposed to (<mark>indecipherable</mark>). When you dive dow	wn deeper, down through the engineering on that, the
1319	Waldrop Engineering Report, shows all sections o	f that expansion with basically the same elevation of 12
1320	feet deep. Clearly there is not that much. Cle	arly, they have not dug down. They have raised the
1321	property behind it, which makes it appear higher	. It makes me wonder if Taylor Morrison is going to go
1322	back to Collier County and ask that (<mark>indeciphere</mark>	able). Basically, they have completed that project, to
1323	come in now it would be a mess. Anyway, it see	ms to me, what we see is what we get. It seems to me
1324	that they are going to put that on the HOA. The	y can't put it on us without our permission, so they are
1325	going to put it on the HOA. Maybe in order to pul	I that off they need to retain control of the HOA. I think
1326	as a community we need to be aware that someth	hing is going on. The CDD can insulate itself somewhat,
1327	but can the HOA? I just don't see a good ending.	If the HOA were to ensure its legality (indecipherable).
1328		but I just think there is something going on there, that
1329	even though we may not be able to insulate ourse	lves from it, but we need to have an awareness.
1330		
1331	Mr. Winters: I've got pictures of them planting in	that area. You can see it and stop and look at it. Some

1332 kind of plant. They clearly are planting there.

1333		
1334	Discussion ensued regarding the lake havin	g naturally dried up, the lake refilling in the spring and
1335		nter, and residents who purchased lots during the summer
1336	being disappointed in the winter.	····· / ···· · ·······················
1337		
1338	Mr. Winters: Laaree with Ron. The reason	they have delayed turnover of the HOA is so they can get
1339	5	mewhere on the Board in Taylor Morrison's office, the
1340	milestones they have to reach before they allo	
1341	innestones they have to reach before they are	
1342	Mr. Kleck: They want to have control as long	as they can
1343	Will Ricek. They want to have control as long	us they cun.
1344	Mr. Winters: They don't want to deal with a r	resident controlled HOA
1345	win winters. They don't want to deal with a r	esident controlled mon.
1345	Mr. Ron Miller recommended bringing this up	a to the HOA Reard Floct
1340		to the HOA Board Elect.
1347	Mr. Woods: I have recommended to them th	at they have Urbancic at least send Taylor Morrison a letter
1349		nd May 31, we are not going to accept responsibility for if it
1350	is detrimental to us. We should be in control	and we are not –
1351	Mr. Dan Millow Issould take that a stan furth	an and have the beauditaly (indexister while 2,25,00)
1352	wir. Ron Willier: Twould take that a step furth	er and have the board take (<mark>indecipherable 2:35:09</mark>).
1353		
1354		
1355	THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS	Announcement of Next Meeting
1356		
1357	April 15, 2021	
1358		
1359	Chairperson Stamp: Our next meeting will be	April 15, 2021.
1360		
1361		
1362	FOURTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS	Adjournment
1363		
1364	Chairperson Stamp adjourned the meeting at	approximately 3:40 p.m.
1365		
1366	On MOTION made by Mr.	Tom Kleck, seconded by Mr. Martinn
1367	Winters, and with all in favor	r, the Meeting was adjourned.
1368		
1369		Flow Way Community Development District
1370		
1371		
1372		
1373		
1374	James P. Ward, Secretary	Zack Stamp, Chairperson
	-	

FLOW WAY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT



FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - MARCH 2021

FISCAL YEAR 2021

PREPARED BY:

JPWARD & ASSOCIATES, LLC, 2301 NORTHEAST 37TH STREET, FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33308 T: 954-658-4900 E: JimWard@JPWardAssociates.com

Flow Way Community Development District

Table of Contents

	Page
Balance Sheet—All Funds	1-2
Statement of Revenue, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	
General Fund	3-6
Debt Service Fund	
Series 2013 Bonds	7
Series 2015 Bonds (Phase 3)	8
Series 2015 Bonds (Phase 4)	9
Series 2016 Bonds (Phase 5)	10
Series 2017 Bonds (Phase 6)	11
Series 2019 Bonds (Phase 7, Phase 8, Hatcher)	12
Capital Project Fund	

Series 2016 Bonds (Phase 5)	13
Series 2017 Bonds (Phase 6)	14
Series 2019 Bonds (Phase 7, Phase 8, Hatcher)	15

JPWard & Associates, LLC 2301 Northeast 37th Street Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308

Flowway Community Develoment District Balance Sheet for the Period Ending March 31, 2021

	Governr	mental Fu	nds										
					Debt Serv	ice Funds				Capital Projects Fu	inds	Account Groups	_
	Genera	al Fund	Series 2013	Series 2015 (Phase 3)	Series 2015 (Phase 4)	Series 2016 (Phase 5)	Series 2017 (Phase 6)	Series 2019 (Phase 7 8 Hatcher)	Series 2016 (Phase 5)	Series 2017 (Phase 6)	Series 2019 (Phase 7 8 Hatcher)	General Long Term Debt	Totals (Memorandum Only)
Assets													
Cash and Investments													
General Fund - Invested Cash	\$ 7	797,681	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 797,681
Debt Service Fund													
Interest Account		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sinking Account		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reserve Account		-	539,000	246,188	161,930	174,589	118,375	257,378	-	-	-	-	1,497,459
Revenue		-	620,280	332,135	235,621	372,828	241,521	558,484	-	-	-	-	2,360,869
Prepayment Account		-	-	0	-	-	-	1,069,048	-	-	-	-	1,069,048
General Redemption Account		-	-	-	2,471	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,471
Construction		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19,948	12,974	33,317	-	66,240
Cost of Issuance		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retainage Account		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Due from Other Funds													
General Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Service Fund(s)			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund(s)				-	-	-	-	-					-
Market Valuation Adjustments		-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-
Accrued Interest Receivable		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Assessments Receivable/Deposits		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amount Available in Debt Service Fund	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,569,952	4,569,952
Amount to be Provided by Debt Service	Funds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26,165,048	26,165,048
Investment in General Fixed Assets (net depreciation)	of	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	· _
• •	tal Assets \$ 7	797,681	\$ 1,159,280	\$ 578,323	\$ 400,022	\$ 547,417	\$ 359,896	\$ 1,884,910	\$ 19,948	\$ 12,974	\$ 33,317	\$ 30,735,000	\$ 36,528,769

Flowway Community Develoment District Balance Sheet for the Period Ending March 31, 2021

		ınds										
				Debt Serv	ice Funds				Capital Projects Fu	unds	Account Groups	
	General Fund	Series 2013	Series 2015 (Phase 3)	Series 2015 (Phase 4)	Series 2016 (Phase 5)	Series 2017 (Phase 6)	Series 2019 (Phase 7 8 Hatcher)	Series 2016 (Phase 5)	Series 2017 (Phase 6)	Series 2019 (Phase 7 8 Hatcher)	General Long Term Debt	Totals (Memorandum Only)
Liabilities												
Accounts Payable & Payroll Liabilities	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Due to Other Funds												
General Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Service Fund(s)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund(s)	-											-
Bonds Payable												-
Current Portion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	605,000	605,000
Long Term											30,130,000	30,130,000
Unamortized Prem/Disc on Bds Pybl	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Total Liabilities	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 30,735,000	\$ 30,735,000
Fund Equity and Other Credits												
Investment in General Fixed Assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fund Balance												
Restricted												
Beginning: October 1, 2020 (Unaudited)	-	960,943	486,992	346,002	434,323	359,896	671,391	18,059	11,693	1,070,321	-	4,359,621
Results from Current Operations	-	198,336	91,331	54,020	113,094	-	1,213,519	1,890	1,281	(1,037,003)	-	636,467
Unassigned												
Beginning: October 1, 2020 (Unaudited)	366,305	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	366,305
Results from Current Operations	431,376	-										431,376
Total Fund Equity and Other Credits =	\$ 797,681	\$ 1,159,280	\$ 578,323	\$ 400,022	\$ 547,417	\$ 359,896	\$ 1,884,910	\$ 19,948	\$ 12,974	\$ 33,317	\$-	\$ 5,793,769
	\$ 797,681	\$ 1,159,280	\$ 578,323	\$ 400,022	\$ 547,417	\$ 359,896	\$ 1,884,910	\$ 19,948	\$ 12,974	\$ 33,317	\$ 30,735,000	\$ 36,528,769

Description	October	November	December	January	February	March	Year to Date	Total Annual Budget	% of Budget
Revenue and Other Sources									
Carryforward	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	-	\$ 100,000	0%
Interest									
Interest - General Checking	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Special Assessment Revenue									
Special Assessments - On-Roll	3,858	250,441	222,779	24,914	44,948	9,977	556,917	579,690	96%
Special Assessments - Off-Roll	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Contributions Private Sources	-						-	-	N/A
Miscellaneous Revenue					944		944	-	N/A
Intragovernmental Transfer In	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$ 3,858	\$ 250,441	\$ 222,779	\$ 24,914	\$ 45,892	\$ 9,977	557,861	\$ 679,690	82%
Expenditures and Other Uses									
Legislative									
Board of Supervisor's Fees	-	-	1,600	1,600	-	1,000	4,200	2,400	175%
Executive									
Professional Management	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	3,333	20,000	40,000	50%
Financial and Administrative									
Audit Services	-	-	-	-	-	4,400	4,400	4,400	100%
Accounting Services	1,333	1,333	1,333	1,333	1,333	1,333	8,000	16,000	50%
Assessment Roll Services	1,333	1,333	1,333	1,333	1,333	1,333	8,000	16,000	50%
Arbitrage Rebate Services	600	-	-	-	1,000	500	2,100	3,000	70%
Other Contractual Services									
Recording and Transcription	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Legal Advertising	-	1,166	-	-	315	630	2,111	3,500	60%
Trustee Services	-	-	-	7,159	4,327	-	11,485.63	25,450	45%
Dissemination Agent Services	5,500	_	_	_	_	_	5,500	5,500	100%

									~ r
Description	October	November	December	January	February	March	Year to Date	Total Annual Budget	% of Budget
Property Appraiser Fees	9,966	-	-	-	-	-	9,966	16,000	62%
Bank Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	400	0%
Travel and Per Diem	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Communications & Freight Services									
Postage, Freight & Messenger	19	-	30	7	222	75	353	600	59%
Rentals & Leases									
Meeting Room Rental	-	-	200	-	-	-	200	-	N/A
Computer Services - Website Development	50	50	-	-	-	-	100	2,000	5%
Insurance	6,503	-	-	-	-	-	6,503	6,300	103%
Printing & Binding	-	-	152	-	-	295	447	750	60%
Office Supplies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Subscription & Memberships	175	-	-	-	-	-	175	175	100%
Legal Services									
Legal - General Counsel	2,135	-	613	683	7,503	2,152	13,085	10,000	131%
Boundary Expansion	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
SFWMD - Permit Objection	-	-	185	-	5,615	-	5,800	-	N/A
Special Counsel - Litigation	-	-	-	-	14,720	6,492	21,212	100,000	21%
Other General Government Services									
Engineering Services - General Fund	150	-	-	1,468	-	-	1,618	5,000	32%
Capital Outlay	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Stormwater Management Services									
Preserve Area Maintenance									
Environmental Engineering Consultant									
Task 1 - Bid Documents	-	613	-	-	-	-	613	-	N/A
Task 2 - Monthly site visits	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,350	0%
Task 3 - Reporting to Regulatory Agencies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,000	0%
Task 4 - Fish Sampling to US Fish & Wildlife	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,350	0%
Task 5 - Attendance at Board Meeting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,000	N/A

					- ·			Total Annual	% of
Description	October	November	December	January	February	March	Year to Date	Budget	Budget
Clearing Downed Trees/Cleanup	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,000	0%
Code Enforcement for Incursion into Preserve	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Contingencies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Repairs and Maintenance									
Wading Bird Foraging Areas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,522	0%
Internal Preserves	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,598	0%
Western Preserve	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33,215	0%
Northern Preserve Area 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64,560	0%
Northern Preserve Area 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	113,120	0%
Clearing Downed Trees/Cleanup	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,000	0%
Code Enforcement for Incursion into Preserve	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,500	0%
No Trespassing Signs	-	-	-	618	-	-	618	-	N/A
Lake, Lake Bank and Littoral Shelf Mainten	ance								
Professional Services									
Asset Management	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,000	0%
Repairs & Maintenance									
Aquatic Weed Control	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35,000	0%
Lake Bank Maintenance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,000	0%
Water Quality Testing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,000	0%
Littortal Shelf Planting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,000	0%
Aeration System	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Capital Outlay									
Aeration Systems	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Littortal Shelf Replanting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Lake Bank Restoration	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Erosion Restoration	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Contingencies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,600	0%
								1,000	0,0

Landscaping Services

Description	October	November	D	ecember	Ja	nuary	F	ebruary	March	Ye	ar to Date	tal Annual Budget	% of Budget
Professional Services													
Asset Management	-	-		-		-		-	-		-	5,000	0%
Utility Services													
Electric	-	-		-		-		-	-		-	2,400	0%
Irrigation Water	-	-		-		-		-	-		-	3,000	0%
Repairs & Maintenance													
Public Area Landscaping	-	-		-		-		-	-		-	30,000	0%
Irrigation System	-	-		-		-		-	-		-	25,000	0%
Well System	-	-		-		-		-	-		-	10,000	0%
Plant Replacement	-	-		-		-		-	-		-	-	N/A
Operating Supplies													
Mulch	-	-		-		-		-	-		-	5,000	0%
Capital Outlay	-	-		-		-		-	-		-	-	N/A
Lake Bank Restoration	-	-		-		-		-	-		-	-	N/A
Reserves for Future Operations													
Future Operations/Restorations	-	-		-		-		-	-		-	-	N/A
Intragovernmental Transfer Out	-	-		-		-		-	-		-	-	N/A
Sub-Total:	31,098	7,829		8,780		17,533		39,701	21,543		126,485	679,690	19%
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$ 31,098	\$ 7,829	\$	8,780	\$	17,533	\$	39,701	\$ 21,543	\$	126,485	\$ 679,690	19%
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance	(27,240)	242,612		213,998		7,381		6,191	(11,566)		431,376	-	
Fund Balance - Beginning	366,305	339,065		581,677	7	95,675		803,056	809,247		366,305	-	
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ 339,065	\$ 581,677	\$	795,675		03,056	\$	809,247	\$ 797,681		797,681	\$ -	

Description	Q	ctober	N	lovember	l	December	January	February	March	Year to Date	tal Annual Budget	% of Budget
Revenue and Other Sources							,					8
Carryforward	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-	\$ -	N/A
Interest Income												
Interest Account		-		0		0	-	-	-	0	8	1%
Sinking Fund		-		0		0	-	-	-	0	-	N/A
Reserve Account		1		5,830		0	0	0	0	5,832	1,600	365%
Prepayment Account		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Revenue Account		2		2		0	1	2	2	10	975	1%
Special Assessment Revenue												
Special Assessments - On-Roll		3,589		232,943		207,214	23,174	41,808	9,280	518,007	539,344	96%
Special Assessments - Off-Roll		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Intragovernmental Transfer In		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$	3,591	\$	238,774	\$	207,215	\$ 23,176	\$ 41,811	\$ 9,282	523,849	\$ 541,927	N/A
Expenditures and Other Uses												
Debt Service												
Principal Debt Service - Mandatory												
Series 2013 Bonds	\$	-	\$	115,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	115,000	\$ 115,000	100%
Principal Debt Service - Early Redemptions												
Series 2013 Bonds		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Interest Expense												
Series 2013 Bonds		-		210,513		-	-	-	-	210,513	417,575	50%
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)		-		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$	-	\$	325,513	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	325,513	\$ 532,575	N/A
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance		3,591		(86,738)		207,215	23,176	41,811	9,282	198,336	9,352	
Fund Balance - Beginning	9	60,943		964,535		877,797	1,085,011	1,108,187	1,149,997	960,943		
Fund Balance - Ending	-		\$	877,797	\$	1,085,011	\$ 1,108,187	\$ 1,149,997	\$ 1,159,280	1,159,280	\$ 9,352	

Flowway Community Development District Debt Service Fund - Series 2015 (Phase 3) Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2021

Description	Oc	tober	November	D	ecember	J	anuary	Fe	ebruary	М	arch	Year to Date	tal Annual Budget	% of Budget
Revenue and Other Sources													 	
Carryforward	\$	-	\$-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	-	\$ -	N/A
Interest Income														
Interest Account		-	0		0		-		-		-	0	-	N/A
Sinking Fund		-	0		0		-		-		-	0	-	N/A
Reserve Account		0	2,663		0		0		0		0	2,664	550	484%
Prepayment Account		-	-		-		-		-		-	-	-	N/A
Revenue Account		1	1		0		1		1		1	5	300	2%
Special Assessment Revenue														
Special Assessments - On-Roll		1,702	110,460		98,260		10,989		19,825		4,400	245,636	255,873	96%
Special Assessments - Off-Roll		-	-		-		-		-		-	-	-	N/A
Special Assessments - Prepayment		-	-		-		-		-		-	-	-	N/A
Intragovernmental Transfers In		-	-		-		-		-		-	-		
Debt Proceeds	_	-	-		-		-		-		-	-	-	N/A
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$	1,703	\$ 113,124	\$	98,260	\$	10,990	\$	19,827	\$	4,402	248,306	\$ 256,723	N/A
Expenditures and Other Uses														
Debt Service														
Principal Debt Service - Mandatory														
Series 2015 Bonds (Phase 3)	\$	-	\$ 70,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	70,000	\$ 70,000	100%
Principal Debt Service - Early Redemptions														
Series 2015 Bonds (Phase 3)		-	-		-		-		-		-	-	-	N/A
Interest Expense														
Series 2015 Bonds (Phase 3)		-	86,975		-		-		-		-	86,975	172,463	50%
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)		-	-		-		-		-		-	-	-	N/A
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$	-	\$ 156,975	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	156,975	\$ 242,463	N/A
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance		1,703	(43,851)		98,260		10,990		19,827		4,402	91,331	14,260	
Fund Balance - Beginning	4	86,992	488,695		444,844		543,105		554,095	5	73,921	486,992	-	
Fund Balance - Ending	\$4	88,695	\$ 444,844	\$	543,105	\$	554,095	\$	573,921	\$ 5	78,323	578,323	\$ 14,260	

Flowway Community Development District Debt Service Fund - Series 2015 (Phase 4) Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2021

Description	October November December January February March Date Total Annual Budget \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 1,751 0 0 0 1,752 500 \$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 </th <th>% of Budget</th>	% of Budget							
Revenue and Other Sources	October	November	December	January	February	IVIAICII	Date	Buuget	Buuger
Carryforward	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	-	\$-	N/A
Interest Income									
Interest Account	-	0	0	-	-	-	0	-	N/A
Sinking Fund	-	0	0	-	-	-	0	-	N/A
Reserve Account	0	1,751	0	0	0	0	1,752	500	350%
Prepayment Account	0	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	N/A
Revenue Account	1	1	0	0	1	1	4	400	1%
General Redemption Account	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	N/A
Special Assessment Revenue									
Special Assessments - On-Roll	1,440	93,439	81,776	9,296	16,770	3,722	206,442	216,250	95%
Special Assessments - Off-Roll	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Special Assessments - Prepayments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Operating Transfers In (To Other Funds)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$ 1,441	\$ 95,191	\$ 81,776	\$ 9,296	\$ 16,771	\$ 3,723	208,198	\$ 217,150	N/A
Expenditures and Other Uses									
Debt Service									
Principal Debt Service - Mandatory									
Series 2015 Bonds (Phase 4)	\$-	\$ 55,000	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	55,000	\$ 55,000	100%
Principal Debt Service - Early Redemptions									
Series 2015 Bonds (Phase 4)	-	20,000	-	-	-	-	20,000	-	N/A
Interest Expense									
Series 2015 Bonds (Phase 4)	-	79,178	-	-	-	-	79,178	157,256	50%
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$ -	\$ 154,178	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	154,178	\$ 212,256	N/A
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance	1,441	(58,987)	81,776	9,296	16,771	3,723	54,020	4,894	
Fund Balance - Beginning	346,002	347,443	288,456	370,232	379,528	396,299	346,002		
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ 347,443	\$ 288,456	\$ 370,232	\$ 379,528	\$ 396,299	\$ 400,022	400,022	\$ 4,894	

Flowway Community Development District Debt Service Fund - Series 2016 (Phase 5) Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2021

Description	October	Novemb <u>er</u>	December	January	February	March	Year to Date	Total Annual Budget	% of Budget
Revenue and Other Sources									
Carryforward	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	-	\$-	N/A
Interest Income									
Interest Account	-	0	0	-	-	-	0	2	3%
Sinking Fund	-	0	0	-	-	-	0	-	N/A
Reserve Account	0	1,888	0	0	0	0	1,889	345	548%
Prepayment Account	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Revenue Account	1	1	0	1	1	1	6	220	3%
Special Assessment Revenue									
Special Assessments - On-Roll	2,329	151,169	134,472	15,039	27,131	6,022	336,162	350,060	96%
Special Assessments - Off-Roll	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Debt Proceeds		-					-		
Operating Transfers In (To Other Funds)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$ 2,330	\$ 153,058	\$ 134,472	\$ 15,040	\$ 27,133	\$ 6,023	338,057	\$ 350,627	N/A
Expenditures and Other Uses									
Debt Service									
Principal Debt Service - Mandatory									
Series 2016 Bonds (Phase 5)	\$-	\$ 100,000	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-	100,000	\$ 95,000	105%
Principal Debt Service - Early Redemptions									
Series 2016 Bonds (Phase 5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Interest Expense									
Series 2016 Bonds (Phase 5)	-	123,074	-	-	-	-	123,074	247,763	50%
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)	0	1,888	0	0	0	0	1,889	-	N/A
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$0	\$ 224,962	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	224,963	\$ 342,763	N/A
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance	2,330	(71,904)	134,472	15,039	27,133	6,023	113,094	7,864	
Fund Balance - Beginning	434,323	436,653	364,749	499,221	514,261	541,393	434,323		
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ 436,653			\$ 514,261		\$ 547,417	547,417	\$ 7,864	

Flowway Community Development District Debt Service Fund - Series 2017 (Phase 6) Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2021

Description	October	Novem <u>ber</u>	December	January	February	March	Year to Date	Total Annual Budget	% of Budget
Revenue and Other Sources									
Carryforward	\$	- \$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	-	\$-	N/A
Interest Income									
Interest Account		- 0	0	-	-	-	0	-	N/A
Sinking Fund		- 0	0	-	-	-	0	-	N/A
Reserve Account		0 1,280	0	0	0	0	1,281	2,200	58%
Prepayment Account			-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Revenue Account		1 1	0	0	1	1	4	1,100	0%
Special Assessment Revenue									
Special Assessments - On-Roll	1,57	0 101,890	90,636	10,136	18,287	4,059	226,578	235,848	96%
Special Assessments - Off-Roll			-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Debt Proceeds			-	-	-	-	-		
Operating Transfers In (To Other Funds)			-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$ 1,57	1 \$ 103,171	\$ 90,636	\$ 10,137	\$ 18,288	\$ 4,060	227,863	\$ 239,148	N/A
Expenditures and Other Uses									
Debt Service									
Principal Debt Service - Mandatory									
Series 2017 Bonds (Phase 6)	\$	- \$ 65,000	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$-	65,000	\$ 65,000	100%
Principal Debt Service - Early Redemptions									
Series 2017 Bonds (Phase 6)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Interest Expense									
Series 2017 Bonds (Phase 6)		- 83,850	-	-	-	-	83,850	166,563	50%
Debt Service-Other Costs			-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)) 1,280	0	0	0	0	1,281	-	N/A
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$) \$ 150,130	\$0	\$ O	\$0	\$ O	150,131	\$ 231,563	N/A
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance	1,57) (46,959) 90,636	10,137	18,288	4,060	77,732	7,585	
Fund Balance - Beginning	282,16	•	236,776	327,412	337,549	355,837	282,164		
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ 283,73			\$ 337,549	\$ 355,837	\$ 359,896	359,896	\$ 7,585	

Flowway Community Development District Debt Service Fund - Series 2019 (Phase 7, Phase 8 and Hatcher) Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2021

Description	_	October	N	lovember	D	ecember	_	January	F	February		March	Year to Date		tal Annual Budget	% of Budget
Revenue and Other Sources																
Carryforward - Capitalized Interest	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	-	\$	-	N/A
Interest Income																
Interest Account		-		0		0		-		-		-	0		-	N/A
Sinking Account		-		0		0		-		-		-	0		-	N/A
Reserve Account		1		1		1		1		1		1	7		2,700	0%
Prepayment Account		-		-		-		-		-		-	-		-	N/A
Revenue Account		2		2		0		1		2		2	8		1,100	1%
Special Assessment Revenue																
Special Assessments - On-Roll		3,851		249,964		222,355		24,867		44,863		9,958	555,858		578,774	96%
Special Assessments - Off-Roll		-		-		-		-		-		-	-		-	N/A
Debt Proceeds		-		-		-		-		-		-	-			
Operating Transfers In (To Other Funds)		-		-		-		-		-		1,037,102	1,037,102		-	N/A
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$	3,854	\$	249,967	\$	222,357	\$	24,869	\$	44,866	\$	1,047,063	1,592,976	\$	582,574	N/A
Expenditures and Other Uses																
Debt Service																
Principal Debt Service - Mandatory																
Series 2019 Bonds (Phase 7,8,Hatcher)	\$	-	Ś	180.000	Ś	-	Ś	-	Ś	-	Ś	-	180,000	Ś	180,000	100%
Principal Debt Service - Early Redemptions	Ŧ		Ŧ	200,000	Ŧ		Ŧ		Ŧ		Ŧ		200)000	Ŧ	200,000	20070
Series 2019 Bonds (Phase 7,8,Hatcher)		-				-		-		-		-	-		-	N/A
Interest Expense																,,,
Series 2019 Bonds (Phase 7,8,Hatcher)		-		199,387		-		-		-		-	199,387		395,759	50%
Debt Service-Other Costs		-				-		-		-		-				N/A
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)		1		1		64		1		1		1	70		-	, N/A
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$	1	\$	379,388	\$	64	\$	1	\$	1	\$	1	379,457	\$	575,759	N/A
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance		3,853		(129,421)		222,293		24,868		44,865		1,047,062	1,213,519		6,815	
Fund Balance - Beginning		671,391		675,244		545,823		768,116		792,984		837,849	671,391		0,010	
Fund Balance - Ending	_	675,244	ć	545,823	\$	768,116	Ś	792,984	\$	837,849		1,884,910	1,884,910	Ś	6,815	

Flowway Community Development District Capital Project Fund - Series 2016 (Phase 5) Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2021

Description	C	October	Γ	November	D	ecember	January	F	ebruary I	March	Yea	ar to Date	Total Annual Budget
Revenue and Other Sources													
Carryforward	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	-		-	\$-
Interest Income													
Construction Account		0		0		0	0		0	0		0	-
Cost of Issuance		-		-		-	-		-	-		-	-
Debt Proceeds				-		-	-		-	-	\$	-	-
Operating Transfers In (From Other Funds)		0		1,888		0	0		0	0		1,889	-
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$	0	\$	1,888	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0\$	0	\$	1,890	\$ -
Expenditures and Other Uses													
Executive													
Professional Management		-		-			-		-	-	\$	-	\$-
Other Contractual Services													
Trustee Services		-		-			-		-	-	\$	-	\$-
Printing & Binding		-		-			-		-	-	\$	-	\$ -
Legal Services													
Legal - Series 2016 Bonds (Phase 5)		-		-			-		-	-	\$	-	-
Other General Government Services													
Stormwater Mgmt-Construction		-		-			-		-	-	\$	-	Ś -
Capital Outlay											Ŧ		Ŧ
Construction in Progress		-		-			-		-	-	\$	-	-
Cost of Issuance											•		
Series 2016 Bonds (Phase 5)		-		-			-		-	-		-	\$-
Underwriter's Discount		-		-			-		-	-	\$	-	-
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	-
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance		0		1,888	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0\$	0	\$	1,890	-
Fund Balance - Beginning		18,059		18,059	\$	19,947	\$ 19,948	\$	19,948 \$	19,948		18,059	-
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	18,059	\$	19,947	\$	19,948	\$ 19,948	\$	19,948 \$	19,948	\$	19,948	\$-

Flowway Community Development District Capital Project Fund - Series 2017 (Phase 6) Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2021

Description	Octo	ber	lovember	De	cember	Ja	nuary	Fe	bruary	March	Yea	ar to Date	Total Annu Budget
Revenue and Other Sources													
Carryforward	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	-		-	\$
Interest Income													
Construction Account		0	0		0		0		0	0		0	
Cost of Issuance		-	-		-		-		-	-		-	
Debt Proceeds			-		-		-		-	-		-	
Operating Transfers In (From Other Funds)		0	1,280		0		0		0	0		1,281	
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$	0\$	1,280	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0\$	0	\$	1,281	\$
openditures and Other Uses													
Executive													
Professional Management		-	-		-		-		-	-	\$	-	\$
Other Contractual Services											·		
Trustee Services		-	-		-		-		-	-	\$	-	\$
Printing & Binding		-	-		-		-		-	-	\$	-	Ś
Legal Services											Ŧ		Ŧ
Legal - Series 2016 Bonds (Phase 5)		-	-		-		-		-	-	\$	-	
Capital Outlay											Ŷ		
Water-Sewer Combination-Construction		-	-		-		-		-	-	\$	-	\$
Stormwater Mgmt-Construction			_								\$		\$
Off-Site Improvements-CR 951 Extension		_	_		_		_		-	-	\$	-	\$
Construction in Progress		_	_		_		_		_	_	\$	_	Ļ
Cost of Issuance											Ŷ		
Series 2017 Bonds (Phase 6)		-	-		-		-		-	-		-	Ś
Underwriter's Discount		-	-		-		-		-	-	Ś	-	Ŷ
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)	Ś	- \$	-		-	\$	-	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	-	-		- \$	-	\$	-	\$
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance		0	1,280	\$	0	\$	0	Ś	0\$	0	\$	1,281	
Fund Balance - Beginning	1	L1,693	11,693		12,974	•	12,974	-	12,974 \$	12,974	Ŧ	11,693	
Fund Balance - Ending		L1,693 \$	12,974		12,974		12,974		12,974 \$	12,974	Ś	12,974	Ś

Flowway Community Development District Capital Project Fund - Series 2019 (Phase 7, Phase 8 and Hatcher) Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Through March 31, 2021

Description	October	er November		December		January	February	March	Year to Date	Total Annual Budget	
Revenue and Other Sources						,					
Carryforward	\$	- \$	-	\$	- 5	-	\$-	\$-	-	\$	-
Interest Income											
Construction Account		0	0		0	0	0	0	1		-
Cost of Issuance		-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-
Retainage Account		4	4		4	4	4	6	28		-
Debt Proceeds			-		-	-	-	-	-		-
Contributions from Private Sources			-		-	-	-	-	-		-
Operating Transfers In (From Other Funds)		1	1		54	1	1	1	70		-
Total Revenue and Other Sources:	\$	6\$	6	\$	58 ;	6 6	\$6	\$8	\$ 99	\$	-
Expenditures and Other Uses											
Executive											
Professional Management		-	-		-	-	-	-	\$-	\$	-
Other Contractual Services											
Trustee Services		-	-		-	-	-	-	\$-	\$	-
Printing & Binding		-	-		-	-	-	-	\$ -	\$	-
Legal Services										,	
Legal - Series 2019 Bonds (Ph 7, Ph 8 & Hatcher)		_	-		-	-	-	-	\$-		-
Capital Outlay									Ŧ		
Water-Sewer Combination-Construction		_	-		-	-	-	-	\$-	\$	-
Stormwater Mgmt-Construction		_	-		_	_	-	-	\$ -	\$	-
Off-Site Improvements-CR 951 Extension		_	_		_	_	_	_	\$ -	\$	
Construction in Progress		_	_		_	-	-	-	\$ -	Ļ	_
Cost of Issuance									Ŧ		
Series 2016 Bonds (Phase 5)		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	\$	_
Underwriter's Discount		-	-		-	-	-	-	s -	Ŧ	_
Operating Transfers Out (To Other Funds)	Ś	- \$	-	\$	- 9	-	\$-	\$ 1,037,102	\$ 1,037,102		-
Total Expenditures and Other Uses:	\$	- \$	-	\$		\$-	\$ -	\$ 1,037,102	\$ 1,037,102	\$	-
Net Increase/ (Decrease) in Fund Balance	Ś	6\$	6	\$	58 5	5 6	\$ 6	\$ (1,037,094)	\$ (1,037,003)		_
Fund Balance - Beginning	1,070,3			-			\$ 1,070,406		1,070,321		-
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ 1,070,3						\$ 1,070,412		\$ 33,317	\$	



Gregory N. Woods Board Certified Civil Trial Lawyer Board Certified Business Litigation Lawyer gwoods@lawfirmnaples.com

March 9, 2021

VIA U.S. REGULAR MAIL:

Taylor Morrison Attn: Barbara Kininmonth, Division President 28100 Bonita beach Road Suite 102 Bonita Springs, FL 34135

RE: Notice of Trespass

Dear Ms. Kininmonth:

This Firm has been retained by the Flowway CDD ("CDD") to place Taylor Morrison ("TM") on notice of its trespass. It has come to our attention that TM, through its contractors, without notice, permission or insurance is committing a significant trespass, including physical damage, to CDD property. Ironically, this is just a continuation of TM's improper actions of misusing separate legal entities as if they were a part of TM and ignoring legal rights and obligations of owners of real property all for its own financial benefit.

As you are aware, TM improperly and prematurely transferred title of the Preserves to the CDD. Significantly, ignoring the requirements of its permit with the Army Corp of Engineers, TM has not obtained an appropriate conservation entity to take over the long term maintenance of the Preserves, nor, has TM established a fund to ensure the perpetual maintenance of the Preserves. In fact, TM representatives have expressed that TM has no intent of meeting its obligations to establish the perpetual maintenance fund.

Despite prematurely transferring title to the Preserves to the CDD, in violation of the conditions set forth in its Army Corp Permit, TM has now decided that it can unilaterally trespass upon and destroy portions of property that it improperly deeded to the CDD. TM apparently wants "to have its cake and eat it too."

Please take notice that the CDD intends to hold TM responsible for its improper actions. Specifically, these actions include the relocation of the trail, which runs through CDD property; the relocation or reconstruction of the golf cart path between holes 15 and 16 on the golf course, which also encroaches into lakes a part of the Internal Preserves; and the construction of a bridge through at least one of the lakes. There are also stakes now placed throughout the east edge of the community, between the path and the fence, for which TM has provided no information to the CDD. To the extent these stakes are indicative of additional work to be performed, which could affect or otherwise encroach upon CDD property, TM is to immediately advise as to same.



Failure to properly keep the CDD Manager informed of proposed actions on CDD property will result in the CDD seeking a "stop work order" from the County and/or filing suit for damages related to these trespasses.

Sincerely,

WOODS, WEIDENMILLER, MICHETTI & RUDNICK, LLP

s Gregory N. Woods Gregory N. Woods

cc: Clients (via email)



Reply To: St. Petersburg khennessy@llw-law.com

March 18, 2021

Gregory N. Woods, Esq. 9045 Strada Stell Court Suite 400 Naples, Florida 34109-4438 Via Email: gwoods@lawfirmnaples.com

Dear Mr. Woods:

We are in receipt of your correspondence dated March 9, 2021 to our client Barbara Kininmonth of Taylor Morrison Esplanade Naples, LLC (TM). As you know, TM is represented by counsel and therefore all communication between your firm and TM should be by and through counsel. Please direct all future correspondence regarding this matter to our attention.

As to your allegation that TM has trespassed on the Flow Way Community Development District (CDD) property we draw your attention to Article XIII, Section 6 of the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions, Restrictions and Easements for Esplanade Golf & Country Club of Naples (Declaration) which gives TM certain rights as to the Club Property and Golf Property, including the Preserves which were at the time of the Declaration deemed Club Property. Specifically, the **Declaration provides:**

Article XIII

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Section 6. CERTAIN RIGHTS OF DECLARANT. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein contained, no Improvements constructed or installed by Declarant shall be subject to the approval of the Club or the Owners or the provisions and requirements of this Declaration, although it is the intent of Declarant to create a community with a common scheme of development. Notwithstanding the other provisions of this Declaration, ... Declarant further reserves the right to make repairs to the Club Property and Golf Property and to carry on construction activity for the benefit of the Property ... Declarant, its affiliates and their respective nominees, may exercise the foregoing rights without notifying the Club and/or the Owners.

JACKSONVILLE

245 Riverside Ave., Suite 510 Jacksonville, Florida 32202 T: 904.353.6410 F: 904.353.7619

ST. PETERSBURG 100 Second Ave., South Suite 501-S St. Petersburg, Florida 33701 T: 850.222.5702 T: 727.245.0820 F: 727.290.4057

TALLAHASSEE 315 South Calhoun St., Suite 830 301 West Platt St. Tallahassee, Florida 32301 F: 850.224.9242

TAMPA Suite 364 Tampa, Florida 33606 T: 813.775.2331

WEST PALM BEACH

515 North Flagler Dr., Suite 1500 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 T: 561.640.0820 F: 561.640.8202

... In addition, Declarant hereby has, shall have and hereby reserves the right to enter upon the Club Property and Golf Property (including, without limitation, all drainage, Lake maintenance, and utility easements, whether located on a Lot or Club Property or Golf Property) in order for Declarant to final-out and/or close-out any and all approvals, permits, orders, conditions and/or requirements that have been issued or imposed by any governmental entity in connection with the development and construction of Esplanade Golf & Country Club of Naples and all Improvements therein, and for Declarant to comply and adhere to the same, and such rights shall survive the date of Turnover and continue for such period of time as is necessary for Declarant to fully comply with all such governmentally issued approvals, permits, orders, conditions and/or requirements. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, in exercising any such rights, Declarant shall have the right to remove and/or relocate any and all items (including, without limitation, landscape materials, fences and/or other Improvements) that may be required to be removed and/or relocated to final-out and/or close-out any and all such approvals, permits, orders, conditions and/or requirements without compensation to the Club or the Owners. This Section 6 may not be suspended, superseded or modified in any manner by any amendment to this Declaration unless such amendment is consented to in writing by Declarant.

... Declarant shall also have the right, but not the obligation, to conduct inspections and tests from time to time of all or any portion of the Club Property and Golf Property in order to ascertain the physical condition of the Improvements and to determine if maintenance, repair or replacement of any such Improvement is necessary. If Declarant conducts any such tests or inspections, it shall pay all costs thereof and restore the affected portion of the Property to its condition immediately prior to the inspections and tests. Declarant shall have such rights of entry on, over, under, across and through the Property as may be reasonably necessary to exercise the rights described in this Section 6. Declarant's right of inspection shall exist whether or not the Turnover Date has occurred. In the event Declarant exercises its inspection right, it is acknowledged by the Club and all Owners that Declarant is performing any such inspection for its own benefit and not for the benefit of the Club and/or the Owners and further, Declarant shall have no obligation to inform the Club and/or the Owners of the result of any such inspection.

ALL OWNERS, OCCUPANTS AND USERS OF ESPLANADE GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB OF NAPLES ARE HEREBY PLACED ON NOTICE THAT DECLARANT AND/OR ITS AGENTS, CONTRACTORS, SUBCONTRACTORS, LICENSEES AND OTHER DESIGNEES MAY BE, FROM TIME TO TIME, CONDUCTING EXCAVATION, CONSTRUCTION AND OTHER ACTIVITIES WITHIN OR IN PROXIMITY TO ESPLANADE GOLF & COUNTRY CLUB OF NAPLES ... Gregory N. Woods, Esq. March 18, 2021 Page 3

When the CDD took title to the Preserves it did so by Special Warranty Deed subject to the Declaration.

TM's access is consistent with its rights under the Declaration, does not require notice per the Declaration and is lawful. As to your other allegations made in the letter, they are the subject of the litigation that your client the CDD has filed against TM, the Club and other co-defendants and it is not appropriate to address them in private correspondence not before the court.

Yours sincerely,

/s/ Kevin S. Hennessy

Kevin S. Hennessy Michelle Diffenderfer

KSH/mlo

CC: Kristy Boss, via email Barbara Kininmonth, via email



Gregory N. Woods Board Certified Civil Trial Lawyer Board Certified Business Litigation Lawyer gwoods@lawfirmnaples.com

March 22, 2021

VIA EMAIL ONLY: (khennessy@llw-law.com)

Kevin Hennessy Lewis Longman Walker 100 Second Ave. S., Ste. 501-S St. Petersburg, FL 33701

RE: Taylor Morrison/Flow Way Community Development District

Dear Mr. Hennessy:

As you know, this firm represents the Flow Way Community Development District ("CDD"), and previously sent notice to Taylor Morrison Esplanade Naples, LLC ("TM") of its trespass upon CDD property. I am in receipt of your response letter, dated March 18, 2021, wherein TM is apparently taking the position that it can take any action within the Preserves without notice to the CDD due to a reservation of right to enter Club or Golf Property. For several reasons, this position is incorrect.

First, the "Club Property" is defined by Article I, Section 12 of the Declaration to specifically **exclude** "those areas dedicated to the public or the CDD by the Plat or Additional Plat, if any...." The term "Golf Property" is defined only as "golf course, golf cart facilities, and other facilities and property directly related to the golf course...and designated by Declarant as Golf Property", exclusive of the club house. See Declaration, at Art. I, § 12.

TM clearly dedicated the Preserves to the CDD by Special Warranty Deed, as your letter also recognizes. While that transfer of ownership is being contested in litigation, until a Judge rules on the issue, the Preserves remain as CDD-dedicated property and outside the definition of "Club Property". Further, the Preserves are clearly unrelated to the golf course and have not been designated as "Golf Property", thereby making that term irrelevant entirely to this discussion. The CDD therefore maintains its position that TM is actively trespassing upon CDD property through its unapproved actions taken with respect to the moving of the cart path and boardwalk construction.

Second, and with regard to a building that TM is constructing, it has not gone unnoticed that the cart path is seemingly being moved into a lake bank, which is a part of the water management system the CDD is obligated to maintain under the current documentation and permits. Please be advised that to the extent TM is planning to fill that lake, in any capacity, and/or take any other actions that would affect (whether directly or indirectly) the lake or water management system, the CDD is to be immediately advised of such plans and be made a part of the process in approving or disapproving such actions being taken.

Third, stakes have been placed along the east edge of the Esplanade community, between the path and north/south fence, which tend to indicate that additional construction activities may take place. The CDD requires advance notice of permits, plans, and proof of insurance before any construction begins.

To the extent that TM continues to take action without proper notice being provided to, and/or without authorization given by, the CDD, the CDD will take action with the County to have a stop work order issued and notify the appropriate permitting authorities of these improper activities.

Please provide me with all specifications, plans, and permitting documents TM has with respect to the cart path and boardwalk construction, such that I, along with the District Manager, can review and evaluate same. Please also provide the applicable proof of insurance. Should TM's actions impede upon the water management system for which the CDD could be held liable, or should TM continue to trespass on CDD property, the CDD expressly reserves the right to add damages claims to the pending litigation.

Your client's anticipated cooperation with this matter is appreciated.

Sincerely,

WOODS, WEIDENMILLER, MICHETTI & RUDNICK, LLP

s Gregory N. Woods Gregory N. Woods

cc: Clients (via email)



Reply To: West Palm Beach mdiffenderfer@llw-law.com

March 31, 2021

Gregory N. Woods, Esquire 9045 Strada Stell Court Suite 400 Naples, Florida 34109-4438 Via Email: gwoods@lawfirmnaples.com

Dear Mr. Woods:

We are writing to respond to your correspondence dated March 22, 2021 regarding our client Taylor Morrison Esplanade Naples, LLC (TM) rights of access to community property, including the Preserves. Thank you for directing your communication to counsel.

As to your allegation that TM has trespassed on the Flow Way Community Development District (CDD) property we continue to draw your attention to Article XIII, Section 6 of the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions, Restrictions and Easements for Esplanade Golf & Country Club of Naples (Declaration) which gives TM certain rights as to access to and work within the Preserves which pursuant to the Declaration are deemed Club Property. As you state, Club Property is defined to exclude "those areas dedicated to the public or the CDD by the Plat or Additional Plat". The Preserves remain a part of the definition of Club Property; were not dedicated to the public or the CDD by Plat; and were dedicated as Common Areas by Plat. When the CDD took title to the Preserves it did so by Special Warranty Deed subject to the Plat and Declaration. TM's access is consistent with its rights under the Declaration, did not require notice to the CDD per the Declaration and is lawful.

It is our understanding that the CDD has not adopted any specific rules or regulations governing access to CDD property and TM has never provided the CDD with notice of construction and maintenance activities within the Preserves or other easement areas. However, TM is willing to start providing the CDD with notice of activities that TM plans to conduct in areas owned by the CDD or that the CDD has easement rights over.

JACKSONVILLE

245 Riverside Ave., Suite 510 Jacksonville, Florida 32202 T: 904.353.6410 F: 904.353.7619

ST. PETERSBURG 100 Second Ave., South Suite 501-S St. Petersburg, Florida 33701 T: 850.222.5702 T: 727.245.0820 F: 727.290.4057

TALLAHASSEE 315 South Calhoun St., Suite 830 301 West Platt St. Tallahassee, Florida 32301 F: 850.224.9242

TAMPA Suite 364 Tampa, FL 33606 T: 813.775.2331

WEST PALM BEACH

515 North Flagler Dr., Suite 1500 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 T: 561.640.0820 F: 561.640.8202

See Things Differently[®]

Gregory N. Woods, Esquire March 31, 2021 Page 2

To that end, as part of the continued process of preparing for turnover of the community to the Esplanade Golf and Country Club of Naples Inc. (Club) we provide notice of the following permitted activities:

- Lake maintenance throughout the property per Collier County punch list requirements, consistent with existing Collier County and South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) permits;
- Relocation of existing Golf Cart Path, located between golf hole 15 & 16, adjacent to Building 16 located on Pocida Court and platted Lake 22/23, consistent with County and SFWMD permits; and
- Completed work: relocated boardwalk from upland buffer area of Internal Preserve 4 to Tract O27, outside the boundaries of the buffer area. No further work needed, stakes in that area will be removed.

We enclose copies of the County and SFWMD. Insurance for all work is in place.

We are also in receipt of your recent letter to SFWMD reporting the activity and seeking SFWMD's enforcement of permit terms. Please provide us with copies of any other correspondence that has been sent on behalf of the CDD to the respective permitting entities. To the extent necessary consider this as a public records request to the CDD for all correspondence from the CDD to the SFWMD, Collier County, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers or other permitting agencies regarding TM's recent and proposed work at the Esplanade community.

Please let us know if you and Mr. Ward would like to have a meeting to discuss any questions you may have as to the attached permits and ongoing work that TM is doing to expeditiously prepare for turnover of the community to the Club.

Yours sincerely,

Michelle Diffenderfer Kevin S. Hennessy

c. Kristy Boss, via email Barbara Kininmonth, via email