MINUTES OF MEETING BUCKEYE PARK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The Regular Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Buckeye Park Community Development District was held on Tuesday, January 11, 2022, at 1:00 p.m., at the Holiday Inn Express & Suites Bradenton East Lakewood Ranch, 5464 Lena Rd, Bradenton, Fl 34211.

Present and constituting a quorum:

Scott Campbell	Chairperson
Dale "Chip" Jones	Vice Chairperson
Leah Popelka	Assistant Secretary

Absent:	
Adam Lerner	Assistant Secretary
Robert Bishop	Assistant Secretary

Several Members of the Board resigned during this Meeting and new Board Members who were appointed as follows:

Richard Fisher	Chairperson
Gary Kompothecras	Vice Chairperson
Anthony Sansone	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

James P. Ward Scott Steady Rodney Dessberg Ron Lee District Manager District Attorney

Audience:

All resident's names were not included with the minutes. If a resident did not identify themselves or the audio file did not pick up the name, the name was not recorded in these minutes.

PORTIONS OF THIS MEETING WERE TRANSCRIBED VERBATIM. ALL VERBATIM PORTIONS WERE TRANSCRIBED IN *ITALICS*.

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Call to Order/Roll Call

District Manager James P. Ward called the meeting to order at approximately 1:00 p.m. He conducted roll call; all Members of the Board were present, with the exception of Supervisor Bishop, constituting a quorum.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resignation

Acceptance of the Letter of Resignation from Mr. Adam Lerner, effective October 28, 2021, and whose term is set to expire November 2024, from Seat 4 of the Board of Supervisors of the Buckeye Park Community Development District

- I. Appointment of Individual to fill Seat 4, whose term is set to expire November 2024
- II. Oath of Office
- III. Guide to the Sunshine Law and Code of Ethics for Public Employees
- IV. Form 1 Statement of Financial Interests

Mr. Ward called for a motion to accept the Resignation of Mr. Adam Lerner.

On MOTION made by Mr. Scott Campbell, seconded by Ms. Leah Popelka, and with all in favor, the Resignation of Mr. Adam Lerner was accepted.

Mr. Ward explained the remaining members of the Board could appoint an individual to fill Mr. Lerner's Seat by a simple motion and second.

Discussion ensued and the Board decided to appoint Mr. Anthony Sansone.

On MOTION made by Mr. Scott Campbell, seconded by Ms. Leah Popelka, and with all in favor, Mr. Anthony Sansone was appointed to fill Seat 4 with a term ending November 2022.

It was discovered Mr. Ron Lee was not qualified to serve on the Board.

On MOTION made by Ms. Leah Popelka, seconded by Mr. Scott Campbell, and with all in favor, it was decided to reconsider an appointment for Seat 4 and to appoint Mr. Anthony Sansone to fill Seat 4 with a term ending November 2022.

Mr. Ward ensured Mr. Anthony Sansone was qualified to serve on the Board. As a notary public, he administered the Oath of Office to Mr. Sansone. He asked Mr. Sansone to sign the Oath of Office and return the signed Oath to himself for notarization and to be made part of the public record. He provided Mr. Sansone with Form 1 and the Guide to the Sunshine Law and Code of Ethics.

Mr. Ward: As soon as we finish the transition of the Board Members, I will go through those with you.

Mr. Scott Campbell: We have five Board Members right now, so somebody needs to resign.

Mr. Ward: The next step would be, one of the remaining three Board Members, I will just need you to please resign of record as of today and just state that on the record for me.

Ms. Popelka: I, Leah Popelka, would like to formally resign my position effective today, January 11, 2022.

Mr. Ward: Okay, I'm going to provide you a resignation letter. I will ask you to please sign it and return it to me. With that resignation it opens Ms. Popelka's Seat which is Seat 1. I will ask that the remaining Board Members by motion and second name an individual to fill Leah's unexpired term which is set to expire November 2022.

Discussion ensued regarding who should fill Seat 1; it was decided to appoint Mr. Richard Fisher (ph) to fill Seat 1.

On MOTION made by Anthony Sansone, seconded by Mr. Scott Campbell, and with all in favor, Mr. Richard Fisher was appointed to fill Seat 1 with a term ending November 2022.

Ms. Popelka left the meeting.

Mr. Ward ensured Mr. Richard Fisher was qualified to serve on the Board. As a notary public, he administered the Oath of Office to Mr. Fisher. He asked Mr. Fisher to sign the Oath of Office and return the signed Oath to himself for notarization and to be made part of the public record.

Mr. Ward read the Letter of Resignation from Mr. Dale "Chip" Jones which was effective immediately. He asked if the Board wished to appoint a new Board Member to fill Mr. Jones' Seat.

The Board decided to appoint Gary Kompothecras.

On MOTION made by Mr. Richard Fisher, seconded by Mr. Scott Campbell, and with all in favor, Mr. Gary Kompothecras was appointed to fill Mr. Dale Jones' Seat.

Mr. Ward ensured Mr. Gary Kompothecras was qualified to serve on the Board. As a notary public, he administered the Oath of Office to Mr. Gary Kompothecras. He asked Mr. Gary Kompothecras to sign the Oath of Office and return the signed Oath to himself for notarization and to be made part of the public record.

Discussion ensued which was largely (indecipherable).

Mr. Scott Campbell: I, Scott Campbell, submit my resignation effective January 11, 2022.

Mr. Ward called for a motion to accept Mr. Campbell's resignation.

On MOTION made by Mr. Richard Fisher, seconded by Mr. Gary Kompothecras, and with all in favor, the Resignation of Mr. Scott Campbell was accepted.

Mr. Ward: Now that all the old Board Members resigned, the remaining Members need to, by motion, appoint someone to fill Mr. Campbell's term.

On MOTION made by Mr. Richard Fisher, seconded by Mr. Anthony Sansone, and with all in favor, Mr. Rodney Dessberg was appointed to fill Mr. Scott Campbell's Seat.

Mr. Ward discovered Mr. Rodney Desberg was not qualified to serve on the Board.

Mr. Scott Steady: Unfortunately, you cannot serve on this Board, but fortunately we have three Board Members, so we have a quorum. We can do everything we need to do, but I strongly suggest that we find a fourth because if we lose one, then we lose our quorum. That person has to be a citizen and a resident. We can proceed today.

Mr. Ward: Actually, we have four because Bob Bishop hasn't resigned.

Mr. Richard Fisher: At the next meeting we will put Vince Payne.

Mr. Dessberg asked about the requirements to participate on the Board.

Mr. Steady: This is a public board. This is like being a city councilor. For that, you have to be a citizen. This isn't an HOA. This is a Community Development District which is a special purpose governmental entity which has ramifications. I want to make sure we are all on the same page. So, that's the distinction. You can't run for city council.

Mr. Dessberg: I thought I could become a U.S. Senator if I wanted to. (Indecipherable).

Discussion ensued regarding Board Member requirements for participation and resignation, appointing another Board Member, possible Board Member options, and Board Meeting requirements.

Mr. Ward: Meetings all have to be in person, and they do have to be advertised. Video Meetings were temporary. We will probably have three before the end of September and one in November for an election. We do have some decent business to do. Mr. Campbell?

Mr. Campbell: Quick question, the bond holders for the lots and any bonds that are outstanding in relation to (indecipherable)?

Mr. Ward: It does, and that was contemplated in my mind for the three meetings this year.

Mr. Gary Kompothecras: can you explain that a little bit.

Mr. Ward: The next meeting is February 8th, and then we have one April 12th after that.

Mr. Steady: Okay, because I think Eric is going to want to get everything squared away (indecipherable).

Mr. Ward: May of 2022. Yeah, we've got time, and I can always advertise a meeting in advance, but having three was contemplated in that one of them needs to deal with the bond.

Mr. _____ 21:43: Do you want to describe what the issue is?

Mr. Scott Campbell: Yeah, so, there are two lots that still have bonds on them. Lot 1 FedEx, and Lot 2.

*Mr.*____: It's not actually FedEx, it's Realty Income. (Indecipherable).

Mr. _____: Yeah, in this particular case it was done by a private developer and then they have publicly traded (indecipherable) purchased just that lot which FedEx leased long term, so it's really about clipping coupons and not (indecipherable).

Mr. _____: So, those two lots are the only two lots that have ongoing bond assessments?

Discussion continued regarding the two lots, the associated bond assessments, the costs incurred to the CDD as a result of the bonds, and the bond amounts.

Mr. Steady: The District which you are a member of, still has outstanding bonds. It's not cheap, we have to maintain them. Your point is, every property owner is paying their share to run the District which also means -I was going to say, if we could encourage those two owners to pay the bonds, then everyone's costs would go down.

Discussion ensued regarding how much money could be saved by the residents if the bonds were paid off, and whether the property owners of Lot 1 and Lot 2 would be willing to pay the bonds.

Mr. Ward: The Realty Income has \$200,000 dollars a year in debt service. That has to be \$1.5 million in debt.

Mr. Campbell: There are documents. I was the Chairman forever. I was kind of the asset manager for this particular property and there are some documents that I think would be good for the Board to have, so I'm going to ask Scott to go through, if you don't mind, in the Buckeye Park CDD records there are stuff as far as the SW Florida Water Management District, as far as every 5 years you have to do an inspection. I just want to forward that to you and have you review it because you guys are going to need that stuff.

Mr. Ward: That should come to me.

Mr. Campbell: I'll get with Scott and go through it and then we'll send you what we think you need.

Mr. Ward: Sounds good.

Discussion ensued regarding SOLES reports on the various lots, security costs, unauthorized parties being held on the empty lots, lots being used as motorcycle track, and security costs being included in the budget.

Mr. Ward asked the speakers to speak one at a time.

Discussion ensued regarding security and the budgeted costs of security.

Mr. Campbell: What you will find, as stuff gets developed in there, as buildings start coming in and people start coming, what you will find is that will start to wane. They won't have anywhere to go, number 1, and plus there are people around. Jim has my contact information. I'm glad you guys are involved. I will help in any way I can. Congratulations. Truth is, I think you got in for a good price.

Mr. Campbell left the meeting.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS Consideration of Resolution 2022-1

Consideration of Resolution 2022-1, Re-Designation of the Officers of the District

Mr. Ward stated he acted as Secretary and Treasurer, a Chairperson and Vice Chairperson were needed, and the remaining Board Members would serve as Assistant Secretaries. He explained as this was a government entity, the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson roles were to sign documents while Assistant Secretaries attested to the signature of the Chairperson. He stated his role as Secretary and Treasurer was to operate the District and sign documents along with the Chairperson. He asked the Board to discuss who should serve in each position. The Board discussed and chose to appoint Richard Fisher as Chairperson and Gary *Kompothecras* as Vice Chairperson; the remaining Board Members would serve as Assistant Secretaries. Mr. Ward called for a motion.

On MOTION made by Mr. Richard Fisher, seconded by Mr. Anthony Sansone, and with all in favor, Resolution 2022-1 was adopted, and the Chair was authorized to sign.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Minutes

July 13, 2021 - Regular Meeting

Mr. Ward asked if there were any corrections, additions, or deletions for the Minutes; hearing none, he called for a motion.

On MOTION made by Mr. Richard Fisher, seconded by Mr. Anthony Sansone, and with all in favor, the July 13, 2021, Regular Meeting Minutes were approved.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Audited Financial Statements

Consideration and the acceptance of the Audited Financial Statements for the Fiscal Year ended September 30, 2021

Mr. Ward: The Auditor is not with us today. I will take a few moments and go through these with you, or we can postpone this and do it at the next meeting.

The Board chose to defer this Item until the next meeting.

Mr. Ward indicated he would resend the Audited Financial Statements to the Board Members for review.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Staff Reports

I. District Attorney

Mr. Scott Steady: As we discussed, this is not an HOA. This is a Community Development District. It's a special purpose local government. Why is it a special purpose local government? Because developers put them in place. They can then issue tax free municipal bonds and get a great deal. It is a great financing mechanism. But at the same time, on the other side, it's a good maintenance situation because the fees go on the tax roll. Bottom line is the original developers put them there to get great financing but long term CDDs tend to remain in better shape than if you have an Association. With associations you mail the bill, with CDDs the bill goes on the tax rolls. With that you are government officials, so there are two things you need keep in mind. The Sunshine Law says things being done for the District need to be done here. If there is something coming up, you shouldn't talk about it. Sunshine means, just like City Council Members aren't supposed to go meet for a beer and talk about what's going on.

Mr. Gary Kompothecras: the only reason this is public office is because we take CDD Money from the government, or from Bonds?

Mr. Steady: CDDs are government entities that can issue municipal bonds. Like we just said, we've still got two property owners paying assessments, so we still have a bond and there is cost associated with that.

Mr. Gary Kompothecras: if they paid that off it would go away?

Mr. Steady: It stays in place because the other issue I want to talk about is we own land. But there could be a long term play if you wanted, but you would have to have an association to take the land. Generally, I've been involved in Districts that even if they are done with their bonds, it's still a good mechanism instead of an association because everyone pays their bills. But you can't possibly get rid of it as long as you have a bond. Then, even if you get rid of it, we still own some land as a District.

Discussion ensued regarding the possibility of bestowing the land to an association if the bonds were paid off.

Mr. Fisher: There is a defunct association, and I think we are going to revive it only to improve our position. We are looking at the PDEZ District. There are some restrictions in there that we would like to get rid of for our benefit, your benefit and our benefit. The problem is, the defunct association, or dormant association, has those same restrictions. We will do a publicly initiated amendment to the PDEZ Ordinance. It will be initiated by Manatee County because the initiated in in the first place when the PDEZ Ordinance was created. We've met with the County, and they've agreed to make some changes that give us more flexibility. There are sticky little rules in there that we don't like, so we are planning to get rid of them. But they are also on an old dock and the problem is, untitled,

that's going to show up. Our efforts will be made to reestablish the association, which is really just an LLC that was dissolved for nonpayment. It's been so long that we can reinstate it really easy for real cheap, put the same guys on the board, and we would then modify those restrictions to our benefit, and at that point we could close that board down again or decide which one's better. So, then we will have two boards, the CDD and the POA (Property Owners Association), and if we could get those bonds retired, we could say to the CDD see you later or there is the advantage of, as Scott said, that the way it is right now is it's on the tax roll. So, we don't really have to go out as a board and bill people and do this, and from my point of view, we don't have to deal with it.

Mr. Anthony Sansone: If it doesn't get paid who's going to enforce it? If the taxes don't get paid the county --?

Mr. Steady: The reason most taxes always get paid is because there's the tax certificate process. Somebody else is going to buy it because they are going to make 18% on it.

Discussion ensued regarding the taxes being relatively guaranteed to be paid.

Mr. Fisher: I gave you my card, if you contact me as we are moving through this, we have a consultant, Bob Schmidt. I've known Bob for 30 something years. He used to be the head of planning and zoning for Manatee County. He's a private consultant now. He's watching us as we go through these processes, happy to bring you up to speed. Bob's a real good guy. We figured when were coming in here, if the County is going to initiate it, let's go in there and maybe we take a look at that same list and you think about what you are going to do with your development if there is something in there you don't like, we will add that to the County initiated, and get it off the restriction sheet to your benefit.

Mr. Anthony Sansone: Which restrictions were you concerned about?

Mr. Fisher: One is outdoor storage.

Mr. Anthony Sansone: But that is outdoor storage facilities. I thought it was a specific outdoor facility. Not personal or acquired storage of who's leasing the property, but you can't (indecipherable).

Mr. Fisher: I'll look into the definition as we move into it. I questioned it a little bit because you have FedEx with trucks sitting outside at night. Is that called outdoor storage? So, we will get at that definition, but what it does from my perspective and your perspective, let's say you're not doing anything for a couple of years, you have a way to get some income on your property. It's better to have a use in there then no use in there.

Mr. Anthony Sansone: It could add a lot of traffic.

Mr. Fisher: I don't think we will ever see a lot of traffic in that business park.

Discussion ensued regarding the business park, traffic, storage, the possibility of warehousing and cold storage as a use on the property, and the possibility of office warehouse flex space in an effort to create income.

Mr. Steady: To continue on, historically Jim and I came in since the downturn, since this project went south, so, I don't have the history, nor does Jim, on the stormwater system. We don't have a handle on it. We don't have an engineer on board, although we are going to get one today. Jim runs the District to make sure things get paid. He will manage certain things with a direction, but no one is really managing the physical asset. Chip did, but more for (indecipherable). I don't want you to leave here thinking Jim has been managing the asset; he hasn't. there are some things out there that this District needs to get their hands around. So, we should be asking the engineer what the District owns. For instance, what recently happened is Manatee County contacted Jim. So, the District owns a strip between the road and this ditch, and I believe the property to the north is owned by the County, so they've asked for a 20 foot easement from the District to put a force main in. So, we looked at it, talked to Scott, and one of the issues is, we want to make sure there is no impact to the development of the lots obviously, but here's the bottom line, I attached the easement and #1, we don't have to give it to them. They can buy it from us like everybody else. The county wants from you, this Board, an easement to put a force main in, which may benefit the development, but Jim and I need direction on do I --? By the way, the easement's too simple. I've revised the easement. The affidavit of ownership makes it look like somebody is going to swear their first kid as the chair and I wouldn't have you sign it in a million years, so the first question that we need, and this is the first time this has happened, so up to this point it's been just basically keeping the paper shuffled. This is the first real substantive issue that we need help on to give me direction on are we doing it. That's why I'm bringing this to you guys, because we need some direction. If we hire the engineer, I suggest we ask him to look at it.

Mr. Fisher: I do this a lot. As far as easements to FPL. I've been developing in Sarasota since 1981. We are always dealing with utilities departments for water and sewer or road right-of-way issues, or powerline issues. There are some questions I would want to look at and I want to make sure there is not anything here that could hook into mine.

Discussion ensued regarding the requested easement, what property the CDD owned, lake maintenance and costs, and the land the county wished to have as an easement.

Mr. Steady: Another piece of history, the large lot here was part of the original development, 40 acres. The bonds got in default, assessments weren't paid, and no one bought the certificates because there was way too much debt on it. It is cheated (that's the legal term to the County), so the County now owns it. It's in the District. I fought with the County Attorney to at least pay O&M and they basically said they would sue us, so we are not collecting O&M on it. But I have given them notice that if they ever put it back into (indecipherable). I was hoping they would put it back into productive use, put it back on the market, because then we could definitely charge O&M if they put it for you to buy or something, but their position is a government is not subject to O&M, but that's in the District. The County owns it because in 7 years no one paid anything.

Discussion ensued regarding the possibility of purchasing this property from the County.

Mr. Fisher: I think the County likes owning it. It doesn't make that much difference to the CDD. It would be nice if they paid for it, but if you take a look at the budget the way it is now, it's not killing us.

Discussion ensued regarding offering to give the easement to the County if the County agreed to pay O&M.

Mr. Steady: So, this easement is outstanding, the County owns the land, which was cheated, we talked about the bonds, we talked about you guys being government officials, and back to what I started with, I serve at the pleasure of the Board. I have been doing this for 30 years. I've basically been on all sides of it, but the bottom line is I typically don't come to meetings. I am here when you need me, and Jim will tell you, if you don't need me, I don't bill. A lot of CDD lawyers show up at every meeting but I'm happy to work when you need me. He gave the Board his contact information. That's my overall spiel of the issues, but again we serve at your pleasure, and I am generally available. I don't come to meetings. I can call in if you want and keep the costs down.

II. District Engineer

No report.

III. District Manager

- a) State Law Requirements for new Stormwater Reporting.
- b) Discussion on continued use of Manatee County Sheriff's office.
- c) Foley/Kolarik Engineering Engagement letter for Stormwater Reporting.
- d) Financial Statement for the period ended July 31, 2021 (unaudited).
- e) Financial Statement for the period ended August 31, 2021 (unaudited).
- f) Financial Statement for the period ended September 30, 2021 (unaudited).
- g) Financial Statement for the period ended October 31, 2021 (unaudited).
- h) Financial Statement for the period ended November 30, 2021 (unaudited).
- i) Financial Statement for the period ended December 31, 2021 (unaudited).

Mr. Ward: Last year, the State implemented a law that we have to do some reporting with respect to our ownership of our water management system. We have to give them how much it will cost to renew and replace this over a long term period, and their long term operating costs. It's due by June 30th of this year. We are recommending hiring John Foley of Foley/Kolarik to handle that work for the District, and he has given me a proposal to do that work and a proposed fee schedule for doing all of that. It is an hourly rate basis. We don't know how much time it's going to take to do the work. There is not one district in the state that has gone through this process at this point in time. All of us are new at doing this, and all the engineers are doing this. If we are going to hire him, we should hire him for this and then hire him to review the easement.

A short discussion ensued which was (indecipherable).

Mr. Ward: Andrew with my office is handling the stormwater part of that, and then we will correspond to John that you will handle the easement.

Mr. Fisher: When I am with Foley next, I wanted to know myself anyhow how the stormwater system worked, so I was going to have him walk me through it whether I was on the Board or not on the Board. Just representing ownership in the property, I wanted to know how the system was working, do we have any deficiencies in the system right now? I use Jim Henslick (ph) of Henslick Environmental, to do some review for me at two or three other business parks, and then whoever – do we know who we are doing lake maintenance with right now?

Mr. Ward: Not off the top of my head. Chip handled all of that. But we will find out. If you are okay with this, I would like a motion for you to retain Foley/Kolarik. I'm going to broaden the scope at this point. We will handle the stormwater needs, the review of the easement area, and I think we can add whatever general engineering matters, the matters this office deems appropriate, and I will work with you to determine that.

Mr. Fisher: Before making a motion to approve, what I would suggest is let's go ahead, work with John, see what he does. If we see the hourlies are getting out of hand, force him into a corner and make him do a proposal, so it's probably going to be a reporting thing that has to happen regularly. At that point we can decide what number is a fair number, say just charge us that, and then we don't have to worry about them bagging us with a bunch of hours.

Mr. _____1:01:38: At whose behest do they want to put in a force main?

Mr. Fisher: The question is also I'm wondering if that easement runs all the way down to Budd Road. I think they plan to follow that ditch all the way through which I've seen them do before.

Mr. Anthony Sansone: There's not a force main on site?

Mr. Fisher: There is an existing force main, but I guarantee it's not the size they want.

Discussion ensued regarding the existing force main and the proposed force main easement.

Mr. Fisher: They are asking for a blanket easement. We don't do those.

Mr. Steady: I wouldn't have done it. Everything needs to change about that. But fundamentally, we need direction on what does the Board want to do. We are actually on the same page.

Mr. Ward: So, we have to retain Foley/Kolarik to do the stormwater. We have to have an engineer. If you don't want to do the other stuff, I can't do anything on an hourly rate basis without it. So, if you authorize it, we can give him assignments on an hourly rate basis to do things, but I have to have the Board's authorization to do all of this work.

Discussion ensued regarding the work Foley would be tasked with: 1) stormwater system analysis, 2) easement analysis, and 3) overall condition and maintenance of existing systems evaluation.

On MOTION made by Mr. Richard Fisher, seconded by Mr. Anthony Sansone, and with all in favor, Foley/Kolarik was retained.

Mr. Steady: Typically, Districts have District Engineers full time to take direction. At some point, if we are going to keep the District, you would go out for proposals and hire a District Engineer, even if they only charged a couple thousand dollars a year. Right now, it works, but would you agree Jim that typically a District has a District Engineer on staff to use whenever you need? We are fine. We're good, but let's say a year from now, we've got everything figured out, you might want to get a District Engineer, so they are available to do this, and we don't have to go through this.

Mr. Fisher agreed.

Mr. Ward: I provided to you a Form 1 - Statement of Financial Interest. You are required to fill that out and file it with the Supervisor of Elections in the County where you reside within 30 days of today's date. The form is not particularly difficult. I'll give you my cell phone to contact for information. Scott can give you his. You're welcome to call us and ask any questions you want. Failure to file the Form will subject you to \$25 dollars per day fines by the State. I would strongly encourage you to file the Form 1 because our Director's and Officer's Liability Insurance doesn't cover you if you're fined for something you went outside of the law to do. I would strongly urge you to do that. If you have any questions, give me a call. The Form is not hard. It is long, but not hard. You can fill it out and email it into the Supervisor's Office or mail it to them. It has to be in the County where you live.

Mr. Steady: Jim knows the answers to these better than I do. I always have to call them in. What do you have to fill out on the form?

Mr. Ward: It's pretty easy. Generally, you're not subject to Ethics rules which is one of the first questions on there. The next one is your income or asset values. You don't have to put any numbers; you just have to put "I own my home" and if you have a mortgage you have to put the name of the bank. That kind of thing. If you have stocks or IRAs or 401Ks, you put stocks, 401Ks, etc.; there are not values you have to assign to any of those. It's pretty easy. You can do it as a percent threshold. If the values are over the thresholds that are in there, where the equity is higher than what the lean is, then you would just note that.

A short discussion ensued which was (indecipherable).

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor's Requests and Audience Comments

Mr. Ward asked if there were any audience comments; there were none. He asked if there were any Supervisor's requests; there were none.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

Mr. Ward adjourned the meeting at approximately 2:16 p.m.

On MOTION made by Mr. Richard Fisher, seconded by Mr. Gary Kompothecras, and with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.

James P. Ward, Secretary

Buckeye Park Community Development District

Richard Pisher, Chairman